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ABSTRACT

Alumino-oxy-rossmanite, ideally $\square \text{Al}_2\text{Al}_6(\text{Si}_5\text{AlO}_{18})(\text{BO}_3)_3(\text{OH})_3\text{O}$, is here described as a new member of the tourmaline supergroup. It is an early-magmatic Al-rich oxy-tourmaline from a small pegmatitic body embedded in amphibolite and biotite-rich paragneiss. This new pink tourmaline was found in a Moldanubian pegmatite (of the Drosendorf Unit) that occurs in a large quarry near the village of Eibenstein an der Thaya, Waidhofen an der Thaya district, Lower Austria, Austria. The empirical formula of the holotype was determined on the basis of electron-microprobe analysis (EMPA), secondary-ion mass spectrometry (SIMS), spectroscopical methods (optical absorption and infrared spectroscopy), and crystal-structure refinement (SREF) as $X(\square_{0.53}\text{Na}_{0.46}\text{Ca}_{0.01})^{Y}(\text{Al}_{2.37}\text{Mn}^{3+}_{0.21}\text{Li}_{0.16}\square_{0.14}\text{Mn}^{2+}_{0.07}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.03}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.01}\text{Ti}^{4+}_{0.01})^{Z}\text{Al}_6$.

$(\text{Si}_{5.37}\text{Al}_{0.41}\text{B}_{0.22}\text{O}_{18})(\text{BO}_3)_3^{V}[(\text{OH})_{2.77}\text{O}_{0.23}]^{W}[\text{O}_{0.80}(\text{OH})_{0.15}\text{F}_{0.05}]$. Chemical composition (wt%) is: SiO$_2$ 33.96, TiO$_2$ 0.10, Al$_2$O$_3$ 47.08, B$_2$O$_3$ 11.77, FeO 0.08, Fe$_2$O$_3$ 0.23, MnO 0.52, Mn$_2$O$_3$ 1.70, CaO 0.04, Li$_2$O 0.25, ZnO 0.03, Na$_2$O 1.51, H$_2$O 2.79, F 0.09, total 100.11. The presence of relatively high amounts of trivalent Mn in alumino-oxy-rossmanite is in agreement with the observation that the OH groups are present at a lower concentration than commonly found in other Al-rich and Li-bearing tourmalines. The crystal structure of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (space group $R3m$; $a = 15.803(1)$ Å; $c = 7.088(1)$ Å; $V = 1532.9(3)$ Å$^3$) was refined to an $R1(F)$ value of 1.68 %. The eight strongest X-ray diffraction lines in the (calculated) powder pattern [d in Å(I)hkl] are: 2.5534(100)(051), 3.9508(85)(220), 2.9236(78)(122), 4.1783(61)(211), 2.4307(55)(012), 2.0198(39)(152), 1.8995(30)(342), 6.294(28)(101). The most common associated minerals are quartz, albite, microcline and apatite. Beryl and in places schorl are also found as primary pegmatitic phases. Because of the low mode of associated mica (muscovite), we assume that the silica melt, which formed this pegmatite, crystallized under relatively dry conditions.
conditions, in agreement with the observation that alumino-oxy-rossmanite contains a lower amount of OH than most other tourmalines. This new member of the tourmaline supergroup exhibits the most Al-rich end-member composition of the tourmaline supergroup (theoretical content: ~54 wt% Al₂O₃). The significant content of tetrahedrally-coordinated Al could reflect the relatively high-temperature conditions (~700 °C) inferred for crystallization of the pegmatite.

Alumino-oxy-rossmanite was named for its chemical relationship to rossmanite, 
□(LiAl₂)Al₆(Si₆O₁₈)(BO₃)₃(OH)₃(OH), which in turn was named after Dr. George R. Rossman, Professor of Mineralogy at the California Institute of Technology (Pasadena, California, USA).
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**INTRODUCTION AND PREVIOUS WORK**

The generalized formula of tourmaline-supergroup minerals can be written

\[
XY_3Z_6(T_6O_{18})(BO_3)_3V_3W, \text{ as proposed by Henry et al. (2011). These authors and Hawthorne (1996, 2002) suggest occupancies by the following most common cations:}
\]

\[IX = \text{Na}^+, \text{K}^+, \text{Ca}^{2+}, \Box \text{ (vacancy)}\]

\[VIY = \text{Fe}^{2+}, \text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{Mn}^{2+}, \text{Al}^{3+}, \text{Li}^+, \text{Fe}^{3+}, \text{Cr}^{3+}, \text{V}^{3+}\]

\[VIZ = \text{Al}^{3+}, \text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{Fe}^{3+}, \text{Cr}^{3+}, \text{V}^{3+}\]

\[IVT = \text{Si}^{4+}, \text{Al}^{3+}, \text{B}^{3+}\]

\[III B = \text{B}^{3+}\]

\[III V = \text{OH}^-, \text{O}^{2-}\]

\[III W = \text{OH}^-, \text{F}^-, \text{O}^{2-}\]
Some of these cations can be present simultaneously on two and even three structural sites, reflecting order-disorder phenomena, mainly between the octahedral Y- and Z-site occupants (Ertl et al. 2003 and references therein). The tourmaline supergroup comprises currently 38 valid mineral species accepted by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification (CNMNC) of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA). They represent hydroxyl-, fluor- and oxy-species of X-site vacant, alkali and calcic tourmalines with typical octahedral occupants like Fe\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), Al\(^{3+}\), Li\(^{+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), Cr\(^{3+}\), and V\(^{3+}\) (Henry et al. 2011). Crystal-chemical relations in the tourmaline supergroup and the crystal chemistry of tourmaline-supergroup minerals have been investigated by many authors in the last 50 years (e.g., Donnay and Barton 1972, Povondra and Čech 1976, Deer et al. 1986, Foit 1989, Hawthorne et al. 1993, Hawthorne 1996, 2002, 2016, Henry and Dutrow 1996, Bloodaxe et al. 1999, Ertl et al. 2002, 2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2015, 2018, Hughes et al. 2011, Bosi and Lucchesi 2004, 2007, Bosi et al. 2004, 2013, 2015, 2017, Ertl and Tillmanns 2012, Ertl and Bačík 2020, Bačík and Fridrichová 2021). Tourmaline can also be a petrologic recorder of its geologic history as was demonstrated by Van Hinsberg et al. (2011).

Rossmanite, with the ideal end-member formula $\Box (LiAl_2)Al_6(Si_6O_{18})(BO_3)_3(OH)_3(OH)$, is an alkali-deficient tourmaline that was described as a new tourmaline species from Rožná, western Moravia, Czech Republic, by Selway et al. (1998). “Oxy-rossmanite”, with the formula $\Box (Li_{0.5}Al_{2.5})Al_6(Si_6O_{18})(BO_3)_3(OH)_3O$, was proposed as a hypothetical tourmaline end-member by Hawthorne and Henry (1999). This hypothetical tourmaline is characterized by a vacancy-dominated X site, an Al-dominated Y site (with a minor component of Li), and an O-dominated W site.
Here, we present the first natural occurrence of an even more aluminous end-member from Moldanubian pegmatites in Lower Austria. Additionally, we discuss the geological and petrological context of this unusual Al-rich tourmaline, which was recently given the new name alumino-oxy-rossmanite (IMA 2020-008b; Ertl et al. 2020). We also studied a second tourmaline sample (PINK2) of a more recent finding from this locality, since this tourmaline is close in composition to the holotype material of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (PINK1) in order to understand the significance of these Al-rich tourmalines.

**SAMPLE DESCRIPTION AND TYPE MATERIAL**

Tourmaline-bearing pegmatites from the western part of a quarry close to the village Eibenstein an der Thaya, Lower Austria, within the Moldanubian Drosendorf Unit with exposed marbles and amphibolites, were previously described by Götzinger et al. (1994). Subsequently, Ertl (1995) provided a more detailed description of these tourmalines. He characterized dravite, schorl and colored Al-rich tourmaline (pale blue, pink, dark green). The Al-rich tourmaline was originally assigned to Mn-/Fe-bearing olenite and elbaite, based on semiquantitative energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopic analyses and the unit-cell parameters, which were in the range $a \approx 15.80–15.87$ and $c \approx 7.09–7.10$ Å. Ertl (1995) also characterized pale colored apatite, and beryl from the tourmaline-bearing pegmatite. Rare associated muscovite was also identified. Additional chemical, structural, and spectroscopic data of a pink tourmaline sample were given by Ertl et al. (2005). These authors demonstrated for the first time that it is possible to have significant amounts of both $[^4]$Al and $[^4]$B in an Al-rich tourmaline. They showed that this tourmaline has the highest known Al content of all natural tourmalines. Because of the dominant X-site vacancy, the O-dominant W site, and the Al-dominant Y site, this Mn- and Li-bearing tourmaline previously
was assigned to “oxy-rossmanite” (Ertl et al. 2005), a hypothetical end-member in the proposed classification of tourmalines (Hawthorne and Henry 1999). However, the Mn content was only determined as MnO_total and Li was calculated in the optimized formula for a fully occupied Y site without allowing any Y-site vacancies.

In 2017 another, small tourmaline-bearing pegmatite was briefly exposed in the northern part of the aforementioned quarry near Eibenstein. The mineralogy of this lenticular, deformed, but more or less concordant pegmatite was studied in detail by Kolitsch et al. (2020). The main components are quartz, microcline, and albite; mica (muscovite) were present only in trace amounts. Black, tectonically deformed and fractured schorl crystals up to 20 cm were embedded in the outer part of the pegmatite body, while a small central, coarse-grained area contained macroscopically grey-pink to dark pinkish, prismatic tourmalines, assigned to olenite (according to two crystal-structure refinements and analyses by using Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy; Kolitsch et al. 2020). This pink tourmaline is generally overgrowing black to dark grey cores of Al-rich schorl, commonly with a narrow, pale to dark grey, smokey intermediate zone. The investigated olenitic tourmaline crystals exhibit lattice parameters of $a \approx 15.83$ and $c \approx 7.10$ Å (for additional structural and chemical data see Kolitsch et al. 2020). Some of the pink tourmaline crystals, which reached lengths up to 2.5 cm, were also tectonically deformed. They were bent, in part slightly broken and rehealed. Rarely, anhedral pink tourmaline fills very narrow (≤ 1 mm) cracks in black tourmaline or in feldspar, or very small interstitial voids in feldspar. Beryl, fluorapatite (partly Mn-bearing), rutile, and columbite-(Mn) are present as macroscopic accessories in this pegmatite. Further, mostly microscopic accessories (generally detected only in polished sections) include barite, bertrandite, bismuth,
eulytine, hübnerite, pezzottaite(?), pollucite, scheelite, stibarsen, titanite, „uranmicrolite”, as well as several unidentified phases, including a new Cs-Al-phosphate.

When in 1994 a small pegmatitic body (WGS84 N 48°51’00” / E 015°34’55”) was exposed in the aforementioned large quarry about 300 m NE of the village Eibenstein an der Thaya (often named Hengl quarry after the operator), only one tourmaline crystal, intimately intergrown with quartz, was found, which contained a pink core zone (~4 mm in diameter, ~4 mm in length; Figure 1) surrounded by a dark green rim. Parts of this pink tourmaline (now back in the collection of A.P.) were used as holotype material (sample PINK1). Recently in 2017, when another small pegmatitic body (WGS84 N 48°51’04” /E 015°34’57”) was exposed, more pink tourmaline was found. This time the crystals had prismatic forms. A part of the pink tourmaline crystal shown in Figure 2 was also characterized (sample PINK2). The most common associated minerals of pink tourmaline in both pegmatites are quartz, albite, microcline and fluorapatite. Schorl was more common in the 1994 pegmatite. In this pegmatite (type locality) alumino-oxy-rossmanite was early in the magmatic crystallization history, especially in respect to other tourmalines. Schorl is overgrowing alumino-oxy-rossmanite while dravite (pale-green fibers) is the latest tourmaline in the crystallization sequence. The other magmatic phases quartz, albite, microcline and fluorapatite are more or less co-genetic to alumino-oxy-rossmanite.

Part of the holotype specimen of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (sample PINK1) was deposited as sample NMNH 173824 at the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC, USA, as sample 134790 at the Mineralogical Museum, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, and as sample 93533 at the Fersman Mineralogical Museum, Moscow, Russia. A piece of the type specimen, which was sent to the California Institute of Technology for optical spectroscopy studies is designated GRR2170 (polished section).
The Moldanubian Zone as the south-eastern part of the Bohemian Massif consists of a thick crystalline complex and exposes medium- to high-grade metamorphic rocks with Paleoproterozoic to Devonian protolith ages (Sorger 2020). The results of a comprehensive P–T study by Soger (2020) along four W-E trending profiles revealed a different distribution of metamorphic conditions within the Drosendorf Unit, a nappe in the Moldanubian Zone in the Bohemian massif (Petrakakis 1997, Lindner et al. 2020); this unit comprises the host rocks of the tourmaline-bearing pegmatites. The complex is characterized by an interlayering of partly migmatitic, garnet- and sillimanite-bearing gneisses, quartzites, partially graphitic marbles and calc-silicate rocks, amphibolites and granitic orthogneisses. In general, a decreasing trend in metamorphic grade from SW to NE was observed. The Drosendorf Unit shows the highest temperature conditions of ~800 °C in the south and the lowest of 650 °C in the north (Sorger 2020). Metapelitic paragneissess, which are also the host rocks of the tourmaline-bearing pegmatites, from the southern Drosendorf Unit were affected by two metamorphic events. The first tectono-thermal event of this unit was an early Variscan metamorphism in the Devonian at ~370 Ma. After an intermittent phase of cooling and exhumation, the Drosendorf was subjected to the predominant Variscan event in the Visean at ~340 Ma (Sorger 2020). While the first metamorphism was pressure dominated, exhibited the second metamorphose a relatively high geothermal gradient (Sorger 2020). In the Drosendorf Unit usually the second metamorphic event was the more significant regionally widespread metamorphism. Pegmatites around Eibenstein an der Thaya were formed during the pressure release of the predominant Variscan event in the Visean at ~340 Ma, e.g., a pegmatite containing tourmaline, topaz and cassiterite dated at 337±5 Ma from a Sm-Nd garnet-albite isochron (Ertl et al. 2004). Low H₂O activities during the second
event might be a consequence of aqueous fluid being driven off, which might have started already during the early Variscan metamorphism in the Devonian at ~370 Ma.

The pegmatitic body in which the new Al-rich tourmaline was found, was found in amphibolite and biotite paragneiss in the Drosendorf window. The Variscan metamorphic conditions for the Moldanubian rocks for this area of the Drosendorf nappe system are described as 0.4-0.8 GPa / 680-750 °C (calculated by using pseudosections; Sorger 2020). Based on a map of that area contoured for temperature and pressure (Figure 2.3, Sorger 2020) the metamorphic P-T conditions during the predominant Variscan event for the Moldanubian rocks in the Hengl quarry, at the time when the pegmatites intruded, were ~0.6 GPa / ~700 °C. Several pegmatitic bodies occurred as vertically extended lenses with dimensions of up to ~5 × 3 m and a thickness of up to ~50 cm. Each lens included up to ~5 m³ coarse-grained pegmatitic rock. The pegmatites show a relatively sharp contact to their host rocks. Pegmatites hosted by a fine-grained biotite-rich paragneiss have a relatively sharp contact and a narrow chilled margin zone. Fine-grained black tourmaline in the intersection between pegmatite and host rock suggests that there had been some interaction between them.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemical analyses

The two crystal fragments selected for crystal-structure determination (PINK1, from the 1994 pegmatite, and PINK2, from the 2017 pegmatite) were embedded in epoxy on a single 2.5 cm diameter round glass slide and polished. All elements reported here except B, Li, Be, and H were determined with a Cameca SX51 electron microprobe (EMP) equipped with five wavelength-dispersive spectrometers (Universität Heidelberg). Operating conditions: 15 kV
accelerating voltage, 20 nA beam current, and beam diameter 5 μm. Peaks for all elements were measured for 10 s, except for Mg (20 s), Ti (20 s), Zn (30 s), and F (40 s). We used the following (natural and synthetic) reference materials and X-ray lines for calibration: topaz (FKα), albite (NaKα), wollastonite (SiKα) and (CaKα), corundum (AlKα), periclase (MgKα), orthoclase (KKα), rutile (TiKα), rhodonite (MnKα), hematite (FeKα), and gahnite (ZnKα). The analytical data were reduced and corrected using the PAP routine (Pouchou and Pichoir 1991). A modified matrix correction was applied assuming stoichiometric O atoms and all non-measured components as B₂O₃. The accuracy of the electron-microprobe analyses and the correction procedure was checked by measuring three samples of reference tourmalines (98114: elbaite, 108796: dravite, 112566: schorl). Compositions of these tourmaline samples were determined as part of an interlaboratory comparative study (Dyar et al. 1998, 2001). Under the described conditions, the accuracy of all analyses is ± 1% relative for major elements and ± 5% relative for minor elements.

H, Li, and B were determined in PINK2 by SIMS with a CAMECA ims 3f ion microprobe (Universität Heidelberg). Primary O⁻ ions were accelerated to 10 keV. The mass spectrometer’s energy window width was 40 eV. An offset of 75 V was applied to the secondary accelerating voltage of 4.5 kV so that secondary ions with an initial energy of 75 ± 20 eV were analyzed (energy filtering), which minimizes potential matrix effects (Ottolini et al. 1993). The primary current was 10 nA, resulting in a spot diameter of ~20 μm. The spectrometer’s mass resolving power (MRP) M/ΔM for B, Li and Si was set to ~1000 (10%) to suppress interferences (⁶LiH⁺, ¹⁰BH⁺, Al³⁺). Secondary ⁷Li, ¹¹B and ³⁰Si ions were collected under an imaged field of 150 μm diameter. For H (and Si) the MRP M/ΔM was set to ~400 (10%) and the imaged field was limited to a diameter of ~12 μm. In-situ water contamination was reduced by using a liquid
nitrogen cold-trap attached to the sample chamber (Ludwig and Stalder 2007, and references therein). The count rates of the analyzed isotopes (\(^{1}\)H, \(^{7}\)Li and \(^{11}\)B) were normalized to the count rate of \(^{30}\)Si and relative ion yields (RIY) were used for quantification of the results (e.g., Hinton 1990, 1995, Ottolini et al. 1993). The analytical procedures for the SIMS analyses (which included Be) of sample PINK1 were almost identical (details see Ertl et al. 2005).

The relative ion yields for H and B were determined using three tourmalines as reference material: elbaite, dravite and schorl (Dyar et al. 1998, 2001). The reference material for Li and Be was the NIST SRM610 standard glass with concentrations for Li (464.2 µg g\(^{-1}\)) and Be (469.0 µg g\(^{-1}\)) average taken from Pearce et al. (1997). The relative reproducibility (1\(\sigma\)) for the RIY of H, Li, Be and B was <1%. Matrix effects and the uncertainty of the element concentrations in the reference material limit the accuracy of the analysis. The relative accuracy is estimated to be <20% for H and <10% for Li, Be and B. Table 1 contains complete chemical analyses of the two studied crystal fragments of pink Al-rich tourmaline.

**Powder X-ray diffraction**

The eight strongest X-ray diffraction lines in the (calculated) powder pattern \([d \text{ in } \AA(Jhkl)]\) are: 2.5534(100)(051), 3.9508(85)(220), 2.9236(78)(122), 4.1783(61)(211), 2.4307(55)(012), 2.0198(39)(152), 1.8995(30)(342), 6.294(28)(101) (Supplementary Table S1). The X-ray powder diffraction pattern had to be calculated because only a very small amount of material was available for scientific studies of the single small pink tourmaline crystal, which was originally found in 1994. Although pink tourmaline was found more frequently in the 2017 pegmatite, the chemistry of the studied crystals was not as close to the ideal end-member as that of the 1994 material.
Crystal-structure refinement

A fragment of the holotype crystal (PINK1) was mounted on a Bruker Apex CCD X-ray diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromatized MoKα radiation (Department of Geology, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, U.S.A.). Redundant data were collected for an approximate sphere of reciprocal space, and were integrated and corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, and for absorption, using the Bruker program SAINT (Bruker AXS Inc. 2001). The structure was refined with the Bruker SHELXTL V. 6.10 package of programs, with neutral-atom scattering factors and terms for anomalous dispersion.

A fragment of PINK2 was structurally investigated at the Institut für Mineralogie und Kristallographie, Geozentrum, Universität Wien, Austria. As a first step, the quality of different crystal fragments was checked with a Bruker APEXII diffractometer equipped with a CCD area detector and an Incoatec Microfocus Source IμS (30 W, multilayer mirror, MoKα). The crystal with the best diffracting quality was subsequently measured on this diffractometer. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction intensity data, up to 82.84° 2θ, were collected at room temperature, integrated, and corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, and with a multi-scan absorption correction (Sheldrick 2002). The structure was refined with SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick 2008) using scattering factors for neutral atoms.

The refinements were performed with anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. The various site occupancies were refined according to well-known characteristics of the tourmaline structure (Henry et al. 2011), and considering the results of the EMP, SIMS, and spectroscopic analyses. Hence, the X site occupancy was refined using a Na scattering factor, the Y site with Al and Li scattering factors, the T site with Si and B, and the Al
occupancy at the Z site was fixed at 1.00, typical for Al-rich tourmalines. The W site was
preliminarily refined with O vs F scattering factors, but because the resulting value for F was
lower than the standard deviation, in the final cycles the W site was only refined with O
scattering factors.

Refined unit-cell parameters and the most important average bond lengths for PINK1 and
PINK2 are listed in Table 2. Supplementary Material (CIF) provides crystal data and details of
both structure refinements (atomic coordinates, individual bond lengths and angles).

Optical spectroscopy

A 380 × 185 μm² crystal fragment of the holotype PINK1 was prepared as a 92.8 μm
thick parallel plate polished on both sides to study its color properties. Polarized optical
absorption spectra in the 390-1100 nm range were obtained at about one nm resolution with a
locally-built microspectrometer system consisting of a 1024-element Si diode-array detector
coupled to a grating spectrometer system via fiber optics to a highly-modified NicPlan® infrared
microscope containing a calcite polarizer. A pair of conventional 10x objectives was used as an
objective and a condenser. Spectra were obtained through the central area of the sample, which
included a veil of two-phase (liquid/gas) inclusions.

Infrared spectra

Infrared spectra of holotype material to examine the OH content were measured in the
main compartment of a Nicolet Magna 860 FTIR spectrometer at 2 cm⁻¹ resolution using a 200
μm aperture. Near-IR spectra were obtained using a CaF₂ beam splitter, tungsten-halogen source,
MCT-A detector, and LiIO₃ polarizer, and were averaged over 256 to 4000 scans. For the
smallest crystal fragments, a silica beam splitter and InSb detector were used to collect the spectra. Additional spectra were obtained with a Nicolet Continuum infrared microscope using a MCT-A/CaF$_2$/tungsten-halogen combination.

The total integrated band area ($\text{area}_{\text{tot}} = \text{area}_{E||} + 2 \times \text{area}_{E\perp}$) was determined for the mid-IR OH overtone bands using Omnic E.S.P. 5.2 software. Integrated absorbances were determined by establishing a suitable baseline and measuring the area between the curve and the baseline over the region of the OH bands. The baseline connects with the spectral trace on both the high- and low-energy side of the OH region. This was done on a spectrum normalized for a 1-cm-thick crystal. Before integration, a minor, visually estimated, background correction was needed to compensate for a sloping background.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical properties

Holotype alumino-oxy-rossmanite is brittle and has a Mohs hardness of 7; it is non-fluorescent, has no observable parting and cleavage, and has a vitreous luster. The megascopic color of alumino-oxy-rossmanite is pink and the streak is white. It has a measured density of 3.07(3) g/cm$^3$ (pycnometer method; Syromyatnikov 1935, Ksanda and Merwin 1939) and a calculated density of 3.092(1) g/cm$^3$ based on the empirical formula and the unit-cell volume refined from the single-crystal X-ray diffraction data. The fracture is conchoidal. The mineral has a prismatic habit, but only crude prismatic forms are developed. Twinning was not observed.

Optical spectroscopy and optical properties
The optical absorption spectrum of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (Fig. 3) is most intense in the E┴c direction. It consists of a band at about 555 nm and weaker features near 450 and 415 nm. The E∥c spectrum also contains the 555 nm band that overlaps with another broad band near 475 nm. There is also an indication of a weak band near 780 nm. The broad rise in absorption from high- to low-energy could be due in part to scattering from imperfections in the crystal, and partly to an ultraviolet absorption band. The spectrum is broadly similar to the spectrum of rossmanite (Ertl et al. 2005), but the major bands are shifted to longer wavelengths. For example, in rossmanite, the main band is observed at 517 nm.

The pink color of alumino-oxy-rossmanite is due to the band at 555 nm that is associated with Mn³⁺ probably produced by natural irradiation of Mn²⁺ as has been previously described for elbaite (Reinitz and Rossman 1988). In plane-polarized light, alumino-oxy-rossmanite is pleochroic, O = pink, E = near-colorless (polarized parallel to the c-axis; orientation: E∥c).

Alumino-oxy-rossmanite is uniaxial negative, ω = 1.648(5), ε = 1.628(5) (590 nm).

Infrared spectroscopy

The infrared spectrum obtained in the mid-infrared region (Fig. 4) of sample PINK1 shows OH bands in the E∥c spectrum at 7175, 7144, 7022, ~6964 cm⁻¹, and the most prominent band at 6708 cm⁻¹. These bands are shifted to lower wavenumbers as compared to the corresponding bands in fluor-elbaite of similar divalent-cation composition (Ertl et al. 2015). Mattson (1985) concluded that the 7001 cm⁻¹ band in an elbaitic tourmaline (sample GRR 598-Afgh-1) is associated with H bound to the O3 site with a local configuration involving Li⁺. This band is of low intensity in alumino-oxy-rossmanite compared to the elbaitic tourmaline of Mattson (1985). The low intensity of these OH bands suggests that the absolute OH concentration...
is lower than that in sample GRR 598-Afgh-1. Because no absolute calibration of the intensity of
the OH overtone bands in the tourmaline spectrum has yet been done, it is not possible at this
time to establish an absolute concentration. By comparing the OH intensity of alumino-oxy-
rossmanite to other relevant tourmalines (twenty-five elbaites, fluor-elbaites, fluor-liddicoatites,
and rossmanites), alumino-oxy-rossmanite remains the tourmaline with integrated intensity that is
significantly lower than all the other tourmaline samples (Ertl et al. 2015). All of these
observations are highly suggestive that alumino-oxy-rossmanite contains a lower amount of OH
than most other tourmalines. The infrared spectrum confirms that hydroxyl groups in holotype
alumino-oxy-rossmanite (sample PINK1) are present at a lower concentration than commonly
found in other Li-bearing tourmalines.

By applying the Beer’s Law epsilon value (estimated to be 7.5 for Mn$^{3+}$; Reinitz and
Rossman 1988) to the optical absorption spectrum (Fig. 2) and by determination of the Mn$^{3+}$
band heights, considering the chemistry and the density (3.09) of alumino-oxy-rossmanite, we
were able to estimate the percentage of Mn, which was oxidized to Mn$^{3+}$ (~74%). Because iron is
oxidized under less oxidizing conditions than manganese, which is shown in Figure 3 of Huebner
(1969), we consider the percentage of the oxidized Fe to be similar, if not higher than it is for
Mn. It is not uncommon that the small amount of total Fe in pink Al-rich and Li-bearing
tourmalines is oxidized to a relatively high percentage (~60-90% as determined by Mössbauer
spectroscopy; Ertl et al. 2010). The oxidation of relatively high amounts of Mn and Fe in
alumino-oxy-rossmanite is in agreement with the observation that the OH groups are present at a
lower concentration than commonly found in other Al-rich and Li-bearing tourmalines.
Crystal structure

The crystal structure of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (holotype material, sample PINK1; space group $R3m$; $a = 15.803(1)$ Å, $c = 7.088(1)$ Å; $V = 1532.8(3)$ Å$^3$) was refined to an $R1(F)$ value of 1.68 %. The crystal structure of tourmaline sample PINK2 ($a = 15.809(1)$, $c = 7.082(1)$ Å; $V = 1532.9(3)$ Å$^3$) was refined to an $R1(F)$ value of 1.37 %. The empirical formulae of these samples were determined on the basis of EMPA, SIMS, spectroscopical methods (optical absorption and infrared spectroscopy), and SREF as follows:

PINK1: $X(\square_{0.53}Na_{0.46}Ca_{0.01})^Y(\square_{0.16}Al_{0.31}Mn^{3+}_{0.21}Li_{0.10}Mn^{2+}_{0.07}Fe^{3+}_{0.03}Fe^{2+}_{0.01}Ti^{4+}_{0.01})^ZAl_6^{3+}$(Si$_{5.37}$Al$_{0.41}B_{0.22}O_{18}$(BO$_3)_3)^W[(OH)_{2.77}O_{0.23}]^Z[O_{0.80}(OH)_{0.15}F_{0.05}].$

PINK2: $X(\square_{0.56}Na_{0.42}Ca_{0.02})^Y(\square_{0.19}Al_{0.31}Li_{0.20}Mn^{3+}_{0.23}Mn^{2+}_{0.08}Ti^{4+}_{0.02}Fe^{3+}_{0.01})^ZAl_6^{3+}(Si_{5.35}Al_{0.34}B_{0.31}O_{18}$(BO$_3)_3)^W[(OH)_{2.90}O_{0.10}]^Z[O_{0.63}F_{0.21}(OH)_{0.16}].$

No H could be found at the W site (O1 site) by refinement, and also the difference-Fourier map around the W site indicates this site to be mainly occupied by O. The map shows a spherical electron density similar to that described by Cámara et al. (2002) [Fig. 1a of that paper, crystal 1, dravite, with 0.18 (OH) at the W site]. Because of a small, but still visible OH1 band in the IR spectrum, only 0.15 (OH) $pfu$ have therefore been assigned to the W site. Ertl et al. (2002) showed that the bond-angle distortion ($\sigma_{\text{oct}}^2$) of the ZO$_6$ octahedron in a tourmaline is largely a function of the $<Y-O>$ distance of that tourmaline, although the occupant of the O3 site (V site) also affects that distortion. For all investigated tourmalines in which the V site is completely occupied by 3 (OH) groups, including the samples from Hughes et al. (2004), the covariance, $r$, of $<Y-O>$ and the $\sigma_{\text{oct}}^2$ of the ZO$_6$ octahedron is -0.991 (Fig. 5). In Figure 5 the data of the...
The structural refinement of the X site of the type material (PINK1) confirms an occupancy of Na <50% (only very small amounts of Ca were found by chemical analyses; Table 1). Only Al was found to occupy the Z site and also the refined Y-site occupancies are in good agreement with the chemistry (Table 1). Although the structure refinements show significant amounts of $^{[4]}$B (0.28(1) - 0.33(2) apfu), the <T-O> bond-lengths are in the range 1.617(1) - 1.619(1) Å (Table 2), which is, within the standard deviation, close to a T site fully occupied with Si (McDonald and Hawthorne 1995, Bloodaxe et al. 1999, Bosi et al. 2005). Because of a relatively low Si content in both samples (~5.4 apfu Si; Table 1), these bond-lengths can only be explained by significant amounts of $^{[4]}$Al in addition to $^{[4]}$B. A substitution of Si by Al in tourmaline was described for the first time by Foit and Rosenberg (1979). The type material of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (sample PINK1) contains 0.41 apfu $^{[4]}$Al$^{3+}$. The simplified T-site occupation can also be written as (R$^{4+}$)$_3$(R$^{3+}$) or as [Si$^{4+}$]$_5$(Al,B)$^{3+}$. Hence, alumino-oxy-rossmanite is an Al-rich tourmaline that contains not only Si, but also significant amounts of B and Al at the T site. Elbaitic and olenitic tourmaline samples from pegmatites often contain $^{[4]}$B as well as $^{[4]}$Al (Ertl et al. 2007, 2009, 2010, Lussier et al. 2009).
The lattice parameters of both investigated samples are among the lowest observed among natural tourmalines, also lower than other samples from this locality (Kolitsch et al. 2020).

Alumino-oxy-rossmanite has the highest known Al content of all natural tourmalines (up to 47.08 wt% Al₂O₃; up to 8.78 apfu Al). Very high Al contents were also found in colorless olenite from Koralpe, Styria, Austria (up to 46.53-46.71 wt% Al₂O₃ and 8.46 apfu Al; Ertl et al. 1997, Hughes et al. 2000), and in olenite from the type locality Olenii Range, Voron’i Tundry, Kola Peninsula, Russia (up to 45.79-46.43 wt% Al₂O₃ and 8.52 apfu Al; Sokolov et al. 1986, Schreyer et al. 2002). Every tourmaline can be described very well by the mol% of different endmembers, like every garnet. Considering the end-member formula of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (see next section), the major components of sample PINK1 (holotype material) are 41 mol% alumino-oxy-rossmanite, 33 mol% olenite, and 9 mol% elbaitic tourmaline (fluor-elbaite, elbaite). The sum of these components is 83 mol%. The major components of sample PINK2 are 34 mol% alumino-oxy-rossmanite, 28 mol% olenite, and 19 mol% elbaitic tourmaline and hence this sample is a complex intergrowth of different endmembers, mainly of the alumino-oxy-rossmanite – olenite solid solution. The sum of these components is 81 mol%. Minor components in both samples are fluor-liddicoatite and tsilaisite with ≤ 3 mol%. The rest of the cations cannot yet be assigned to a special tourmaline, because there is no end-member established which includes Mn³⁺ or Tb. We conclude that in both samples alumino-oxy-rossmanite is the dominant component. In contrast to PINK1, sample PINK2 is characterized by a lower alumino-oxy-rossmanite component and a higher elbaitic component.

**Endmember formula and relationship to other tourmalines**
The empirical formula of the holotype material, calculated on the basis of $31$(O,OH,F), is

$$X_{(0.53\text{Na}_{0.46}\text{Ca}_{0.01})}^+ \text{Y}^{3+} (\text{Al}_{2.37}\text{Mn}^{3+}_{0.21}\text{Li}_{0.16}\text{Mn}^{2+}_{0.07}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.03}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.01}\text{Ti}^{4+}_{0.01})^Z \text{Al}_6 (\text{BO}_3)_3$$

$$(\text{Si}_{5.37}\text{Al}_{0.41}\text{B}_{0.22}\text{O}_{18})^V[(\text{OH})_{2.77}\text{O}_{0.23}]^W[\text{O}_{0.80}(\text{OH})_{0.15}\text{F}_{0.05}].$$

Details of the occupation of both (OH)/O sites are given in the Crystal structure section above. The simplified formula is $(\square,\text{Na})(\text{Al,Mn,Li,}\square)_{3}\text{Al}_6(\text{BO}_3)_3[(\text{Si,Al,B})_{6}\text{O}_{18}][(\text{OH}),\text{O}]_{3}$. When applying the IMA-CNMNC recommended use of the dominant-valency rule for tourmaline-supergroup minerals, the Y-site cations are ordered as follows: $(\text{Al,Mn,Fe})^{3+}_{2.6} >(\text{Li})^{+}_{0.2} > (\text{Mn,Fe})^{2+}_{0.1}$, that is $R^{3+}_{2.6} > R^{+}_{0.2} > R^{2+}_{0.1}$. Hence, our new tourmaline corresponds to the “X-site vacant tourmaline group” (Henry et al. 2011). The most abundant cation at the Y site with the charge 3+ is aluminum: $\text{Al}^{3+}_{2.4} > \text{Mn}^{3+}_{0.2} > \text{Fe}^{3+}_{-0.1}$.

If we use the empirical formula and apply the site total charge approach (Bosi et al. 2019), which is useful for identifying new tourmaline endmembers, two configurations are possible:

1) $Y = +8$ and $T = +24$;
2) $Y = +9$ and $T = +23$.

In accord with the chemical composition of the Y and T sites of the studied sample, the possible atomic arrangements are quantitatively evaluated in terms of apfu as follows:

**Configuration 1:**

a) $Y (R^{3+}_{2}R^{2+}_{2})^{8+} T (R^{4+}_{6})^{24+} = Y (R^{3+}_{2}R^{2+}_{2})^{0.08} T (R^{4+}_{6})^{0.08} = Y (\text{Al}^{3+}_{0.16}\text{R}^{2+}_{0.08}) T (\text{Si}^{4+}_{0.48}) = 0.72 \text{ apfu},$

limited by the $R^{2+}$ content;

b) $Y (R^{3+}_{2.5}R^{+}_{0.5})^{8+} T (R^{4+}_{6})^{24+} = Y (R^{3+}_{2.5}R^{+}_{0.5})^{0.32} T (R^{4+}_{6})^{0.32} = Y (\text{Al}^{3+}_{0.80}\text{Li}^{+}_{0.16}) T (\text{Si}^{4+}_{1.92}) = 2.88 \text{ apfu},$ limited by the Li content.
c) \[ Y(R^{3+}_{8/3} \square_{1/3})^{78+} T(R^{4+}_{6})^{24+} = Y(R^{3+}_{8/3} \square_{1/3})_{0.42} T(R^{4+}_{6})_{0.42} = Y(Al^{3+}_{1.12} \square_{0.14}) T(Si^{4+}_{2.52}) = 3.78 \text{ apfu}, \]
limited by the number of vacancies.

Configuration 2:

Only the following end-member charge arrangement is in agreement with configuration 2:

\[ X(R^{3+}_{3})^{9+} Z Al_6 [T(R^{4+}_{5} R^{3+}_{5})^{23+} O_{18}] (BO_3)_3 V(OH)_3 W O. \]

In the studied sample, the arrangement compatible with the Y-site and T-site constituents are:

\[ Y(R^{3+}_{3})^{9+} T(R^{4+}_{5} R^{3+}_{5})^{23+} = Y(R^{3+}_{3})_{0.87} T(R^{4+}_{5} R^{3+}_{5})_{0.87} = Y(Al^{3+}_{2.61}) T(Si^{4+}_{4.35} R^{3+}_{0.87}) = 7.83 \text{ apfu}, \]
limited by \( T R^{3+} \) contents. Actually, this arrangement is limited by the \( \square \) content at the X site (0.53 vacancies pfu), and consequently the actual number of atoms decreases to 4.77 apfu. We assume that the measured contents of B and Li are reasonably accurate, because the excess B (B > 3.00 apfu) as well as the Li content are relatively small. Hence, we conclude that \( T Al > T B \). When we use the empirical formula, the amount of the end-member charge arrangement of configuration 2 is the largest one, and since \( T Al > T B \), the end-member formula of the studied sample can be written as \( \square Al_3 Al_6 (BO_3)_3 (Si_5 AlO_{18}) (OH)_3 O \). This ideal formula requires SiO\(_2\) 31.90, Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) 54.14, B\(_2\)O\(_3\) 11.09, H\(_2\)O 2.87, total 100 wt%.

Hawthorne and Henry (1999) were the first to propose “oxy-rossmanite”, with the ideal formula \( \square (Li_{0.5} Al_{2.5}) Al_6 (Si_6 O_{18}) (BO_3)_3 (OH)_3 O \), as a hypothetical tourmaline end-member, because of its relationship to rossmanite (Selway et al. 1998). While rossmanite contains an (OH) at the W site, “oxy-rossmanite” contains an oxygen (O\(^2-\)) at this site. Because the new tourmaline contains not only more Al, which fills the Y and Z sites entirely and the Si site partially, than “oxy-rossmanite”, but also O\(^2-\) at the W site, we suggest the name alumino-oxy-rossmanite, with
the end-member formula $\square \text{Al}_3\text{Al}_6(\text{BO}_3)_3(\text{Si}_5\text{AlO}_{18})(\text{OH})_3\text{O}$. Alumino-oxy-rossmanite is named for its chemical relationship to rossmanite, $\square (\text{LiAl}_2)\text{Al}_6(\text{Si}_6\text{O}_{18})(\text{BO}_3)_3(\text{OH})_3(\text{OH})$, which in turn was named after Dr. George R. Rossman, Professor of Mineralogy at the California Institute of Technology (Pasadena, California, USA). With the proposed name it is evident how this end-member is related to the quite common tourmaline rossmanite and to “oxy-rossmanite”. We also want to keep the tourmaline nomenclature as simple as possible so that the name already gives some information about the composition.

How the theoretical chemical composition of alumino-oxy-rossmanite is related to the tourmalines rossmanite, “oxy-rossmanite”, elbaite, fluor-elbaite, darrellhenryite, olenite, fluor-liddicoatite, and liddicoatite is shown in Supplemental Table S2. For further comparison, chemical analyses and selected physical properties of the valid species of Li-bearing tourmaline end-members of the tourmaline supergroup (Henry et al. 2011) are given in Table 3. The comparison shows that alumino-oxy-rossmanite and rossmanite have the smallest unit-cell parameters of all these species. The derivation of alumino-oxy-rossmanite from rossmanite appears to be a two-step process as shown in plots in Figures 6 and 7. The second step involves the T sites, which is unique to alumino-oxy-rossmanite among tourmalines. For example, $^Y\text{Li}_{0.5} + ^Y\text{OH}$ in rossmanite = $^Y\text{Al}_{0.5} + ^Y\text{O}$ in “oxy-rossmanite” while Si remains equal to 6. In contrast, $^Y\text{Al}_{0.5} + ^T\text{Al}$ in alumino-oxy-rossmanite = $^Y\text{Li}_{0.5} + ^T\text{Si}$ in “oxy-rossmanite”, that is, the T site is involved. Ignoring the changes in Si occupancy results in the two analyzed tourmaline samples (PINK1 and PINK2) plotting between endmember “oxy-rossmanite” and endmember olenite and not between endmember alumino-oxy-rossmanite and endmember “oxy-rossmanite”. This omission is overcome by having two plots side-by-side (see Figures 6 and 7).
The new tourmaline alumino-oxy-rossmanite represents the most Al-rich end-member composition of all tourmalines. Because the six-coordinated sites were mainly occupied by \( \text{Al}^{3+} \), other sites must have appropriate charges to produce a charge-balanced formula. The choices are cation vacancies at the X site, trivalent cations at the T site, and an O-for-OH substitution at the OH sites. We have learned in our study, that all three of these possibilities are observed in alumino-oxy-rossmanite. The tourmaline structure seems to be flexible enough for Al to occupy not only the Y and Z sites simultaneously, but also to occupy part of the T site. A T-site occupancy with \((\text{Si}_5\text{Al})\), as was written in the theoretical end-member formula of alumino-oxy-rossmanite, seems to mark the highest possible amount of Al that can be included at this site (see also Ertl et al. 2018).

Tetrahedrally-coordinated B increases with decreasing temperature at pressures below pressures of \( \sim 1000–1500 \text{ MPa} \) (Ertl et al. 2008, 2012a, 2018). In contrast, \(^{[4]}\text{Al}\) often increases with increasing temperature (Henry and Dutrow 1996). A possible explanation for the observation that \(^{[4]}\text{Al} > ^{[4]}\text{B}\) in this early-magmatic tourmaline, could be that \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) is favored by the relatively high-temperature conditions of \( \sim 700 \, ^\circ\text{C} \) (Sorger 2020) during formation of these Moldanubian pegmatites. Alumino-oxy-rossmanite contains up to \( \sim 0.4 \text{ apfu} \(^{[4]}\text{Al}\). It is not uncommon for high-grade metamorphic rocks (upper-amphibolite to granulite-facies) to contain tourmaline with up to 0.4 apfu \(^{[4]}\text{Al}\) (e.g. Grew et al. 1990, 1997, Henry and Dutrow 1996). This is also in agreement with another Al-rich oxy-tourmaline with tetrahedrally-coordinated Al from small bodies of abyssal pegmatite located in the eastern part of the Moldanubian Zone, Bohemian Massif, Czech Republic (Cempirek et al. 2006).

Alumino-oxy-rossmanite was formed during relatively dry conditions of the pegmatitic melt, reflected by the low quantity of associated mica (muscovite) and the occurrence of oxy-
Tourmalines, which are linked to low $\text{aH}_2\text{O}$. Although the amount of muscovite also depends on the availability of Al, which would appear to be relatively high given the tourmaline composition, it is possible that it in turn could reflect high B with tourmaline sequestering the Al and thereby prohibiting other aluminous minerals from forming (Hinsberg and Schumacher 2007).

A major implication of such a temperature-sensitive T-site occupancy could be the development of a geothermometer. More investigations of tourmaline in petrologically well-characterized rocks and synthesis experiments are necessary for the exact understanding of the temperature dependence of the tourmaline T-site occupancy. We conclude that, in our opinion, the presence of alumino-oxy-rossmanite is indicative of high-temperature conditions in a relatively dry environment, which is poor in Li and F.
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### Table 1. Composition of pink tourmaline from the Hengl quarry, Eibenstein an der Thaya, Lower Austria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>PINK1(^1) wt%</th>
<th>PINK2(^2) wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>33.96(28)</td>
<td>33.82(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2)</td>
<td>0.10(3)</td>
<td>0.17(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>47.08(16)</td>
<td>45.71(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>11.77(12)</td>
<td>12.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO(_{total})</td>
<td>0.29(8)</td>
<td>0.07(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO(^*)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(_2)O(_3)(^*)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO(_{total})</td>
<td>2.05(9)</td>
<td>2.33(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO(^*)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(_2)O(_3)(^*)</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.04(2)</td>
<td>0.09(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li(_2)O</td>
<td>0.25(1)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>0.03(1)</td>
<td>0.02(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>1.51(5)</td>
<td>1.84(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>2.79**</td>
<td>2.90***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.09(2)</td>
<td>0.43(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O ≡ F</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.11</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: \(^1\) Type material of alumino-oxy-rossmanite from 1994 pegmatite; average of 25 EMP analyses and average of two SIMS analyses for B\(_2\)O\(_3\), Li\(_2\)O, BeO, and H\(_2\)O. BeO = 9 µg/g, H\(_2\)O = 2.98(9) wt%. \(^2\) Tourmaline from 2017 pegmatite (details see text); average of 20 EMP analyses and one SIMS analysis for B\(_2\)O\(_3\), Li\(_2\)O, and H\(_2\)O. *Valence states were estimated by applying the Beer’s Law epsilon value to the optical absorption spectrum (details see text). **Weight percent
35 calculated from optimal site occupancies (details see Ertl et al. 2015). **This value was calculated to produce a total sum of 100%. The measured SIMS value of H$_2$O was 3.37 wt% (one analysis). Hence, the calculated value is within an error of 14% in good agreement with the measured value and with other observed data (further details see text).
**TABLE 2.** Selected structural data (Å) of Al-rich oxy-tourmaline from the Hengl quarry, Eibenstein an der Thaya, Lower Austria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PINK1&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>PINK2&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>15.803(1)</td>
<td>15.809(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>7.088(1)</td>
<td>7.082(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;X–O&gt;)</td>
<td>2.687(2)</td>
<td>2.680(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;Y–O&gt;)</td>
<td>1.962(1)</td>
<td>1.970(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;Z–O&gt;)</td>
<td>1.908(1)</td>
<td>1.907(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;T–O&gt;)</td>
<td>1.619(1)</td>
<td>1.617(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**<sup>1</sup> Type material of alumino-oxy-rossmanite; pink tourmaline (with a major alumino-oxy-rossmanite component) from 1994 pegmatite.  
<sup>2</sup> Pink tourmaline from 2017 pegmatite (details see text).
### Table 3.

Chemical compositions and unit-cell parameters of alumino-oxy-rossmanite compared with IMA-approved Al-rich and Li-bearing tourmalines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>33.96</td>
<td>38.10</td>
<td>37.08</td>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>38.38</td>
<td>37.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>47.08</td>
<td>44.60</td>
<td>38.37</td>
<td>37.81</td>
<td>43.49</td>
<td>37.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>10.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_2$O</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $a$ (Å) | 15.803 | 15.770 | 15.882 | 15.893 | 15.823 | 15.867 |
| $c$ (Å) | 7.088  | 7.085  | 7.122  | 7.122  | 7.095  | 7.135  |
| $V$ (Å$^3$) | 1532.9 | 1525.9 | 1555.8 | 1558.0 | 1538.4 | 1555.7 |

Notes: 1: pink alumino-oxy-rossmanit from the Hengl quarry, Eibenstein, Lower Austria (PINK1, this work); 2: pale pink rossmanite, type locality Rožná, Czech Republic (Selway et al. 1998); 3: green elbaite, Dolní Bory, Czech Republic (Povondra et al. 1985); 4: green fluor-elbaite, type locality Cruzeiro mine, Minas Gerais, Brazil (Bosi et al. 2013); 5: pale pink darrellhenryite, type locality Nová Ves, Czech Republic (Novák et al. 2013); 6: fluor-liddicoatite, type locality near Antsirabe, Madagascar (Dunn et al. 1977).
## Supplemental Tables

### TABLE S1. Complete calculated X-ray powder diffraction data (CuKα) for alumino-oxy-rossmanite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>d&lt;sub&gt;calc.&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>I&lt;sub&gt;calc.&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.9015</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.9229</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.5620</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.1783</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.9508</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4307</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.3461</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0812</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.9865</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.9236</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8707</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.6338</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5904</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5534</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4297</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.3626</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3501</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3224</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2810</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1663</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1445</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0979</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0979</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0892</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0277</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0198</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0021</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.9754</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8995</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8847</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8529</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8333</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.7587</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7573</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7154</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.6731</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
852  0  6  3  1.6410  16
853  6  0  3  1.6410  3
854  2  7  1  1.6273  12
855  5  5  0  1.5803  14
856  4  0  4  1.5734  3
857  4  5  2  1.5708  4
858  8  1  1  1.5624  3
859  3  2  4  1.5431  2

Notes: Calculated using single-crystal structural data of sample PINK1. Only reflections with $I_{\text{calc}} > 1$ are listed. The eight strongest reflections are in bold.
### Table S2: Theoretical compositions of known and potential Al-rich and Li-bearing tourmalines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>31.90</td>
<td>38.65</td>
<td>39.03</td>
<td>38.49</td>
<td>38.40</td>
<td>38.12</td>
<td>37.41</td>
<td>38.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>54.14</td>
<td>46.45</td>
<td>44.15</td>
<td>40.82</td>
<td>40.73</td>
<td>43.12</td>
<td>47.61</td>
<td>37.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B₂O₃</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>11.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li₂O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X site: □ □ □ Na Na Na Na Ca

Y site: Al Al₂₅Liₐ₅ Al₂Li Li₁₅Al₁₅ Li₁₅Al₁₅ Al₂Li Al₃ Li₂Al

Z site: Al₆ Al₆ Al₆ Al₆ Al₆ Al₆ Al₆ Al₆

B site: B₃ B₃ B₃ B₃ B₃ B₃ B₃ B₃

T site: Si₅Al Si₆ Si₆ Si₆ Si₆ Si₆ Si₆ Si₆

V site: (OH)₃ (OH)₃ (OH)₃ (OH)₃ (OH)₃ (OH)₃ O₂(OH) * (OH)₃

W site: O O OH OH F O O O* F

**Notes:**
1: Alumino-oxy-rossmanite, □ Al₃Al₆(Si₅AlO₁₈)(BO₃)₃(OH)₃O; 2 “oxy-rossmanite”, □(Li₀.₅Al₂.₅)Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃O; 3: Rossmanite, □(LiAl₂)Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃OH; 4: Elbaite, Na(Li₁.₅Al₁.₅)Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃OH; 5: Fluor-elbaite, Na(Li₁.₅Al₁.₅)Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃F; 6: Darrellhenryite, Na(LiAl₂)Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃O; 7: Olenite NaAl₃Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃OH; 8: Fluor-liddicoatite, Ca(Li₂Al)Al₆Si₆O₁₈(BO₃)₃(OH)₃F;

* To produce an ordered end-member formula of olenite, the (OH) group can be assigned to the V site although it occupies the W site.
Figure captions:

Figure 1. Pink core of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (type material, PINK1) with a dark green rim of Fe-bearing alumino-oxy-rossmanite in quartz. Found in 1994 in the Hengl quarry, near the village Eibenstein an der Thaya, Lower Austria (photo detail ~7 × 13 mm²). Collection A.P.

Figure 2. Pink tourmaline with a major alumino-oxy-rossmanite component (PINK2) in quartz. Found in 2017 in the Hengl quarry, near the village Eibenstein an der Thaya, Lower Austria (photo detail ~15 × 22 mm²). Collection A.P.

Figure 3. Optical absorption spectrum of a 0.0928 mm thick crystal of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (sample PINK1).

Figure 4. Near-infrared spectrum of alumino-oxy-rossmanite (sample PINK1) from Eibenstein in the OH overtone region. A spectrum of a 0.0928 mm thick crystal was plotted normalized to 1.0 mm thickness.

Figure 5. Relationship between bond-angle distortion $\sigma_{oct}^2$ of the ZO₆ octahedron and the average Y-O distance. Modified from Figure 3 from Ertl et al. (2002), including the structural data from Hughes et al. (2004). Alumino-oxy-rossmanite (type material, PINK1), Al-rich oxy-tourmaline (with a major alumino-oxy-rossmanite component, PINK2).

Figure 6. Total Al versus Si in different Al-rich tourmaline end-members. Note: a: Olenite; b: Darrellhenryite; c: Elbaite, fluor-elbaite; d: Fluor-liddicoatite. Measured samples: PINK1:
Alumino-oxy-rossmanite (type material); PINK2: Pink tourmaline with a major alumino-oxy-rossmanite component.

**Figure 7.** Total Al versus Li in Al-rich tourmaline end-members. Note: a: Olenite; b: Darrellhenryite; c: Elbaite, fluor-elbaite; d: Fluor-liddicoatite. Measured samples: PINK1: Alumino-oxy-rossmanite (type material); PINK2: Pink tourmaline with a major alumino-oxy-rossmanite component.
Figure 3: Absorbance spectra for Elc and Elc samples as a function of wavelength (nm).
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Fig 5
I

alumino-oxy-rossmanite: Si = 5

oxy-rossmanite: Si = 6

rossmanite: Si = 6

PINK1

PINK2

a

b

c

d