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Abstract

Scapolites are pervasive rock-forming aluminosilicates that are found in metamorphic, igneous and hydrothermal environments; nonetheless, the stability field of Cl-rich scapolite is not well constrained. This experimental study investigated two reactions involving Cl-rich scapolite. First, the anhydrous reaction (1) of plagioclase + halite + calcite to form scapolite modeled as:

3 plagioclase (Ab$_{80}$An$_{20}$) + 0.8 NaCl + 0.2 CaCO$_3$ = scapolite (Ma$_{80}$Me$_{20}$) was investigated to determine the effect of the Ca-rich meionite (Me = Ca$_4$Al$_6$Si$_6$O$_{24}$CO$_3$) component on the Na end-member marialite (Ma = Na$_4$Al$_3$Si$_9$O$_{24}$Cl). Second, the effect of water on this reaction was investigated using the hydrothermally equivalent reaction (2) H$_2$O + scapolite (Ma$_{80}$Me$_{20}$) = 3 plagioclase (Ab$_{80}$An$_{20}$) + CaCO$_3$ + liquid, where the liquid is assumed to be a saline-rich hydrous-silicate melt. Experiments were investigated with synthetic phases over the range of 500-1030 °C and 0.4-2.0 GPa. For reaction (1), intermediate composition scapolite shows a wide thermal stability and is stable relative to plagioclase + halite + calcite at temperatures above 750 °C at 0.4 GPa and 760 °C at 2.0 GPa. For reaction (2), intermediate scapolite appears to be quite tolerant to water; it forms at a minimum bulk salinity [X$_{NaCl}$ = molar ratio of NaCl/(NaCl + H$_2$O)] of the brine of approximately 0.2 X$_{NaCl}$ at 830 °C and 680 °C at pressures of 2.0 GPa and 1.5 GPa, respectively. Based on the study done by Almeida and Jenkins (2017), pure marialite is very intolerant to water when compared to intermediate composition scapolite. Compositional changes in the scapolite and plagioclase were characterized by X-ray diffraction and electron microprobe analysis and found to shift from the nominal bulk compositions to the observed compositions of Ma$_{85}$Me$_{15}$ for scapolite and to Ab$_{91}$An$_{09}$ for plagioclase. These results were used to model the phase equilibria along the marialite-meionite join in temperature-composition space. This study demonstrates that a small change in the scapolite composition from end-
member marialite to $\text{Ma}_{85}\text{Me}_{15}$ expands the stability field of marialite significantly, presumably due to the high entropy of mixing in scapolite, as well as increases its tolerance to water. This supports the much more common presence of intermediate scapolites in hydrothermal settings than either end-member meionite or marialite as is widely reported in the literature.

Keywords: scapolite, marialite, meionite, solid solution, chlorine, chloride brine, plagioclase

Introduction

The scapolite mineral group is a widespread rock-forming aluminosilicate and it is reported extensively in nature over a wide range of solid solution. The general formula of scapolite can be illustrated as $\text{M}_4\text{T}_{12}\text{O}_{24}\text{A}$, where the major components are $\text{M} = \text{Na and Ca}$, $\text{T} = \text{Si and Al}$, and $\text{A} = \text{Cl, CO}_3$, and $\text{SO}_4$. Minerals in the scapolite group can be regarded as the result of combining three moles of plagioclase with a salt (i.e., $\text{NaCl, CaCO}_3$, $\text{CaSO}_4$) (e.g., Evans et al., 1969; Goldsmith, 1976; Hassan and Buseck, 1988; Teertstra and Sherriff, 1997). They can be chemically represented as a solid solution of several end-members: a sodium chloride end-member, marialite ($\text{Na}_4\text{Al}_3\text{Si}_9\text{O}_{24}\text{Cl}$), two calcium carbonate end-members, meionite ($\text{Ca}_4\text{Al}_6\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{24}\text{CO}_3$) and mizzonite ($\text{NaCa}_3\text{Al}_5\text{Si}_7\text{O}_{24}\text{CO}_3$), and a calcium sulfate end-member, sulfate meionite or silvialite ($\text{Ca}_4\text{Al}_6\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{24}\text{SO}_4$) (Newton and Goldsmith, 1976; Teertstra et al. 1999). In addition, the Cl-rich component dipyre ($\text{Na}_3\text{Ca}\text{Al}_4\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{24}\text{Cl}$), resulting from the substitution $\text{Na}_3\text{Si}_2\text{Cl} = \text{Ca}_3\text{Al}_2\text{CO}_3$ in meionite (Evans et al., 1969; Hassan and Buseck, 1988), may potentially be important in this study even though Teertstra and Sherriff (1997) caution that the substitutional complexity in natural scapolites precludes the clear identification of this composition as a scapolite end member. Unlike other chloride bearing minerals (such as amphiboles, micas, and apatite), scapolite contains little to no OH, thus its chemistry can be used
as a tracer of the Cl and CO$_2$ contents of the fluid responsible for its formation, independently of 
$/text{H}_2$O (Ellis, 1978; Rebbert and Rice, 1997; Filiberto et al., 2014).

The relative prevalence and abundance of scapolite in metamorphic rocks and 
hydrothermally altered igneous rocks suggests a rather wide stability field for this mineral group 
(Goldsmith, 1976; Boivin and Camus, 1981; Vanko and Bishop, 1982; Mora and Valley, 1989; 
Lieftink et al., 1993; Kullerud and Erambert, 1999; Johnson and Barton, 2000a, 2000b).

Interestingly, essentially all of the literature on natural scapolites have something in common: in 
all cases they are reported as solid solutions. In fact, accounts of naturally occurring scapolites 
approaching end-members (Cl, CO$_3$, and SO$_4$) are rare (e.g., Teertstra and Sherriff, 1997; Vanko 
and Bishop, 1982; Lieftink et al., 1993; Filiberto et al., 2014), suggesting that the conditions 
under which end-member scapolites form are rather unusual in nature.

Experimental studies reporting stability fields in pressure-temperature ($P$-$T$) space for end-
member scapolites are those of Newton and Goldsmith (1976) and Almeida and Jenkins (2017). 
Newton and Goldsmith (1976) investigated the stability field of end-member meionite and 
sulfate-meionite in the CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$-CaCO$_3$ and CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$-CaSO$_4$ systems, respectively. These 
authors found end-member meionite and sulfate meionite to be stable at higher temperatures 
relative to the anorthite + salt assemblage. They reported that meionite is stable relative to 
anorthite and calcite above 875 °C, almost independent of pressure. On the contrary, sulfate-
meionite appears to have a strong pressure dependency with the boundary located at 1.3 GPa at 
1000 °C and having a negative $dP/dT$ slope of -0.0022 GPa/°C, which restricts the conditions of 
its formation to high pressure (> 0.6 GPa at 1300 °C) environments. This is in agreement with 
the literature where scapolites with the highest sulfur content tend to come from deep-seated 
rocks (Lovering and White, 1964; Yoshino and Satish-Kumar, 2001; Hammerli et al., 2017).
Although Newton and Goldsmith (1976) tabulated several experiments involving marialite, they did not report a P-T stability field for it. Based on various observations reported in the literature, Filiberto et al. (2014) proposed a P-T diagram for marialite; however, no systematic experimental study was presented for this end-member. Almeida and Jenkins (2017) investigated the P-T stability field of end-member marialite in the NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$-NaCl and NaAlSiO$_3$O$_8$-NaCl-H$_2$O systems, for both dry and hydrothermal conditions, respectively. The main conclusions were that marialite (i) requires high-temperatures, equivalent to granulite or ultra-high-temperature (UHT) facies to be stable, and (ii) requires high NaCl concentrations, even going above the saturation level of halite in the H$_2$O-NaCl system, in order to be stable at hydrothermal conditions. This necessity of a high brine concentration and high temperature to stabilize end-member marialite indicates it is a “dry” mineral, and it would therefore not be likely to have a hydrothermal origin. Similar to sulfate meionite, marialite also appears to require intermediate-to-high pressures to be stable with the lowest pressure where marialite forms being 0.64 GPa at 930 °C (Almeida and Jenkins, 2017). In the presence of a concentrated brine, Almeida and Jenkins (2017) proposed the lower-pressure stability of marialite to be defined by a univariant curve starting at an invariant point at 0.8 GPa and 840 °C and having a negative dP/dT curve of -0.0025 GPa/°C.

Several researchers, including Orville (1975), Ellis (1978), and Baker and Newton (1995), have done experimental work on scapolite solid solutions. Orville (1975) determined that scapolite in the system NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$-CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$-NaCl-CaCO$_3$ at 750 °C and 0.4 GPa is stable relative to plagioclase + calcite + halite over the approximate range of plagioclase-equivalent (= EqAn) compositions of EqAn$_{15}$ – EqAn$_{75}$, even though albite + halite is stable relative to end-member marialite and anorthite + calcite is stable relative to end-member meionite at these same
conditions. It is worth noting that complete conversion of plagioclase to scapolite in the study of Orville (1975) was only attained in the presence of small amounts of water, and all experiments were seeded with small amounts of scapolite. The best growth of scapolite at the expense of plagioclase + salts was obtained by using excess NaCl and CaCO$_3$ and trace amounts of water. Ellis (1978) determined that solid solution scapolite is stable relative to plagioclase + calcite at 750 °C and 0.4 GPa over the range of plagioclase compositions Ab$_{47}$An$_{53}$ to Ab$_{17}$An$_{83}$. This study also confirmed that high mole fractions of NaCl in the fluid, as well as excess CaCO$_3$, are necessary to stabilize scapolite relative to plagioclase + NaCl + CaCO$_3$ over the widest range of scapolite compositions reported (EqAn$_{25}$ – EqAn$_{80}$). Baker and Newton (1995) investigated the stability field of scapolite, plagioclase, and calcite in the Cl-free system CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$-NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$-CaCO$_3$ at 775-850 °C and 0.7 GPa. Even though their study did not include NaCl, it does demonstrate that the incorporation of Na into meionite through a plagioclase coupled substitution, NaSi(CaAl)$_{1/2}$ causes the expansion of the range of conditions over which scapolite is stable. It is suggested that the stabilization of meionite by substitution of Na for Ca and Si for Al is a consequence of the large amounts of atomic mixing possible in the scapolite structure and is primarily an entropy effect, as it has been previously described by Oterdoom and Gunter (1983). The results of Baker and Newton (1995) show a large stabilization of CO$_3$-rich scapolite to temperatures below the limit of pure meionite. Their results suggest that fifteen mole percent of sodium meionite component stabilizes scapolite by at least 70 °C (Baker and Newton, 1995).

The focus of this study is to investigate the pressure-temperature ($P$-$T$) stability of an intermediate composition scapolite of nominal bulk composition Ma$_{80}$Me$_{20}$ according to the proposed reaction:

$$3(Na_{0.8}Ca_{0.2})(Al_{1.2}Si_{2.8})O_8 + 0.8NaCl + 0.2CaCO_3 = (Na_{3.2}Ca_{0.8})(Al_{3.6}Si_{8.4})O_{24}(Cl_{0.8}(CO_3)_{0.2})$$ (1)
plagioclase (Ab$_{80}$An$_{20}$) halite calcite scapolite (Ma$_{80}$Me$_{20}$) with particular attention paid to any changes in the compositions of the plagioclase and scapolite along the reaction boundary. Changes in the stability of the scapolite as water is introduced to the system are also investigated, where reduction in the activity of NaCl in solution is expected to destabilize the scapolite. The stability field of this Cl-rich scapolite is compared to the end-member scapolites and a thermodynamic model for mixing along the marialite-meionite join is proposed to enable a better understanding of Cl-rich scapolite paragenesis. It will be shown that subtle changes from end-member to intermediate scapolite compositions causes a dramatic expansion in its stability field. This may indicate part of the reason why solid solution scapolite is commonly reported in metamorphic terrains and hydrothermal environments as opposed to end-member scapolite, the latter not normally found in nature. End-member scapolites may simply require temperature, pressure, and fluid compositions which are not normally attained or, if they are attained at mid- to lower-crustal levels, may never have a chance to resurface for them to be encountered in nature.

**Methods**

**Starting materials**

All phases were synthesized from appropriate mixtures of reagent-grade oxides, salt, and carbonate (SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, NaCl, Na$_2$CO$_3$, and CaCO$_3$). The SiO$_2$ was made by desiccating silicic acid by heating gradually to 1100 °C in air overnight producing cristobalite. The reagents NaCl and CaCO$_3$ were carefully brought to a temperature of at least 500 °C in air for several hours to decrepitate aqueous fluid inclusions that are invariably present. After weighing and mixing the reagents Na$_2$CO$_3$, CaCO$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$, and SiO$_2$ constituting the framework components, they were heated in air at 900 °C for 15 minutes to remove CO$_2$. The A-site salt components NaCl and
CaCO$_3$ were added following decarbonation. For hydrothermal experiments water was added in the form of distilled water via a micro-syringe. The bulk compositions of all samples investigated in this study are presented in Table 1.

**Sample treatment and high-pressure apparatus**

Starting mixtures were treated in sealed platinum and silver-palladium (Ag$_{50}$Pd$_{50}$) capsules, which were made from tubing that was cleaned in acetone, flame-annealed to around 1,200 °C, and then crimped. Platinum capsules were used for synthesis experiments and were 4.0 mm outer diameter (OD) by 15 mm length and wall thickness of 0.18 mm. Platinum or Ag$_{50}$Pd$_{50}$ capsules were used for reversal experiments, depending on the conditions of treatment, and were 1.5 mm OD by 10 mm length having a wall thickness of 0.13 mm. The first set of experiments focused on the synthesis of intermediate scapolite and plagioclase (i.e., Ma$_{80}$Me$_{20}$ and Ab$_{80}$An$_{20}$) and about 50-60 mg of sample was used. In subsequent reversal experiments, where all desired phases were present in a reversal mixture, about 5 mg of sample was used. For all the experiments that were treated dry, the capsules were put in a 160 °C furnace in air for 15 minutes prior to sealing to ensure that all the moisture was removed from the capsule. For hydrothermal experiments, water was introduced in the form of distilled water, as mentioned above, and the capsule was crimped shut, welded, and weighed. After completion of experiments the capsules were reweighed to check that the capsule remained sealed. To obtain a successful yield of intermediate scapolite, excess NaCl and CaCO$_3$ had to be added to the starting mixture. In order to calculate accurately the brine concentrations during the hydrothermal experiments, excess NaCl in the synthetic solid solution scapolite was rinsed in deionized water until it was completely removed from the scapolite (except reversal mixture REVSS2). The rinsed mixture
was analyzed by X-ray powder diffraction to check that there was no NaCl left and then NaCl was reintroduced in known amounts to allow better accuracy in calculating brine concentrations. A $\frac{1}{2}$-inch diameter, non-end-loaded piston-cylinder press was used for experiments with pressures at and above 1.0 GPa. Pressure media were made using NaCl outer sleeves, straight graphite furnaces, and either NaCl or crushable MgO inner parts around the sample, depending on the temperature. Temperatures ranged from 650 °C to 1030 °C and were measured with a chromel-alumel thermocouple with the tip placed right above the sample. Temperature uncertainties are estimated as ± 5 °C for reversal experiments (using smaller capsules with the sample within 0.5 mm of the thermocouple tip) and ± 15 °C for synthesis experiments (using larger capsules with the center of the sample within 2-3 mm of the thermocouple tip). Pressures from 1.5-2.0 GPa have estimated uncertainties of 0.05 GPa. Experiments using pressure media with NaCl inner parts were first pressurized to ~0.1 GPa below the desired pressure and then brought to the target pressure using the thermal expansion of the assemblage, usually requiring only minor bleeding off of excess pressure (hot piston-out mode). Experiments using crushable MgO inner parts experienced much less thermal expansion and were therefore pressurized to the desired pressure and required very little adjustment to the pressure during the heating phase. Temperatures were brought up from room temperature to the target temperature using heating ramps of 0.5 to 1 minute; the heating rate is noted in this study because it may have some bearing on the relative nucleation rates of scapolite versus plagioclase. After treatment between 1 and 3 days, the piston-cylinder press was quenched and the sample retrieved. The capsule was weighed, an incision was made into it, dried at 110 °C, and weighed again to determine the free-water content within the capsule.
An internally-heated gas vessel was used for the experiments performed at pressures below 1.0 GPa with argon as the pressure medium. Two Inconel®-sheathed chromel-alumel thermocouples were placed in the vessel to observe any temperature gradient along the capsule; gradients were generally 10 °C or less with corresponding uncertainties in the average temperature of ± 5 °C. Desired temperatures were reached in 3-5 minutes. Pressures were measured with both bourdon-tube and manganin-cell gauges and have an estimated uncertainty of 0.005 GPa. After between 2 and 6 days, the internally heated gas vessel was quenched, sample was retrieved, and the water content determined following the procedures described above.

Analytical Methods

Powder X-Ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed using a Panalytical Xpert PW3040-MPD diffractometer. Samples were mounted on a zero-background single crystal quartz plate, with the operating settings at 40 kV and 20 mA using CuKα radiation and a diffracted-beam graphite monochromator. Samples were analyzed in a continuous scan from 10 to 60° 2Θ with a step size of 0.020° at 1.0 second per step. Reaction direction was determined using the peak-area ratios of the largest peaks of scapolite [(112), 25.8° 2Θ] and plagioclase [(002), 27.8° 2Θ] as a simple way of estimating the proportions of these phases. The Panalytical software HighScore® was used to calculate the area of selected X-ray peaks.

Reaction-reversal starting mixtures, containing all of the desired phases, were mixed three times in an agate mortar using acetone to ensure a homogeneous mixture. Next, the mixtures were scanned from 20 to 31° 2Θ five times to acquire an average and standard deviation of the peak-area ratios for reference; reaction direction was then achieved by comparing peak-area ratios observed in subsequent treatments of the starting mixture to these reference ratios. Unit-cell dimensions were determined by Rietveld structure refinement using
the program GSAS (Larson and Von Dreele, 2000). The zero point of 2Θ was refined using NaCl
\(a_0 = 5.6401\ \text{Å}\) either present in the scapolite samples or added to the plagioclase samples as an
internal standard to account for differences in sample displacement from one scan to another.
Refinements were initiated using the structures of albite and marialite reported by Prewitt et al.
(1976) and Sokolova et al. (1996), respectively. Parameters that were refined included
background (function 1, shifted Chebyschev), scale factors, unit-cell dimensions, preferred
orientation (100 axis, for halite), and LX terms in the profile function.

Electron probe micro-analysis (EPMA) was done on a JEOL 8900 Superprobe. Samples
were mounted in epoxy, polished to a final diamond grit size of 0.5 μm, carbon coated, and
analyzed using beam conditions of 15 kV and 10 nA. Noticeable decreases in the count rates of
Na for both plagioclase and scapolite were observed after exposure to the electron beam for more
than 20 s; therefore, analyses were done using 10 seconds on peaks and 3 seconds on background
to minimize the effects of Na diffusion under the electron beam. No decrease in the count rates
for Cl were observed for scapolite. Matrix corrections were made using the atomic number,
absorption, and fluorescence (ZAF) correction scheme (e.g., Reed, 1996, p. 134–140). The
standards used were: albite for Na, wollastonite for Ca, palladium chloride (PdCl\(_2\)) for Cl, and
pure oxides for Si and Al.

**Results**

**Synthesis and characterization of starting phases**

Starting phases were synthesized in a temperature range of 700-1030 °C and 0.4-1.8 GPa for
1 to 6 days. The bulk compositions of the mixtures and synthesis conditions are listed in Table 1.
In order to obtain high yields of Cl-rich scapolite three factors were considered necessary: (a)
maintenance of a high stoichiometric proportion of NaCl and CaCO\(_3\) in the starting mixture, (b)
use of a relatively rapid heating time from room temperature to the target temperature (i.e., ramp
time) in order to prevent plagioclase nucleation during heating, and (c) use of high temperatures.

Generally, NaCl and CaCO$_3$ had to be introduced in excess to produce a substantial yield of Cl-
rich scapolite (≥ 80 wt%) using ramp times of 0.5-1.0 minute (i.e., heating rates of 343-172
°C/s). Although the highest and purest yields of plagioclase were obtained in the internally
heated gas vessel at lower pressures under hydrothermal conditions, samples SSM1-1P and
SSMF1-10, both of which were prepared with the intent to produce scapolite at 900 °C and
1.5GPa with the shorter ramp time (Table 1), yielded plagioclase along with excess halite and
calcite. From this we can conclude that temperature, rather than the ramp time, played a larger
role and was not sufficient to stabilize scapolite. High yields of intermediate scapolite were
obtained at higher temperature conditions with longer ramp time. Generally, the synthetic
scapolite and plagioclase are equant grains with average sizes of 2-5 μm, but some grains get up
to 30-50 μm in plan view and exhibit no apparent reaction textures (e.g., grain armoring or
zonation). Figure 1a is a representative back-scattered electron image of synthetic plagioclase
while Figure 1b is that of a reaction-reversal starting mixture.

Compositions of the starting material scapolite and plagioclase were obtained by electron
microprobe analysis of individual grains. These data are summarized in Table 2 and shown as
the solid symbols in Figures 2a,b; the complete set of electron microprobe analyses are available
as supplementary data. The relatively large uncertainties in the observed compositions are
attributed in part to the small grain sizes and diffusion of Na under the electron beam. Despite
this uncertainty, the observed average compositions of both scapolite and plagioclase are in
general not the intended or nominal compositions of 0.20 mole fraction of Ca/(Ca+Na). With the
exception of the plagioclase used in the higher-temperature experiments in Figure 2b (SSM1FP-
4), which is within the 1σ uncertainties of the intended composition, the remainder of the starting materials are shifted to lower Ca contents. The Ca content of the plagioclase used in the lower-temperature experiments (SSM1-10) is decidedly depleted in Ca, presumably owing to the nucleation of wollastonite (Fig. 1a). Starting material scapolite (SSMF3-3, SSMF3-7) is similarly depleted in Ca which is attributed to the preferential partitioning of Ca into minor amounts of coexisting aluminosilicate and wollastonite not observed in XRD patterns but observed under the electron microprobe. Though these compositional shifts in the starting material scapolite and plagioclase were unintended, this actually provides some means for determining whether or not compositional re-equilibration occurs in these phases during the reversal experiments, as discussed below.

To provide an independent check on the compositions of plagioclase, their compositions were estimated from the unit-cell dimension vs composition relations presented in Benesek et al. (2003) for synthetic (high) plagioclase. Of the cell dimensions given, the angle $\beta$ appears to have the greatest dependence on composition. Therefore, a third-order polynomial was fit to the data of Benisek et al. (2003) for plagioclase ranging from An$_0$ – An$_{70}$, which is given in Table 2. Plagioclase compositions derived from this expression (Table 2) are broadly consistent with the microprobe analyses, indicating that the shifts in starting material compositions are not an artifact of the analytical technique.

The degree of Al-Si order/disorder in plagioclase for this study has been determined using the unit-cell angle $\gamma$ (°) calibrated as a function of composition and Al ordering at the $t_1O$ site by Kroll and Ribbe (1980). The degree of ordering is determined by the parameter $t_1O - <t_1m>$, with the theoretical range of 0 to 1 for fully disordered or ordered plagioclase, respectively; however, in practice the lowest value is about 0.04 indicating the persistence of at least minor
ordering in albite even after heating 40-60 d near its melting temperature (1060-1080 °C).

Correction for the plagioclase composition was taken from the microprobe analyses. The observed values of \( t_1O - <t_1m> \) are given in Table 2 and range between 0.16-0.21. This indicates the presence of substantial disorder; however, its nearly constant value suggests changes in Al-Si ordering is not likely to be an important factor in the phase equilibria observed here.

Stability of Cl-rich scapolite at dry conditions

Synthesis products containing strong yields of scapolite and plagioclase were mixed together to acquire a well-seeded reversal mixture for investigating reaction (1). Thus, equilibrium is demonstrated by the growth of scapolite at the expense of plagioclase, halite, and calcite with increasing temperature and vice versa with decreasing temperature with minimum influence from phase–nucleation kinetics (Almeida and Jenkins, 2017). Table 3 lists the synthesis products used to make the reversal mixtures.

A series of experiments at dry conditions was done over the range of 500-1000 °C and 0.4-2.0 GPa for 1 to 4 days. The results of these experiments are given in Table 4 and shown in Figure 3. The solid line that is shown is a straight-line interpretation of the experimental data fit “by eye.” Shown for comparison is the lower-thermal stability of end-member marialite reported by Almeida and Jenkins (2017). As discussed in detail below, the compositions of the coexisting scapolite and plagioclase are \( Ma_{85}Me_{15} \) and \( Ab_{91}An_{09} \), respectively, indicating minor enrichment in the sodic components. What is most striking about Figure 3 is the pronounced expansion in the stability field of this intermediate composition scapolite compared to end-member marialite. In other words, the intermediate composition scapolite is stable to much lower temperatures than end-member marialite.
Besides having a lower thermal stability, this intermediate scapolite also appears to be stable at lower pressures compared to marialite. Though the experimental data are limited owing to sluggish reaction rates for these dry experiments, the lower-pressure data show that scapolite can be stable at 0.4 GPa and 750 °C as opposed to marialite that is only stable at or above 0.64 GPa. A possible lower-pressure stability limit, modeled after that of marialite, is shown in Figure 3 by the queried dashed line. It should be noted that experiments involving mixture REVSS1 are reported in Table 4 and the results involving this mixture are broadly consistent with those obtained with mixture REVSS2. However, they were eventually not included in constructing the P-T diagram due to minor amounts of wollastonite present in this mixture, coming from the plagioclase (SSM1F-1) used in this mixture, that could possibly compromise the results near the reaction boundary. They are included in Table 4 as supporting evidence for the placement of the univariant boundary shown in Figure 3.

**Stability of Cl-rich scapolite at hydrothermal conditions**

It is of interest to determine how scapolite formation is affected by the presence of water which will preferentially dissolve halite and should, at some concentration, cause scapolite to break down as the activity of NaCl in the ambient brine decreases. Analogous to the results reported by Almeida and Jenkins (2017) for end-member marialite, introduction of water is expected to de-stabilize the intermediate scapolite of reaction (1) as a result of the approximate reaction:

\[
(\text{Na}_{3.2}\text{Ca}_{0.8})(\text{Al}_{3.6}\text{Si}_{8.4})\text{O}_{24}(\text{Cl}_{0.8}\text{(CO}_3)_{0.2}) + x\text{H}_2\text{O} = 3 (\text{Na}_{0.8}\text{Ca}_{0.2})(\text{Al}_{1.2}\text{Si}_{2.8})\text{O}_8 + 0.2 \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{scapolite (Ma}_{80}\text{Me}_{20}) + x\text{H}_2\text{O} (\text{NaCl}_{\text{liq}}) \]

(2)
where NaCl\textsubscript{liq} is dominantly a NaCl-H\textsubscript{2}O brine but likely with minor amounts of silicate, calcium carbonate, and water dissolved in it. This has been suggested by Eugster and Protska (1960) and supported by the study of Makhluf et al. (2016) on the system NaAlSi\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{8}-H\textsubscript{2}O-NaCl at 1.0 GPa, where the latter study demonstrated at least minor (~1 wt\%) albite dissolved in brines with up to 0.2 mole fraction of NaCl.

Two experiments were done with the equilibrium-reversal starting mixture REVSS2 while the remaining experiments were done with REVSS4 and REVSS5. For the latter two mixtures, any NaCl that was originally present from the scapolite synthesis was rinsed out of the samples and reintroduced in known amounts to improve the precision of the calculated brine concentrations. After rinsing samples REVSS4 and REVSS5, 4 moles of NaCl and 0.8 moles of CaCO\textsubscript{3} per mole of scapolite were added to each of them. Experiments at hydrothermal conditions were done over the range of 660-850 °C and 1.5-2.0 GPa varying in length from 1-2 days with the results given in Table 5 and shown in Figures 4a,b. Figures 4a and 4b show the T-$X_{\text{Bulk NaCl}}$ diagrams at fixed pressures of 2.0 and 1.5 GPa, respectively, where $X_{\text{Bulk NaCl}}$ indicates the mole fraction of NaCl/(H\textsubscript{2}O + NaCl) for the bulk mixture which may not necessarily be the true composition of the liquid phase. Because neither scapolite nor plagioclase incorporate significant amounts of water, the general configuration of Figures 4a and 4b should have a flat boundary starting at $X_{\text{Bulk NaCl}} = 1.0$, corresponding to the location of this reaction determined from the dry experiments, and extending isothermally left to lower brine concentrations until the concentration of NaCl reaches a value where scapolite is no longer stable at this temperature. The estimated hydrothermal halite liquidus or saturation boundaries extrapolated from lower-pressure results of Aranovich and Newton (1996) are shown here for reference.
From Figures 4a,b one can see that scapolite is stable in brines as low as $0.2 \ X_{\text{Bulk NaCl}}$ at 2 GPa and perhaps as low as $0.15 \ X_{\text{Bulk NaCl}}$ at 1.5 GPa, though the uncertainty in the breakdown of scapolite at this pressure suggests a value as high as 0.3 as indicated by the dashed line. It appears that this intermediate composition scapolite is much more tolerant of water compared to end-member marialite. For instance, a minimum bulk salinity of 0.8 is needed at 2.0 GPa and 1050 °C to stabilize marialite (Almeida and Jenkins, 2017), which is well above the (extrapolated) halite saturation boundary at these conditions. Unlike marialite, intermediate scapolite remains stable all the way over to the halite saturation curve at 2 GPa (Fig. 4a) and near or at the saturation curve at 1.5 GPa (Fig. 4b).

The degree of order/disorder in the plagioclase formed in reaction (2) was examined for several samples which had strong plagioclase growth. Plagioclase in REVSS4-4 treated at the higher temperature of 830 °C gave a $\Delta(131)$ value of 1.92 and $\gamma$ of 89.91(2)° indicating it remained largely disordered with corresponding values of $t_1O - <t_1m>$ being 0.123 and 0.189, respectively. Plagioclase in REVSS5-2 grown at the lower temperature of 680 °C gave a $\Delta(131)$ value of 1.83 and $\gamma$ of 89.78(2)°, both parameters giving $t_1O - <t_1m>$ of 0.245 for $X_{\text{An}} = 0.10$. This represents a minor degree of ordering compared to the initial plagioclase (0.211), though considerably less than the nearly complete ordering ($\Delta(131) = 1.15$, $t_1O - <t_1m> = 0.95$) expected even for pure albite at this temperature and pressure (Goldsmith and Jenkins, 1985). In general, the plagioclase has remained largely disordered and represents little change from the starting plagioclases (SSM1FP-4, SSM1-10, Table 2).

**Cl-rich scapolite and plagioclase compositions**

The compositions of coexisting scapolite and plagioclase participating in reaction (2) were determined from the hydrothermal experiments, where the presence of water is expected to
enhance reaction rates and promote larger grain sizes to facilitate microprobe analysis. Scapolite and plagioclase compositions from selected reversal experiments were determined via EPMA, and the average values are listed in Tables 6 and 7, respectively. The full set of microprobe analyses are available in the supplemental table.

For scapolite, the same approach for cation calculation used by Evans (1969), Lieftink et al. (1993), and Teertstra and Sherriff (1997) was applied in this study. The formula of scapolite was calculated by normalizing to Si + Al = 12 atoms per formula unit (apfu). The criteria for accepting the analysis was based on (1) the analytical weight-percent total from the EPMA analysis being above 80 wt%, which is well above the 65 wt% minimum determined by Giblin et al. (1993), and (2) the sum of all cations being 16.0 ± 0.2. The former criterion provides the first-order filter for accepting an analysis of a grain that may be smaller than the electron-beam excitation volume, where previous studies (e.g., Solberg et al., 1981; Giblin et al., 1993; Jenkins and Corona, 2006) have shown that correct cation proportions can be preserved for analyses as low as 60-65 wt%. The latter criterion filters out analyses that simply do not have the correct stoichiometry as imposed by the crystal structure. It was difficult to obtain samples that obeyed the second criterion for the scapolite, for reasons that are unclear. One possibility is that the electron beam is analyzing overlapping and different minerals, but another complicating factor is the rapid Na diffusion under the electron beam, even for the relatively short counting times used in this study. This diffusive loss of Na under the electron beam was also described by Vanko and Bishop (1982) for the analysis of marialitic scapolite. Therefore, compositions could show a slight deficit in Na and corresponding relative increase in Al and Si. In an attempt to minimize Na diffusion, the current on the electron microprobe was reduced to 2 nA and the analyses were done using counting times of only 3 seconds on peaks and 1 second on the background and using
a 2 μm spot size. The analyses still showed a lot of scatter; however, those analyses that adhered
to the selection criteria confirmed the compositions obtained under the normal higher current and
longer counting times indicated in the Methods section. Although the error bars are large, Figure
2a shows a systematic shift from the Na-rich starting compositions (solid circles, triangle) to a
composition which, on average, has Ca/(Ca+Na) of 0.15 (open circles, triangle) with a
corresponding scapolite composition of Ma$_{85}$Me$_{15}$.

The criteria for accepting the analysis of plagioclase was based on (1) having an analytical
total above 80 wt%, which is the same as that used for scapolite, and (2) the sum of all cations
were 5.0 ± 0.1 on the basis of 8 oxygens [albite (Ab) and anorthite (An) being NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$ and
CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$, respectively]. Albite mole fractions ($X_{Ab}$) were determined both by the Na-Ca ratio
and Si-Al content as indicated in the footnote to Table 7, and both methods were in close
agreement. Figure 2b shows a systematic shift for the higher-temperature experiments, which
used the plagioclase SSM1FP-4 (solid square), to plagioclase with slightly lower Ca contents
(open squares). In contrast, the lower-temperature experiment used the Ca-poor plagioclase
SSM1-10 (solid diamond) which showed a slight enrichment in Ca (open diamond). Taken
together, the plagioclase shows an equilibrium composition of about Ab$_{91}$An$_{09}$, which was
approached from both directions.

Both the scapolite and plagioclase equilibrium compositions are shifted from the intended or
original bulk compositions of Ma$_{80}$Me$_{20}$ and Ab$_{80}$An$_{20}$, respectively. This is most noticeable for
the plagioclase. The deficient An content can be accounted for by the presence of minor
wollastonite, corundum, and quartz (Fig. 1a, Table 1) which when summed together constitute
anorthite. The coexistence of quartz and corundum clearly indicates that this is a metastable
assemblage that presumably results from the rapid nucleation of these phases relative to
plagioclase. Scapolite is much closer to the intended bulk composition; however, minor amounts
of coexisting non-scapolite phases (aluminosilicate and wollastonite, together equivalent to
anorthite) were observed during microprobe analysis even if they were insufficient to appear in
the powder XRD patterns.

In view of the above discussion, a more realistic representation of reaction (2) occurring in
the hydrothermal experiments can now be formulated that includes both the observed
compositional shifts in the scapolite and plagioclase as well as the minor additional phases.
Because the minor coexisting phases (wollastonite, aluminosilicate, etc.) can sum up to the
equivalent of anorthite (an$_{equiv}$), one can re-balance reaction (2) by allowing a certain proportion
of the plagioclase, up to 0.2 an$_{equiv}$ in this case, to be exsolved as these minor phases. The
following reaction is balanced on the basis of one mole of scapolite of the composition

\[
\text{Ma}_{85}\text{Me}_{15}: \\
(\text{Na}_{3.4}\text{Ca}_{0.6})(\text{Al}_{3.45}\text{Si}_{8.55})\text{O}_{24}(\text{Cl}_{0.85}\text{(CO}_3)_{0.15}) + y\text{H}_2\text{O} = 2.8(\text{Na}_{0.91}\text{Ca}_{0.09})(\text{Al}_{1.09}\text{Si}_{2.91})\text{O}_8 + \\
\text{scapolite (Ma}_{85}\text{Me}_{15}) \quad \text{water} \quad \text{plagioclase (Ab}_{91}\text{An}_{09}) \\
(0.20 - x)(\text{CaSiO}_3 + \text{SiO}_2 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3) + 0.15\text{CaCO}_3 + [0.85\text{NaCl} \cdot x(\text{CaSiO}_3 + \text{SiO}_2 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3) \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}] \\
\text{an}_{equiv} \quad \text{calcite} \quad \text{NaCl}_{\text{liq}}
\]

where the components in parentheses are the minor phases stoichiometrically equivalent to
anorthite (an$_{equiv}$) and $x$ refers to the proportions of these components, along with $y$ moles of
water, incorporated into the NaCl-rich liquid (NaCl$_{\text{liq}}$). The stoichiometric proportions of NaCl
and CaCO$_3$ in reaction (3) are based on the ideal compositions of end-member marialite and
meionite, which, at least for NaCl, is fairly close to the average Cl content ($= 0.89 \pm 0.09$ apfu)
of the scapolites reported in Table 6.

Discussion
Compositions of synthetic scapolite

Figure 5a shows the compositions of the synthetic scapolite (solid squares) equilibrated along the univariant curve of reaction (3) as reported in Table 6. Also shown for comparison are the compositions of 109 natural scapolites selected from the literature that are relatively SO\textsubscript{3} poor and that span a wide range of scapolite-composition space. As can be seen in Figure 5a, scapolite compositions do not follow any one binary end-member join. Near the Cl-rich portion of this diagram, and certainly within the analytical uncertainties, the scapolites formed in this study are fairly well represented by the join marialite-meionite. This choice of scapolite components is also supported by Teertstra and Sherriff (1997) who, after an extensive review of the literature available at that time, noted the complexity of solid solutions in the scapolites and indicated two possible alternatives that may control the chemical composition of scapolites. The first alternative suggests that in closed systems with a low fluid/rock ratio, variations in $X_{\text{Cl}}$ of scapolite may be preserved in metamorphic rocks on a scale of cm, suggesting that scapolite composition is a sensitive indicator of NaCl activity in coexisting fluid. The second one suggests that Cl content of scapolite is rather strongly controlled by the crystal structure, and that the chlorinity of the coexisting fluid is a secondary factor after charge-balance constraints imposed by the framework. Nonetheless, their study suggested marialite and meionite as the solid solution providing the best overall representation for NaCl-rich scapolites.

In view of the potential Cl enrichment in the synthetic scapolite, an additional plot is provided in Figure 5b to help gauge whether the scapolite component dipyre (Na\textsubscript{3}CaAl\textsubscript{4}Si\textsubscript{8}O\textsubscript{24}Cl) may be a significant component in the synthetic and natural Cl-rich scapolites, as trends toward the Na-rich and CO\textsubscript{3}-free portion of the series have been reported previously. For instance, Lieftink et al. (1993) reported Cl-rich scapolites from Bamble, Norway, with 3.7 Al apfu (rather
than 3.0 Al apfu, as expected for end-member marialite) for an A site fully occupied by Cl,
suggesting a high proportion of the dipyre component. However, as seen in Figure 5b, there is
considerable scatter of the data between marialite and dipyre, even for this sampling of published
scapolite analyses, making it difficult to identify any clear trend favoring one of these end-
members over another. Figures 5a and 5b together suggest that mizzonite is a reasonable choice
as a carbonate-rich component; unfortunately there are no thermochemical data for mizzonite.
Indeed, there are no thermochemical data for any scapolites other than meionite and the recent
data for marialite of Almeida and Jenkins (2017). Until additional data on other scapolite end-
members are available, the marialite-meionite join will be adopted as a reasonable representation
of the compositional variations in scapolite, particularly near the Cl-rich end.

**Cl-rich scapolite P-T phase equilibria**

Results on the stability of the intermediate scapolite studied here can be compared with
earlier results on end-member meionite from Newton and Goldsmith (1976) and on marialite
from Almeida and Jenkins (2017) to illustrate how the addition of minor meionite affects the P-T
stability of marialite. Figure 6 shows the lower-thermal stability of the scapolite investigated in
this study (Ma$_{85}$Me$_{15}$) in comparison to that of the end-member scapolites marialite (Ma$_{100}$Me$_0$)
from Almeida and Jenkins (2017) and meionite (Ma$_0$Me$_{100}$) from Newton and Goldsmith (1976).
There is a pronounced expansion (~300 °C at 1.5 GPa) in the stability of scapolite arising from
the 15 mol% substitution of meionite into marialite. This phenomenon is attributed to the greater
entropy of mixing in scapolite relative to plagioclase. Based on the mineral analyses shown in
Figure 2, there does not appear to be any obvious variation in mineral compositions along this
univariant boundary such that this boundary is essentially an isopleth of constant scapolite
composition.
Marialite-meionite T-X phase equilibria

By combining the information on the intermediate scapolite of this study with the P-T stability of end-member marialite from Almeida and Jenkins (2017) and meionite from Goldsmith and Newton (1977) and the extant thermochemical data for these end members, it is possible to calculate the temperature-composition (T-X) phase equilibria for the marialite-meionite join in equilibrium with plagioclase. The major challenge in calculating a T-X diagram is the lack of information on the activity-composition relations for scapolite and the limited experimental data to constrain any proposed activity models. With this in mind, simplistic activity expressions for the Ma and Me components in scapolite are used here which are sufficient to give the general configuration of the diagram, but which will surely need revision as additional data become available.

Using an activity model involving random mixing on all sites (e.g., Price, 1985) for scapolite produces activities that are dependent on the mole fraction (X) of site occupancy that varies as $X^{17}$ which, in turn, yields extremely small activities with attendant small differences in Gibbs free energies making it challenging to calculate boundaries between coexisting phases. Instead, activity expressions that involve coupled substitutions or restricted mixing arising, for example, from Al avoidance in anorthitic plagioclase (e.g., Kerrick and Darken, 1975), were adopted here. Based on the crystallographic study of scapolites along the marialite-meionite join by Sokolova and Hawthorne (2008), the majority of cation substitution occurs on the four $T(1)$ sites, which range from fully occupied by Si in marialite to approximately 50% occupancy by Si and Al for meionite. Assuming random mixing of Al and Si on these four $T(1)$ sites and coupled substitutions with the cations and anions in the remaining $T(2)$, M, and A sites, one has the ideal activities:
Non-ideal mixing is accounted for using a macroscopic two-parameter (asymmetric) Margules treatment calibrated to the plagioclase and scapolite compositions from this study at 680 °C and 1.5 GPa. The associated activity coefficient \( \gamma_i \) for component \( i \) is calculated as:

\[
RT \ln(\gamma_{Ma}) = (1 - X_{Ma})^2 [W_{Ma} + 2(W_{Me} - W_{Ma}) X_{Ma}] \\
RT \ln(\gamma_{Me}) = (1 - X_{Me})^2 [W_{Me} + 2(W_{Ma} - W_{Me}) X_{Ma}]
\]

where \( W_{Ma} \) and \( W_{Me} \) are the interaction parameters for the asymmetric treatment. These are multiplied by the ideal activities to obtain the real activities, i.e., \( a_i = a_i^{\text{ideal}} \cdot \gamma_i \). The thermodynamic treatment of high structural state plagioclases from Newton et al. (1980) was used for the albite-anorthite join. The complete set of thermodynamic data and expressions used to calculate the \( T-X \) diagrams presented here is given in Appendix 1.

The calculated phase boundaries and derived values of \( W_{Ma} \) and \( W_{Me} \) calibrated to the two data points from this study at 1.5 GPa are shown in Figure 7a. Of particular note is the pronounced expansion of the scapolite stability field relative to plagioclase, similar to the \( T-X \) diagrams of Oterdoom and Gunter (1983) and Baker and Newton (1995) for the carbonate scapolites. The large negative interaction parameter derived in this study is also consistent with the large negative (regular solution) interaction parameters derived in the former studies.

Using the same ideal activities proposed in equations (4a,b), one can estimate interaction parameters that model, at least approximately, the T-X correlations observed for a variety of Cl- and CO\(_3\)-rich scapolites coexisting with plagioclase as reported from different localities. Shown in Figure 7b are coexisting scapolite (solid symbols) and plagioclase (open symbols) from
metamorphosed argillaceous limestones from the Chiavenna complex, Italy (Oterdoom, 1979; Oterdoom and Gunter, 1983), calc-silicate units of the Wallace Formation, northern Idaho (Rebbert and Rice, 1997), metagabbro from the Adirondack Mountains, NY (Johnson et al., 2004), and granitic gneiss from the Munali hills area of the Lufilian-Zambezi belt, Zambia (Katonga et al., 2011). Interaction parameters were derived from the approximately average compositions of coexisting plagioclase and scapolite for the Ca-poor (Cl-rich) phases, and the phase boundaries were calculated using the same thermodynamic treatment as used in Figure 7a. What is surprising is how well the Ca-rich samples are modeled by this treatment even though they were not included in deriving the interaction parameters. There are obvious inconsistencies between the boundaries modeled here and the trends shown by the field data in Figure 7b, particularly regarding the Ca-poor samples which appear to have increasing Ca contents with increasing $T$; however, the phase relations given in Figure 7b are offered as a starting point for quantifying scapolite-plagioclase phase equilibria along the marialite-meionite join.

**Implications**

Scapolites occur in many metamorphic terrains and metasomatic environments (Vanko and Bishop, 1982; Mora and Valley, 1989; Teertstra and Sherriff, 1997; Johnson and Barton, 2000a, 2000b; Johnson et al., 2004; Katonga et al., 2011; Hammerli et al. 2014). The overwhelming majority of scapolites are intermediate in composition (Teertstra and Sherriff, 1997) and only rarely do they approach end-member compositions, such as the Cl-rich scapolite in melt inclusions in the martian meteorite Nakhla (Filiberto et al., 2014). Understanding the $P-T-X$ conditions under which Cl- and CO$_3$-bearing scapolite forms may help to explain the prevalence of intermediate, as compared to end member, scapolites. The results from this study demonstrate that a small change in the scapolite composition from end-member marialite to intermediate
scapolite (Ma_{85}Me_{15}) causes a shift in its stability, relative to the plagioclase plus salt assemblage, by 260 °C from 990 ° to 730 °C at 2.0 GPa. This greatly expanded scapolite stability field is illustrated in Figures 7a and 7b. Furthermore, the intermediate scapolite is much more tolerant to lower brine salinities. For instance, the intermediate scapolite of this study requires only a brine salinity of approximately 0.2 \( X^\text{Bulk NaCl} \) (near halite saturation) at 830 °C and 680 °C at pressures of 2.0 GPa and 1.5 GPa, respectively, in order for it to be stable. In comparison, pure marialite is very intolerant to water; it requires a minimum brine salinity of about 0.8 \( X^\text{Bulk NaCl} \) (which is above halite saturation) at 1050 °C and 1000 °C at pressures of 2.0 GPa and 1.5 GPa, respectively, to stabilize marialite. Although this experimental study was mostly performed at relatively high pressures replicating lower crust conditions, one experimental run (REVSS2-10) indicated that intermediate scapolite was stable at pressure as low as 0.4 GPa and 750 °C. This suggests that if a closed system has an abundant source of Na and Cl (i.e. evaporitic sediments or brines), marialitic scapolite could be stable at upper and middle crustal conditions. Some geological settings that could contain Cl-rich scapolite formed from high-salinity brines at lower pressure conditions are mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal systems (Alt, 1995; Fontaine et al., 2007), zones of back-arc spreading (Kendrick et al., 2014), or even igneous intrusions into continental basins containing evaporites (Vanko and Bishop, 1982). The results from this study are consistent with those of Ellis (1978) who found that intermediate scapolites, and not end-member marialite or meionite, are stable at 750 °C and 0.4 GPa in the presence of a wide range of bulk brine salinities. The combined effects of a broader thermal stability field and tolerance to lower salinities mean that intermediate scapolites will be more commonly encountered in shallow- to mid-crustal levels at temperatures of ~500 °C (~800 K) or higher.
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Appendix

The following thermodynamic expressions were used to calculate the phase diagrams shown in Figures 7a and 7b. The reactions defining the stability of the components Na$_4$Al$_3$Si$_9$O$_{24}$Cl (= Ma) and Ca$_4$Al$_6$Si$_6$O$_{24}$CO$_3$ (= Me) in scapolite relative to NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$ (= Ab) and CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$ (=An) in plagioclase are:

3 NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$ + NaCl = Na$_4$Al$_3$Si$_9$O$_{24}$Cl

3 CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$ + CaCO$_3$ = Ca$_4$Al$_6$Si$_6$O$_{24}$CO$_3$

The equilibrium constants corresponding to these reactions are:

\[ K_1 = \frac{a_{Ma}^{Scap}}{a_{Ab}^{Plag}} \]

\[ K_2 = \frac{a_{Me}^{Scap}}{a_{An}^{Plag}} \]

where \( a_i^j \) is the activity of component \( i \) in phase \( j \). Substituting the activity-composition relations indicated in equations 4a and 4b for scapolite, the activity coefficients in 5a and 5b for scapolite, and the activity-composition expressions of Newton et al. (1980) for plagioclase into A3 and A4, one has:

\[ K_1 = \frac{X_{Ma}^4 \cdot \gamma_{Ma}}{(X_{Ab}^2(2 - X_{Ab}) \cdot \gamma_{Ab})} \]
\[ K_2 = \left( \frac{4(1 - X_{Ma})^2 \left( \frac{1 + X_{Ma}}{2} \right)^2}{(1/4)(1 - X_{Ab})2 - X_{Ab})^2 \cdot \gamma_{Ab}} \right)^2 \]  

At equilibrium at a given \( P \) and \( T \) one has:

\[ \Delta G_1 = 0 = \Delta G_1^\circ + RT \ln K_1 \]  

\[ \Delta G_2 = 0 = \Delta G_2^\circ + RT \ln K_2 \]  

where \( \Delta G \) is the Gibbs free energy of the reaction, \( \Delta G^\circ \) is the Gibbs free energy for the pure phases, \( R \) is the universal gas constant (kJ/K·mol), and \( T \) is temperature in Kelvins. Expressions A7 and A8 must be solved simultaneously to find the compositions of scapolite (\( X_{Ma} \)) and plagioclase (\( X_{Ab} \)) that satisfy both conditions for equilibrium. Values for \( \Delta G^\circ \) were calculated using the data in Table A1 and the expression:

\[ \Delta G^\circ_{P,T} = \Delta H^\circ_{1298} - T\Delta S^\circ_{1298} + \int_{298}^{T} \Delta C_p dT - T \int_{298}^{T} \left( \frac{\Delta C_p}{T} \right) dT + \Delta V^\circ_{1298}(P - P_0) \]  

Table A1. Thermochemical data used in this study from Holland and Powell (2011) and from Almeida and Jenkins (2017) for marialite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>( \Delta H^\circ ) _298K, 1bar (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>( S^\circ ) _298K, 1bar (kJ/K·mol)</th>
<th>( V^\circ ) _298K, 1bar (kJ/kbar·mol)</th>
<th>( a^* )</th>
<th>( b \times 10^5 )</th>
<th>( c )</th>
<th>( d )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>albite(high)</td>
<td>-3921.49</td>
<td>0.2243</td>
<td>10.105</td>
<td>0.4520</td>
<td>-1.3364</td>
<td>-1275.9</td>
<td>-3.9536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>halite</td>
<td>-411.3</td>
<td>0.0721</td>
<td>2.702</td>
<td>0.0452</td>
<td>1.797</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marialite</td>
<td>-12167.49</td>
<td>0.75793</td>
<td>33.035</td>
<td>1.172</td>
<td>9.0626</td>
<td>-4676.4</td>
<td>-8.2379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anorthite</td>
<td>-4232.7</td>
<td>0.2005</td>
<td>10.0790</td>
<td>0.3705</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>-4339.1</td>
<td>-1.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcite</td>
<td>-1207.88</td>
<td>0.0925</td>
<td>3.6890</td>
<td>0.1409</td>
<td>0.5029</td>
<td>-950.7</td>
<td>-0.858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meionite</td>
<td>-13842</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>33.9850</td>
<td>1.359</td>
<td>3.6442</td>
<td>-8594.7</td>
<td>-9.598</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The heat capacity terms (\( a, b, c, \) and \( d \)) are the coefficients in the expression \( C_p = a + b(T) + c/(T^2) + d/(T^{0.5}) \), and have units that give the heat capacity (\( C_p \)) in kJ/K·mol.
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Table 1. Mixture bulk compositions, treatment conditions, and products of phase synthesis experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Code</th>
<th>Bulk composition used</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>P (GPa)</th>
<th>t (h)</th>
<th>H₂O (wt%)</th>
<th>Products and comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSMF2-3</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 6.8NaCl + 1.7CaCO₃</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>scap, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF3-1</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 6.4NaCl + 1.6CaCO₃</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>scap, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF3-3</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 6.4NaCl + 1.6CaCO₃</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>scap, hal, cal, qtz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF3-4</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 6.4NaCl + 1.6CaCO₃</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>scap, hal, cal, qtz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF3-7</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 6.4NaCl + 1.6CaCO₃</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>scap, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF2-2</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 6.8NaCl + 1.7CaCO₃</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>plag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM1-1G</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 1.6NaCl + 0.4CaCO₃</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>plag, qtz, cal, cor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF1G</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 1.6NaCl + 0.4CaCO₃</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>plag, qtz, cal, cor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF3-2</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 6.4NaCl + 1.6CaCO₃</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>plag, qtz, cal, cor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF2-2</td>
<td>Na₂₄Ca₀.₆₆Al₃₆Si₈₄O₂₄ + 6.8NaCl + 1.7CaCO₃</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>plag, qtz, cal, cor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM1-1X</td>
<td>3(Na₀.₈Ca₀.₂Al₁₂Si₂₈O₈)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>plag, qtz, cal, cor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Composition</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Minerals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF1-10</td>
<td>Na$<em>{2.4}$Ca$</em>{0.6}$Al$<em>{3.6}$Si$</em>{8.4}$O$_{24}$ + 1.6NaCl + 0.4CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>900°</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>plag, qtz, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM1-1P</td>
<td>Na$<em>{2.4}$Ca$</em>{0.6}$Al$<em>{3.6}$Si$</em>{8.4}$O$_{24}$ + 1.6NaCl + 0.4CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>900°</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>plag, qtz, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM1F-1</td>
<td>3(Na$<em>{0.8}$Ca$</em>{0.2}$Al$<em>{1.2}$Si$</em>{2.8}$O$_8$)</td>
<td>900°</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>plag, woll, qtz, cor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Uncertainties are estimated at ± 15 °C in temperature and ± 0.05 GPa in pressure.

* Capsules were treated in the same experiment

** Heating ramp of 0.5 min used for these samples, all other at or above 1.0 GPa were 1 min

Abbreviations: cal = calcite; cor = corundum; hal = halite; neph = nepheline; plag = plagioclase; qtz = quartz; scap = scapolite; woll = wollastonite;
Table 2. Compositions (average of \( n \) analyses) and unit-cell dimensions of the starting material scapolite and plagioclase used to investigate the phase-equilibria in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Scapolite</th>
<th>Plagioclase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SSMF3-1</td>
<td>SSMF3-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition (wt%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>64.5(2.1)</td>
<td>64.5(2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>20.0(1.7)</td>
<td>18.2(2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.9(1.1)</td>
<td>1.2(1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>9.1(0.7)</td>
<td>8.3(0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>4.0(0.2)</td>
<td>4.0(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.6(1.9)</td>
<td>96.3(3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-O=Cl</td>
<td>98.7(1.9)</td>
<td>95.4(3.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cations per Al + Si = 12

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>8.79(0.23)</td>
<td>9.01(0.34)</td>
<td>9.10(0.39)</td>
<td>3.04(0.02)</td>
<td>2.85(0.19)</td>
<td>2.89(0.12)</td>
<td>2.95(0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>3.21(0.23)</td>
<td>2.99(0.34)</td>
<td>2.90(0.39)</td>
<td>0.99(0.01)</td>
<td>1.16(0.19)</td>
<td>1.13(0.12)</td>
<td>1.07(0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.28(0.17)</td>
<td>0.19(0.16)</td>
<td>0.21(0.16)</td>
<td>0.01(0.01)</td>
<td>0.18(0.16)</td>
<td>0.13(0.10)</td>
<td>0.03(0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>2.41(0.19)</td>
<td>2.26(0.25)</td>
<td>2.20(0.23)</td>
<td>0.85(0.04)</td>
<td>0.76(0.15)</td>
<td>0.79(0.09)</td>
<td>0.92(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.94(0.06)</td>
<td>0.96(0.07)</td>
<td>0.98(0.08)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14.7(0.3)</td>
<td>14.45(0.26)</td>
<td>14.41(0.29)</td>
<td>4.89(0.03)</td>
<td>4.95(0.03)</td>
<td>4.94(0.03)</td>
<td>4.97(0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/(Ca+Na)</td>
<td>0.10(0.05)</td>
<td>0.08(0.06)</td>
<td>0.09(0.06)</td>
<td>0.01(0.01)</td>
<td>0.19(0.17)</td>
<td>0.14(0.10)</td>
<td>0.03(0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unit-cell dimensions

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( a ) (Å)</td>
<td>12.054(1)</td>
<td>12.033(1)</td>
<td>12.035(1)</td>
<td>8.1433(8)</td>
<td>8.1599(9)</td>
<td>8.1506(7)</td>
<td>8.1490(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b ) (Å)</td>
<td>12.054(1)</td>
<td>12.033(1)</td>
<td>12.035(1)</td>
<td>12.853(1)</td>
<td>12.871(2)</td>
<td>12.858(2)</td>
<td>12.855(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$ (Å)</td>
<td>7.5499(8)</td>
<td>7.5427(8)</td>
<td>7.5399(7)</td>
<td>7.1083(6)</td>
<td>7.1249(7)</td>
<td>7.1172(6)</td>
<td>7.1174(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ (°)</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>93.60(1)</td>
<td>93.55(1)</td>
<td>93.58(1)</td>
<td>93.65(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ (°)</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>116.43(1)</td>
<td>116.345(9)</td>
<td>116.36(1)</td>
<td>116.46(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ (°)</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>89.94(1)</td>
<td>89.92(1)</td>
<td>89.89(1)</td>
<td>89.80(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$ (Å³)</td>
<td>1096.9(2)</td>
<td>1092.2(2)</td>
<td>1092.0(2)</td>
<td>664.62(7)</td>
<td>669.01(9)</td>
<td>666.73(7)</td>
<td>665.88(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{1O}$</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;t_{1m}&gt;$*</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.4(0.8)</td>
<td>10.8(1.4)</td>
<td>8.5(1.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.4(0.8)</td>
<td>10.8(1.4)</td>
<td>8.5(1.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Standard deviations (1 σ) of the average of $n$ analyses are given in parentheses for the compositional data, and in the last digit for the unit-cell dimensions.

* Tetrahedral Al-Si ordering parameter for plagioclase from Kroll and Ribbe (1980) based on the unit-cell angle $\gamma$: 0.0 = fully disordered; 1.0 = fully ordered (all Al at $t_{1O}$).

** Anorthite content derived from the least-squares regression of the $\beta$ (°) values for the $C\bar{T}$ plagioclase data of Benisek et al. (2003) to a 3rd-order polynomial: An (mol%) = -1.3259 X 10^9 + 3.4226 X 10^7 $\beta$ - 2.9449 X 10^5 $\beta^2$ + 8.4464 X 10^2 $\beta^3$. Reported as 0 if calculated as less than 0.
Table 3. Synthetic phases used to make reversal mixtures for reactions (1) and (2) in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reversal mixture</th>
<th>Scapolite</th>
<th>Plagioclase</th>
<th>Halite*</th>
<th>Calcite*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVSS1</td>
<td>SSMF3-1</td>
<td>SSM1F-1</td>
<td>3.2 moles</td>
<td>0.8 moles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2</td>
<td>SSMF3-3</td>
<td>SSM1FP-1</td>
<td>3.2 moles</td>
<td>0.8 moles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4</td>
<td>SSMF3-3</td>
<td>SSM1FP-4</td>
<td>4 moles</td>
<td>0.8 moles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5</td>
<td>SSMF3-7</td>
<td>SSM1-10</td>
<td>4 moles</td>
<td>0.8 moles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Moles of halite or calcite per mole of scapolite
Table 4. Treatment at dry conditions of reversal mixtures for reaction (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>P (GPa)</th>
<th>t (h)</th>
<th>Products and comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-15</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-10</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-12</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>plag, scap, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-16</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-17</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-14</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-18</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>plag, scap, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-19</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-11</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS1-6</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>plag, scap, hal, cal, woll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-22</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>plag, scap, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS1-5</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-9</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-8</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-7</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-1</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-6</td>
<td>760*</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-4</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-5</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-3</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS1-4</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal, woll</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REVSS2-2  850  2.00  70  scap, plag, hal, cal
REVSS1-3  900  2.00  22  scap, hal, cal, woll
REVSS1-2  960  2.00  21  scap, hal, cal woll
REVSS1-1  1000  2.00  21  scap, hal, cal, woll

Note: Uncertainties are estimated as ± 5 °C in temperature and 0.05 GPa in pressure.

* Heating ramp of 0.5 min; all other experiments were done with a heating ramp of 1 min
Table 5. Treatment at hydrothermal conditions of reversal mixtures for reaction (2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>P (GPa)</th>
<th>t (h)</th>
<th>H₂O (wt%)</th>
<th>Products and comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-6</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>~5</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-4</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>~12</td>
<td>no apparent reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-5</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>~15</td>
<td>plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-3</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>~27</td>
<td>plag, scap, hal, cal, qtz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-1</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>~16</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-2</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-8</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-7</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-10</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>~7</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS5-9</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS2-20H</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>scap, hal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4-5</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>scap, hal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4-6</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>scap, hal, cal(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4-7</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>~28</td>
<td>plag, hal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4-1</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>scap, hal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4-2</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4-3</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>scap, plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4-4</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>plag, hal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4-10</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>~7</td>
<td>scap, hal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVSS4-8</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>~14</td>
<td>plag, hal, cal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: All experiments were done with a heating ramp of 1 min. Uncertainties are estimated as ± 5 °C in temperature and 0.05 GPa in pressure.
Table 6. Compositions of scapolite synthesized at hydrothermal conditions in this study, reported as weight% oxides and chlorine and cations per 12 Al+Si atoms for the average of \( n \) electron microprobe analyses. Uncertainties (1\( \sigma \)) are given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>REVSS4-3</th>
<th>REVSS4-6</th>
<th>REVSS4-10</th>
<th>REVSS5-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composition (wt%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{SiO}_2 )</td>
<td>58.5 (2.0)</td>
<td>57.5 (3.8)</td>
<td>57.4 (4.5)</td>
<td>59.6 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 )</td>
<td>19.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>20.4 (2.1)</td>
<td>20.3 (1.4)</td>
<td>19.5 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CaO} )</td>
<td>2.64 (1.94)</td>
<td>3.85 (2.04)</td>
<td>4.25 (2.14)</td>
<td>3.21 (2.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Na}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>12.2 (1.0)</td>
<td>12.0 (2.0)</td>
<td>11.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>12.6 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Cl} )</td>
<td>4.06 (0.58)</td>
<td>3.15 (0.66)</td>
<td>3.81 (0.47)</td>
<td>3.37 (0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>95.7 (2.5)</td>
<td>96.2 (4.5)</td>
<td>96.8 (3.8)</td>
<td>97.5 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-O=Cl</td>
<td>94.8 (2.6)</td>
<td>95.5 (4.5)</td>
<td>95.9 (3.8)</td>
<td>96.8 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cations (per 12 Al+Si)

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{TSi} )</td>
<td>8.65 (0.28)</td>
<td>8.46 (0.32)</td>
<td>8.46 (0.30)</td>
<td>8.65 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{TAI} )</td>
<td>3.35 (0.28)</td>
<td>3.54 (0.32)</td>
<td>3.54 (0.30)</td>
<td>3.35 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum T</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{MCa} )</td>
<td>0.42 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.33)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.35)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{MNa} )</td>
<td>3.50 (0.29)</td>
<td>3.41 (0.31)</td>
<td>3.38 (0.32)</td>
<td>3.54 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum M</td>
<td>3.92 (0.12)</td>
<td>4.02 (0.11)</td>
<td>4.06 (0.11)</td>
<td>4.05 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.91 (0.13)</td>
<td>16.02 (0.11)</td>
<td>16.06 (0.11)</td>
<td>16.05 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Cl} )</td>
<td>1.02 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Ca}/(\text{Ca}+\text{Na}) )</td>
<td>0.11 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7. Compositions of plagioclase synthesized at hydrothermal conditions in this study, reported as weight% oxides and cations per 8 O atoms of the average of n electron microprobe analyses. Uncertainties (1σ) are given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample wt%</th>
<th>REVSS4-4</th>
<th>REVSS4-7</th>
<th>REVSS4-8</th>
<th>REVSS5-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>69.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>64.5 (3.3)</td>
<td>61.1 (7.8)</td>
<td>67.1 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>20.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>19.6 (1.8)</td>
<td>19.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>21.0 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.67 (0.59)</td>
<td>1.81 (1.06)</td>
<td>2.09 (0.73)</td>
<td>2.08 (1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>11.2 (0.5)</td>
<td>10.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>10.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>11.0 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>102.7 (3.2)</td>
<td>96.4 (5.8)</td>
<td>93.0 (9.1)</td>
<td>101.3 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cations

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSi</td>
<td>2.95 (0.03)</td>
<td>2.94 (0.05)</td>
<td>2.89 (0.09)</td>
<td>2.91 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAl</td>
<td>1.05 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.10)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum T</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCa</td>
<td>0.08 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNa</td>
<td>0.92 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum M</td>
<td>1.00 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.06)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.04)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5.00 (0.03)</td>
<td>5.01 (0.06)</td>
<td>5.03 (0.04)</td>
<td>5.01 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_{Ab}</td>
<td>0.92 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_{Ab}</td>
<td>0.95 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* X_{Ab} = Na/(Na+Ca)

** X_{Ab} = [(2-Al)+(Si-2)]/2
Figure captions

Figure 1. Representative back-scattered-electron (BSE) images. (a) Synthetic plagioclase (unlabeled grains) from sample SSM1F-1. Minor wollastonite (W) is present as the brighter grains, while rare quartz (Q) can be found, though nearly identical in grey-level brightness to the plagioclase. (b) Image of the reversal starting mixture REVSS1, with the larger grains labeled accordingly as plagioclase (P), scapolite (S), quartz, and wollastonite. All but the wollastonite has very similar grey-level brightness. The scapolite tends to have a slightly more regular blocky habit.

Figure 2. Compositions of starting material (solid symbols) and reaction product (open symbols) phases, as determined by electron microprobe analysis, expressed in terms of the molar ratio of Ca/(Ca+Na). (a) Scapolite compositions at 2.0 GPa (top) and 1.5 GPa (bottom). (b) Plagioclase compositions at 2.0 GPa (top) and 1.5 GPa (bottom). Arrows indicate sense of compositional re-equilibration from the starting materials (sample codes from Table 2 in parentheses) to the final compositions for each of the reversal experiments indicated (REVSS x-x).

Figure 3. $P-T$ diagram of the experimental results of scapolite (Scap) stability (heavy solid line) relative to plagioclase (Plag), halite (Hal), and calcite (Cal). Shown for comparison is the stability of end-member marialite (Ma) from Almeida and Jenkins (2017, AJ 17, light solid and dashed lines) relative to albite (Ab) and halite, as well as the curve for end-member marialite from Filiberto et al. (2014, F 14, dash-dot curve). A significant shift in stabilization has occurred with the small change in chemical composition from end-member marialite to intermediate scapolite of the composition $\text{Ma}_{85}\text{Me}_{15}$. Open circles indicate growth of scapolite, solid circles growth of plagioclase, and half-filled circles indicate no obvious reaction. Critical
data defining the stability of end-member marialite are from Almeida and Jenkins (2017),
where the solid squares represent growth of marialite and the open squares growth of albite and
either halite or, at low pressure, NaCl$_{\text{liq}}$ which is a liquid rich in NaCl, though not necessarily
pure NaCl. The queried dashed line is a possible boundary indicating the lower-pressure
stability of the intermediate scapolite of this study.

Figure 4. (a) Thermal stability of scapolite in the presence of water at 2.0 GPa, where $X_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{Bulk}}$ indicates the mole fraction of NaCl/(H$_2$O + NaCl) for the bulk mixture. Open circles indicate
plagioclase growth, filled circles indicate scapolite growth, and half-filled circles indicate no
reaction. Numbers indicate the (bulk) H$_2$O wt% used in that experiment. Estimated
hydrothermal melting of NaCl (NaCl$_{\text{liq}}$) extrapolated from lower-pressure results of Aranovich
and Newton (1996). Long horizontal line is the lower-thermal stability of scapolite from Figure
3 incorporated into this diagram. (b) Stability of scapolite in the presence of water at 1.5 GPa.
Possible minimum salinities of the brine before scapolite breaks down to plagioclase + calcite
+ liquid are shown as maximum (Max) and minimum (Min) at approximately 0.32 and 0.15
$X_{\text{NaCl}}^{\text{Bulk}}$, respectively. Other abbreviations and symbols as in (a).

Figure 5. (a) Chlorine contents in atoms per formula unit (apfu) vs mole fraction Ca/(Ca+Na+K)
for scapolite by electron microprobe analysis of phases from this study (solid squares) and
from natural samples: circles are from the Humboldt lopolith, Nevada (Vanko and Bishop,
1982; VB 1982); diamonds are from various localities reported in Graziani and Luchessi
(1982; GL 1982); triangles are from the calc-silicates of the Mary Kathleen fold belt, Australia
( Oliver et al.,1992; OWC 1992); dash-circles are from scapolitized metagabbros of the Bamble
Sector, Norway (Lieftink et al., 1993; LNM 1993); hexagons are from scapolite-bearing calc-
silicates of the Wallace Formation, Idaho (Rebbert and Rice, 1997; RR 1997); stars are
scapolites from various localities (Teertstra and Sherriff, 1997; TS 1997); pluses are from a sheared gabbro-anorthosite in Lofoten, Norway (Kullerud and Erambert, 1999; KE 1999); crosses are from the Dana Hill metagabbro, New York (Johnson et al., 2004; JGF 2004); and squares are scapolite in a melt inclusion in the meteorite Nakhla (Filiberto et al, 2014; F et al 2014). Diagonal lines join ideal marialite (Ma) with mizzonite or with meionite (Me). (b) Chlorine contents (apfu) vs (Si-6)/3 for synthetic and natural scapolites. Same symbols as in (a). Diagonal lines join Ma and Me, mizzonite (Miz) and Ma, and Me and dipyre (Na$_3$CaAl$_4$Si$_8$O$_{24}$Cl).

Figure 6. Comparison of the lower-thermal stability of the intermediate scapolite from this study (Ma$_{85}$Me$_{15}$) with that of end-member marialite (Ma$_{100}$Me$_0$) from Almeida and Jenkins (2017, AJ 2017) and meionite (Ma$_0$Me$_{100}$) from Goldsmith and Newton (1977, GN 1977).

Figure 7. (a) Calculated phase equilibria for scapolite (Scap), shown by filled symbols, coexisting with albitic plagioclase (Ab$_{ss}$) or anorthitic plagioclase (An$_{ss}$), shown by open symbols. Curves calculated using asymmetric or two-parameter Margules treatment as discussed in the text. Interaction parameters ($W_{Ma}$, $W_{Me}$) were calibrated to the two data points (circles) from this study. (b) Calculated phase equilibria as in (a) but with interaction parameters calibrated to the approximate compositions of coexisting Ab$_{ss}$ and scapolite taken from the literature. Samples or compositional ranges are from Oterdoom (1979 = Ot 1979, diamonds), Rebbert and Rice (1997 = RR 1997, triangles), Johnson et al. (2004 = JGF 2004, squares), and Katongo et al. (2011= K et al. 2011, hexagons). All assemblages are in the presence of excess halite and calcite.
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The diagram shows a phase diagram for plagioclase and scapolite stability as a function of pressure and temperature. The lines indicate the stability regions for different compositions labeled as Ma$_{90}$Me$_{10}$, Ma$_{95}$Me$_{15}$, and Ma$_{99}$Me$_{1}$. The curves for this study and previous studies such as GN 1977 and AJ 2017 are shown, along with their respective stability limits.
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