Paragenesis and precipitation stages of Nb-Ta-oxide minerals in phosphorus-rich rare-element pegmatites (Buranga dike, Rwanda)
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ABSTRACT

Nb-Ta-oxide minerals (NTO) are commonly associated with rare-element pegmatites where they are interpreted to precipitate at magmatic up to magmatic-hydrothermal conditions. Although high-temperature experiments show that phosphorus and other fluxing elements (e.g., Li, B, F) can affect the saturation of NTO in pegmatitic systems, it is still uncertain how NTO saturation occurs in natural, flux-rich pegmatitic melts and whether crystallization occurs at multiple stages during magmatic or subsolidus conditions. The LCT family P-rich Buranga granitic pegmatite (western Rwanda) is used as a type locality to address this question. NTO mineralization in the Buranga dike occurs in two mineralogical units: in mineralogically complex phosphatic nodules, and in albitized parts. In the phosphatic nodules, Fe-Nb-Ta-rich rutile and columbite-group minerals (CGM) are observed, while in the albitized parts, only CGM is found. Fe-Nb-Ta-rich rutile precipitates at the magmatic stage along with early primary phosphates (i.e., F-rich montebrasite, wyllieite, and fluorapatite). Conversely, CGM mineralization occurs at the magmatic-hydrothermal stage in association with replacement phosphates like bertossaite, after primary minerals (i.e., rutile, wyllieite, rosemaryite, and trolleite) are destabilized due to crystal-melt-fluid interactions. NTO textures and chemical zoning show uneven evolution from core to rim and are related to localized alteration phenomena of the surrounding minerals. This indicates that local processes and element transfers are more important than dike-scale fractionation processes for NTO mineralization in P-rich granitic pegmatites. The restricted availability of Fe and Mn in the system, which is related to the competition between phosphate and oxide minerals, is identified as the main control on the CGM mineralization in the Buranga dike. CGM precipitation is only...
possible during the magmatic-hydrothermal stage when Fe and Mn are leached from the primary phosphates and remobilized to the Nb-Ta-bearing residual melt by the exsolved fluid.

**Keywords**: Nb-Ta mineralization, granitic pegmatite, pegmatitic phosphates, mineral paragenesis, Raman mapping, Buranga pegmatite

1. INTRODUCTION

Rare-element granitic pegmatites are commonly enriched in incompatible elements, e.g., Li, Rb, Cs, Be, Ta, Nb, P, and B (Černý et al. 1985; London 1987; Linnen and Cuney 2005; Simmons and Webber 2008). Most of the large-scale melt differentiation and element enrichment can be explained by fractional crystallization in a residual melt segregated from granitic mushes (Raimbault et al. 1995; Hulsbosch et al. 2014; Stepanov et al. 2014). However, the extreme elemental enrichment and fractionation of particular geochemical ratios (i.e., Nb/Ta) observed in some pegmatites cannot be attributed to a pure equilibrium fractional crystallization model (Van Lichtervelde et al. 2018; Ballouard et al. 2020b; Kaeter et al. 2021). Therefore, additional mechanisms are required to explain this fractionation, especially on the scale of an individual pegmatite dike.

Due to their identical charge and similar ionic radius (i.e., usually labeled “geochemical twins”), Nb and Ta are not expected to fractionate extensively in most geological environments, requiring specific processes to fractionate (Green 1995). Nonetheless, niobium-tantalum-oxide minerals (NTO) commonly record considerable degrees of Nb/Ta fractionation in pegmatitic systems (e.g., Černý and Ercit 1985; Beurlen et al. 2008; Wise et al. 2012; Fuchsloch et al. 2019). This can be related to the lower solubility of MnNb$_2$O$_6$ to MnTa$_2$O$_6$ (Linnen 1998), suggesting that the melt Nb/Ta ratio decreases during magmatic differentiation until saturation of tantalite is reached. Contrarily, if saturation is not reached, the metals can be incorporated into other minerals (especially Fe-Ti oxides, micas, and phosphates).

Overall, the reported content of Nb and Ta in pegmatitic melts (Webster et al. 1997; Borisova et al. 2012) is mostly below the necessary saturation values for NTO (Linnen and Keppler 1997; Linnen 1998; London 2018; Van Lichtervelde et al. 2018; McNeil et al. 2020). This resulted in multiple hypotheses for the
precipitation of NTO in pegmatites. The mineralization can be purely magmatic, originating from fractional
crystallization (Černý et al. 1986; Llorens et al. 2017), due to extreme undercooling and disequilibrium
crystallization (London 2018; Van Lichtervelde et al. 2018) or chemical quenching (Linnen et al. 2012).
Alternatively, it can be triggered by interactions with an aqueous fluid phase (Ballouard et al. 2020b; Kaeter
et al. 2021), possibly due to the remobilization of the mobile major elements present in NTO (i.e., Fe and
Mn) into the melt (McNeil et al. 2020).

Nb and Ta mineralization is commonly associated with residual melts enriched in fluxing elements, e.g.,
Li, B, P, and F (Černý et al. 1985; Linnen 1998; London 2018; Araujo et al. 2020), which can considerably
lower the melt solidus and facilitate mineral saturation (London 1987; Bartels et al. 2010; Fiege et al. 2018).
Additionally, experiments show that phosphorus is incompatible during crystallization, and its content should
increase until a phosphate phase becomes stable (London et al. 1993). Hence, the crystallization of phosphate
minerals should directly impact the saturation of NTO in the melt, both by controlling the availability of
fluxes (P and Li) and other relevant elements in the melt, e.g., Fe and Mn.

The crystallization of phosphorus-rich pegmatitic melts can result in a complex paragenetic sequence
with numerous phosphate minerals (Moore 1973; Fransolet 1980; London and Burt 1982; Roda et al. 2004;
Galliski et al. 2012; Baijot et al. 2014; Araujo et al. 2021). Although individual phosphate minerals or
specific assemblages can have narrow pressure-temperature-composition (P-T-X) stability fields (Bass and
Sclar 1979; Schmid-Beurmann et al. 2000; Hatert et al. 2006, 2011a). Therefore, phosphates are sensitive to
physicochemical changes during crystallization and record the magmatic stage, the magmatic-hydrothermal
transition, and the subsolidus alteration processes in pegmatites (Moore 1973). The mineral parageneses
often record a sequence of chemical reactions that occurred during cooling, such as leaching of elements
(e.g., Li, F), hydration, oxidation, and other cation exchanges (e.g., Na, Ca, Fe, Mn, Ba) (Fisher 1958; Moore
1973; Roda-Robles et al. 2010; Baijot et al. 2014). Consequently, since phosphate minerals cover the full
crystallization spectrum, and can be paragenetically linked to NTO, they are valuable tools to investigate the
processes leading to NTO mineralization.
The Buranga pegmatite, located in the Gatumba Pegmatite District of Western Rwanda, is an outstanding natural case study to assess the relation between enrichment in fluxing agents (P, Li, B, etc.) and rare-metal mineralization. The dike is a highly evolved phosphorus-rich LCT pegmatite and is mineralized in Nb, Ta, and Sn, with currently artisanal miners exploiting outcropping zones for rare metals (Dewaele et al. 2011; Muchez et al. 2014).

This contribution investigates the textural, major elemental, and Raman spectroscopic variations of Nb-Ta-oxide minerals and their host phases crystallizing in the phosphorus-rich Buranga pegmatite aiming 1) to understand the setting in which the saturation of ore minerals took place, whether directly in a magmatic environment or during the magmatic-hydrothermal transition under the influence of interactions between melt, crystals, and fluid, and 2) to assess if the host phosphate minerals can be used as proxies to constrain the crystallization of Nb-Ta-oxide minerals, providing a natural counterpart for Nb-Ta solubility experiments in pegmatitic melts.

2. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

2.1. Regional context

The study area is part of the Karagwe-Ankole Belt (KAB) in central Africa (Tack et al. 2010; Fernandez-Alonso et al. 2012; Van Daele et al. 2020) where many pegmatite fields are recognized. These pegmatites are linked to the Neoproterozoic, ca. 1000–900 Ma, “Kibara tin granites” (Pohl et al. 2013; Melcher et al. 2015; Hulsbosch 2019) and they include the Gitarama-Gatumba field (Fig. 1) as one of the best representatives of the magmatic evolution and the related rare-metal mineralization (Dewaele et al. 2011; Hulsbosch et al. 2014, 2016).

The Gitarama-Gatumba field encompasses pegmatite bodies spatially zoned around S-type composite batholiths (Varlamoff 1972; Muchez et al. 2014), ranging from (1) biotite pegmatites to (2) two-mica pegmatites, (3) muscovite pegmatites, and finally (4) Nb-Ta-Sn-mineralized pegmatites (Hulsbosch et al. 2013). Chemical analyses of rock-forming minerals show that this zonation follows a magmatic fractionation.
trend, where the mineralized pegmatites, which are enriched in Li, Be, B and P, represent the last melt fraction extracted from the granitic mush (Hulsbosch et al. 2014).

2.2. Buranga pegmatite

The samples for this study are from the Buranga dike, located in the Gitarama-Gatumba pegmatite field of Western Rwanda (Dewaele et al. 2011). The Buranga pegmatite is a phosphorus-rich dike with affinity to the LCT (Li-Cs-Ta) family. It hosts more than 50 phosphate minerals (Daltry and von Knorring 1998) and is the type locality for three of them: bertossaité \([(\text{Li,Na})_2(\text{Ca,Fe}^{2+},\text{Mn}^{2+})\text{Al}_4(\text{PO}_4)_4(\text{OH,F})_4]\), burangaite \([\text{NaFe}^{2+}\text{Al}_5(\text{PO}_4)_4(\text{OH})_6.2\text{H}_2\text{O}]\), and gatumbaite \([\text{CaAl}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{OH})_2.\text{H}_2\text{O}]\). For a mineralogical overview of all the minerals described in the Buranga dike, the reader is referred to Daltry and von Knorring (1998).

The Buranga dike measures around 250 m in its long axis, 200 m in the short axis, and 20 m in depth (Fig. 2). The dike is strongly asymmetric in respect to its fairly marked internal zones (Fransolet 1975). The pegmatite is hosted by tourmalinized country rocks, namely metasedimentary (mica schists and quartzites) to the east and (meta)-mafic rocks (gabbro to amphibolite) to the west (Polinard 1950). The dike is enclosed by a fine- to medium-grained border zone presenting K-feldspar, quartz, Li-rich muscovite, and tourmaline. Following, a wall zone dominated by large blocky and coarse-grained crystals of the same mineralogy is present. The wall zone transitions into an intermediate zone with massive crystals of lithium minerals (e.g., amblygonite-montebrasite and spodumene), multi-colored beryl, and apatite (Varlamoff 1961, 1972). This intermediate zone has undergone moderate secondary alteration, including albitionization with saccharoidal or platy (cleavelandite) albite textures, and greisenization marked by a muscovite-quartz assemblage (Varlamoff 1963, 1972; Fransolet 1975). Finally, a distinctive, roughly 15 m wide, quartz-rich core zone occurs closer to the eastern border (Fig. 2).

Phosphate minerals occur as polymineralic nodules in the core zone and the transition region between the intermediate and core zones (Fransolet 1975), something that is not commonly seen in other pegmatites of the region (Varlamoff 1972; Dewaele et al. 2011). Two groups of phosphate nodules are described (Polinard 1950; Daltry and von Knorring 1998): (1) massive blocks of several cubic decimeters dominated by
white montebrasite, with other Al-rich phosphates; (2) massive associations of multiple dark-colored Fe-Mn-rich phosphates dominated by lithiophilite-triphylite.

Ore mineral concentrates from Buranga are dominated by columbite-group minerals (CGM), with traces of microlite, tapiolite, cassiterite, rutile, wodginite, and ixiolite (Melcher et al. 2015). Most of these are found within the albitized intermediate zone (Varlamoff 1963). The precipitation of CGM and some cassiterite has been suggested to pre-date the albitization event, with CGM crystals providing U-Pb ages of 936 ± 14 Ma (upper Discordia intercept), while the main cassiterite mineralization is associated with greisenization pockets (Dewaele et al. 2011). The CGM ages are slightly younger than ages obtained in the surrounding granites, ~1020-950 Ma by zircon U-Pb dating (Tack et al. 2010; De Clercq et al. 2021; Nambaje et al. 2021), but are within the age range of 975 ± 29 Ma to 940 ± 28 Ma obtained in the pegmatite dikes by muscovite Rb-Sr dating (Monteyne-Poulaert et al. 1963). Recent studies of the mineralization in the Gitarama-Gatumba field point to a more complex mineralization history, with at least three generations of cassiterite formed from a water-saturated melt system, and triggered initially by local disequilibrium around the fast-growing pegmatitic minerals or lately by metasomatic fluid-rock interactions (Hulsbosch and Muchez 2020). Similarly, new cassiterite U-Pb dating at ca. 1150–1140 Ma inside the pegmatites, and ca. 1090–960 Ma in the main Sn mineralization in quartz veins and intra-pegmatitic greisen (Nambaje et al. 2021), points to older crystallization ages in the region.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Materials and sample location

The NTO ore minerals of the Buranga dike were found in two settings: either associated with phosphate minerals in phosphatic nodules (samples BU02, BU04, BU19) or with silicate minerals in albitization zones (samples BU12, BU24, BU25). The samples are part of historical collections and were retrieved from the Royal Museum for Central Africa mineral and rock collection and from the University of Liège collection. Although the precise location coordinates of the samples are unknown, documents describe that phosphatic nodules come from close to the quartz core, and the albitized specimens from the alteration section in the
intermediate zone. Samples were prepared into polished thin sections (30-50 μm) for transmitted- and reflected-light optical analyses, conducted on an Olympus BX40 petrographic polarization microscope at the Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, KU Leuven, Belgium. The same thin sections were subsequently analyzed by Raman spectroscopy and field emission gun electron probe micro-analyzer.

3.2. Mineral chemistry

Major element composition of NTO was measured in situ by energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and wavelength-dispersive spectroscopy (WDS) with a JEOL JXA-8530F field emission gun electron probe micro-analyzer (FEG-EPMA) at the Department of Materials Engineering, KU Leuven, Belgium. More details on the equipment can be found in Van Daele et al. (2020). Samples were coated with a ~20 nm layer of carbon and imaged by backscattered electrons (BSE) before point analyses. EDS data were acquired with a silicon drift type detector, cooled by Peltier effect, under an acceleration voltage of 15 kV and beam current of 10 nA. WDS analyses were acquired with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV, 40 nA beam current, and 1 μm spot size. The JEOL’s internal PRZ correction (Armstrong 1991) was applied to quantify the composition of unknown samples using as standards: (TAP crystal) Al-Kα on almandine, Ta-Mα on metallic tantalum; (PETJ crystal) Ti-Kα on rutile, Mn-Kα on rhodonite; (TAPH crystal) Mg-Kα on periclase; (LiFH crystal) Fe-Kα on magnetite; (PETH crystal) Sn-Lα on cassiterite, Nb-Lα on metallic niobium, and Ca-Kα on almandine. The peak signal counting time for rutile analysis was 20 s for Al, Ti, Mn, and Mg; 30 s for Ta, Sn, and Nb; 40 s for Fe. For CGM counting times on peaks was 20 s for Nb, Ta, Al, Fe, Mn, and Mg; 30 s for Ti and Sn; 10 s for Ca. In all cases, the high and low background signal was measured for half of the peak time. Mineral formulae were recalculated on the basis of 24 oxygens for 12 cations. The Python scripts used for the processing of element mass% into apfu and graph plotting are available at a dedicated GitLab repository (https://gitlab.com/thembubbles/nto-paragenesis-buranga-pegmatite/).

3.3. Raman microscopy

Raman spectra were obtained using a Horiba Jobin-Yvon LabRAM HR Evolution spectrometer at the Department of Chemistry of KU Leuven. Samples were excited with a Nd:YAG 532 nm wavelength laser.
(Oxxius, 100 mW power) combined with laser attenuation filters (modulating the power to 100 %, 50 %, or 10 % of the source) to avoid damaging the samples. In cases where photoluminescence occurred, samples were analyzed using a He-Ne 633 nm wavelength laser (LASOS, 17 mW power).

Point analyses were measured using a 100x (Olympus, NA 0.9) or a 50x long-working distance (Olympus, NA 0.5) microscope objective, 150 grooves/mm grating, and a confocal pinhole aperture of 30 to 50 μm. The integration time of single analyses was 10 s to 40 s depending on the laser power filter used in each analysis (i.e., lower power required longer acquisitions), with two accumulations in the same spot.

Raman maps were acquired using a 50x long-working distance objective in a line-scan mapping array (Horiba SWIFT®). Considering that each Raman map covered different minerals and areas, the required settings also varied. Analytical conditions varied from 0.2 s to 0.5 s single accumulations, arranged in regularly-spaced arrays (2 x 2 or 5 x 5 μm), electron-multiplying gain between 300-800, 30-100 μm confocal pinhole, 150 grooves/mm grating with a range from 100 to 4000 cm⁻¹. The specific analytical settings for each map can be found in their respective legends.

A spike filter was automatically applied during the analysis. Point analyses were subtracted by a 6-degree polynomial baseline with 50 attaching points. The mapping datasets had a 6-degree polynomial baseline subtraction with 150 attaching points, and a range reduction retaining only data between 400 and 3650 cm⁻¹. All Raman images in this manuscript were smoothed to improve the visualization of mineral contacts and to remove rastering effects. Visible and hidden peaks were fitted with Gaussian-Lorentz curves using the PeakFit, v.4.12 (SYSTAT Inc.). Fitting followed the automated procedure using the residuals method. The reader is referred to Araujo et al. (2021) for details on the Raman instrumentation, methodology, calibration, and processing procedures used in this study.

Raman spectroscopy was used in addition to petrography to identify the mineral phases, especially the late phosphates. Raman analyses were carried out before the EPMA analyses to avoid any interference of the carbon coating and damage by the electron beam.

4. RESULTS
4.1. Petrography

NTO mineralization in the Buranga dike occurs in two settings: 1) in mineralogically complex phosphatic nodules (Fig. 3a and b), containing several phosphate minerals, and 2) in albitized facies (Fig. 3c), composed mostly of albite. The complete petrographic description of the minerals observed can be found in the supplementary files S1.

4.1.1. Phosphatic nodules. This facies is composed dominantly of phosphate minerals, with quartz occurring as the main silicate phase along with rare muscovite. Two main associations occur in the Buranga nodules, either dominated by Al-rich phosphates or by Fe-Mn-rich phosphates. Al-rich nodules contain abundant montebrasite, trolleite, bertossaite, scorzalite, and brazilianite, with several minor and accessory phases associated. Fe-Mn-rich nodules are dominated by lithiophilite-triphylite, heterosite-purpurite, rockbridgeite-frondelite, alluaudite, hureaulite, phosphosiderite, and many other minor minerals. Mixtures of the two associations are rare, but in this case, mostly comprise coarse-grained montebrasite in contact with Fe-Mn-rich nodules.

All samples show extensive alteration where primary minerals are replaced by a wide range of secondary phases. Some samples (Fig. 3a) partially retain the primary phosphates and show secondary minerals at their rims, in fractures, and alterations caps, while others (Fig. 3b) are strongly altered presenting mostly secondary phosphates replacing sparse primary phases. These two groups, partially altered and strongly altered nodules, are unevenly distributed, with the Fe-Mn-rich association being much more diversified in their secondary mineralogy than the Al-rich nodules.

Nb-Ta-oxide minerals have been observed only in the Al-rich nodules, implying some genetic relation (see discussion section 5.2 for interpretation). Consequently, this contribution focuses on this association. Al-rich phosphatic nodules show isotropic fabrics and can vary in color from whitish and blueish to pale greenish, brownish, cream-colored, or dark shades of blue. Crystal sizes and shapes are extremely variable, with usually few coarse-grained primary minerals being fractured and replaced by multiple smaller secondary
phases. The distinction between primary and secondary minerals is based on crystal textural features, such as shape, size, and especially its relation to adjacent minerals.

4.1.1.1. Phosphate minerals. A series of phosphate minerals is present in the Al-rich nodules from the Buranga dike (Fig. 4). These were subdivided according to their textural relations into four texture groups.

1) The early primary phases are commonly coarse- to medium-grained (>2 cm to 5 mm), frequently altered, and often occur included in later minerals. Early primary minerals are usually euhedral to subhedral, but they can also be anhedral due to alteration (Fig. 5a and b). Wyllieite, F-rich montebrasite, fluorapatite, and rosemaryite are defined as early primary phases. Primary fluid inclusions are rare or absent in these early minerals.

2) Late primary minerals show variable crystal sizes, ranging from coarse- to fine-grained (>2 cm to 1 mm), and are commonly partially altered. They are mainly subhedral (Fig. 6a and b), but also appear anhedral due to fracturing and alteration by later phases (Figs. 5c and 6c). An important aspect introduced from this group onwards is the widespread occurrence of primary fluid inclusions either following growth zones (Fig. 5d) or more commonly as isolated, irregular three-dimensional clusters. Trolleite is representative of the late primary phases. Muscovite and quartz are also included in this group.

3) Early secondary minerals, which are often subhedral to anhedral, occur rimming (Figs. 5d and 6d-e) or enclosing resorbed crystals of the primary phases (sometimes even developing euhedral habits in the interstitial spaces; Fig. 5c), but also form isolated crystals in the groundmass (Fig. 6f). They show highly variable grain sizes, ranging from coarse- to fine-grained (>2 cm to 1 mm). Bertossaite, F-poor montebrasite, scorzalite, augelite, and brazilianite are representatives of early secondary phases, as well as quartz.

4) Late secondary minerals are the most diverse group in number of phases present. These minerals are dominantly fine-grained (up to 1 mm) and replace all earlier mineral phases. They often occur as aggregates in pseudomorphs (Fig. 5e) and show complex replacement textures even within the group itself. Euhedral crystals are commonly observed (Fig. 5f), but fine-grained crystal masses are also present. Eosphorite, samuelsonite, hydroxylapatite, burangaite, and wardite, which are observed within the mineralized...
assemblages, are highlighted from this group. Although other minerals might be present, a complete sequence of minerals within this group is outside the scope of this study since these phases post-date the Nb-Ta mineralization.

4.1.1.2. Ore minerals. Phosphatic nodules contain two Nb-Ta-oxide minerals: 1) Fe-Nb-Ta-rich rutile and 2) a solid solution of the columbite-group minerals (CGM). Rutile is the most common Nb-Ta-bearing phase in the phosphatic nodules. It is euhedral to anhedral and occurs as very-fine inclusions (≤1 mm) in many phases, from the early primary minerals (e.g., wyllieite, Fig. 7a) to some secondary phases (e.g., augelite). In most cases, it is mainly black and opaque under the polarizing microscope, but it can rarely have translucent light brown-reddish colors. In backscattered electron (BSE) images, rutile crystals show concentric oscillatory zoning (Fig. 7b), alternating darker and brighter BSE zones. Nevertheless, many crystals display partially resorbed darker cores directly overgrown by bright rims (upper region of crystal in Fig. 7c), while irregular patches that crosscut the concentric zones are also present (lower part of crystal in Fig. 7c).

CGM are more restricted in the phosphatic nodules, and relatively more frequent in the strongly altered group. They are anhedral, normally associated with altered phosphates, mostly bertossaite and rosemaryite, and have complex zoning textures. The zonation can occasionally be concentric and oscillatory, but often shows disordered patterns with brighter and darker BSE domains unevenly distributed (Fig. 7d and e), and it can have irregular patches cutting the previous domains.

A different texture from all other crystals is seldom observed, with anhedral, oscillatory-zoned rutile directly associated with anhedral homogeneous CGM (Fig. 7f). The CGM seems to partially penetrate the rutile host and even follows an irregular patch cross-cutting the concentric zones, slightly resembling a fracture (note the right side of Fig. 7f).
4.1.2. Albitized facies. This facies is dominated by silicates, with albite by far the most abundant mineral. Samples commonly show isotropic fabric, are white to cream-colored, and have crystal sizes varying from coarse- to fine-grained. Frequent circular reddish oxidation spots and fractures contain opaque oxide-hydroxide minerals. The albitized facies contains only CGM as a Nb-Ta-oxide phase. CGM are texturally homogeneous, showing subhedral to anhedral crystals with signs of partial resorption such as rounded edges. Crystals are mostly unzoned in BSE images, occasionally with slightly brighter rims (Fig. 7g-i). CGM crystals commonly occur as inclusions in albite, as isolated crystals in the albite-quartz groundmass, or rarely associated with other minerals (e.g., zircon and micas).

4.2. Mineral chemistry

Wavelength-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (WDS) analyses representative of each textural context in the NTO minerals from the Buranga dike are presented in Table 1. The complete dataset for the mineral analyses can be found in the supplementary materials S2.

4.2.1. Rutile. The zonation observed in the rutile crystals is well correlated with chemical variation in their composition. There is a tendency of an increasing Nb+Ta+Fe content towards the rim of the crystals, combined with a decrease in the Ti content (Fig. 8), which indicates that the main cationic exchange observed (Fig. 8a) is the rutile-type substitution: $2(Nb,Ta)^{5+} + Fe^{2+} = 3 Ti^{4+}$ (Ercit 1994).

The distinction between the partially and strongly altered groups is not clear, with considerable overlap among the phosphatic nodules (Fig. 8b, and Fig. 9a, b, c). However, slightly more Fe-Nb-Ta-rich rutile compositions were observed in the strongly altered nodules (Fig. 9a). This Fe-Nb-Ta-rich character is highlighted in the grains associated with CGM (Fig. 7f), which have an average chemical formula $Ti_{8.85}Fe_{1.22}Nb_{0.99}Ta_{0.88}Sn_{0.14}O_{24}$, showing the highest contents of Nb, Ta, and Fe observed in this study.
4.2.2. **Columbite-group minerals.** The chemical compositions of CGM show clear trends and allow discrimination between the studied facies in the Buranga pegmatite (Fig. 8c and d). Contents of Ti and Sn are positively correlated and have the highest values associated with CGM in the phosphatic nodules (Fig. 9d), while the albitized facies shows rather constant low values (commonly lower than 0.2 apfu for Ti+Sn). Oppositely, Nb and Ta are negatively correlated, as well as Fe and Mn, as is expected from the main cationic substitutions that define the group end-members (Černý et al. 1986). For the Ta# (Ta/Ta+Nb) and Mn# (Mn/Mn+Fe) (atomic ratios) indices (Fig. 8d), the partially altered phosphatic nodules have higher Ta# and lower Mn# (average of 36 and 37, respectively) than the strongly altered nodules (17 and 46, respectively). The albitized facies has low Ta# and variable Mn# values (14 and 25), with crystal rims showing a slight increase in the Ta# values (from about 11 in the cores to 18 in the rims).

A comparison of the distinct groups shows that Ti is positively correlated with Fe and Ta in the phosphatic nodules, while negatively correlated with Mn and Nb (Fig. 9e and f). Since the Ti content is predominantly low in the albitized facies, it does not change with varying amounts of other cations.

The chemical composition is mostly unrelated to any specific location in the crystals (Fig. 9). Usually, cores demonstrate lower Ta contents (Fe<sub>2.0</sub>Mn<sub>1.7</sub>Nb<sub>6.7</sub>Ta<sub>1.1</sub>Ti<sub>0.8</sub>Sn<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>24</sub>) than their respective rims (Fe<sub>2.1</sub>Mn<sub>1.4</sub>Nb<sub>5.5</sub>Ta<sub>2.0</sub>Ti<sub>0.2</sub>Sn<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>24</sub>). Nonetheless, few crystals have the opposite behavior of core (Fe<sub>2.3</sub>Mn<sub>1.2</sub>Nb<sub>4.5</sub>Ta<sub>3.1</sub>Ti<sub>0.6</sub>Sn<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>24</sub>) versus rim (Fe<sub>2.2</sub>Mn<sub>1.4</sub>Nb<sub>5.6</sub>Ta<sub>2.2</sub>Ti<sub>0.4</sub>O<sub>24</sub>), and there is considerable overlap between core and rim analyses of different crystals (Fig. 9e and f). Additionally, whenever patchy textures are present in BSE images, usually cross-cutting the other zones, these areas tend to have lower values of Ti+Sn, and Ta# (Fe<sub>1.8</sub>Mn<sub>1.9</sub>Nb<sub>7.5</sub>Ta<sub>0.5</sub>Ti<sub>0.2</sub>O<sub>24</sub>) than the other zones (Fig. 10).

4.3. **Raman analysis**

Raman analysis was used as complementary identification of the observed minerals. The raw and processed spectra for all minerals can be found in the supplementary materials S3 and S4, respectively.
4.3.1. Rutile. The main Raman modes of the rutile structure are identified as: (1) symmetric bending (E\textsubscript{g}) at around 440 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, (2) symmetric stretching (A\textsubscript{1g}) at around 610 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, (3) asymmetric stretching (B\textsubscript{1g}) at around 140 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, and (4) asymmetric stretching (B\textsubscript{2g}) at around 810 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (Porto et al. 1967).

These normal modes are straightforwardly recognized in the spectra of the crystals that show high Ti contents (Fig. 11a), while they become less distinct in spectra of crystals that have higher Nb+Ta+Fe contents, which also show broader Raman peaks (Fig. 11b). The darker crystal zones in BSE images (usually the crystal cores - Fig. 7) have narrower Raman peaks for which the positions directly correspond to the position based on the pure Raman spectrum of rutile (Porto et al. 1967), and are considered here as normal spectra. Bright BSE zones (especially the crystal rims) show slightly shifted and broader Raman peaks, in combination with more Raman peaks than the theoretical rutile Raman spectrum, and are considered as disturbed spectra.

A small shift in the position of the E\textsubscript{g} and A\textsubscript{1g} bands is visible in the disturbed spectra, changing from around 440 and 610 cm\textsuperscript{-1} to 410 and 625 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. This means that the distance between the two main peaks (E\textsubscript{g} and A\textsubscript{1g} bands) increases with increasing Nb+Ta+Fe content. The intensity of the peak at around 840 cm\textsuperscript{-1} also significantly increases in the disturbed spectra, which might be related to a stronger influence of (Nb,Ta)-O vibrations (Moreira et al. 2010), as can be seen in the CGM spectra (Fig. 12).
4.3.2. Columbite-group minerals. The Raman spectra of CGM do not show many differences in relationship with the chemical composition, textural contexts, or facies (Fig. 12). Band assignments presented below are after the studies of Husson et al. (1977) and Moreira et al. (2010). The spectra are marked by a strong vibration at around 880 cm\(^{-1}\), which is assigned as the \((\text{Nb,Ta})\text{O}_6\) octahedron symmetric stretching vibration \((\text{A}_{1g})\). Other distinct modes are present at 635, 532, 395 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to \((\text{Nb,Ta})\)-O bond vibrations, and at around 275 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned as stretching vibrations of the \((\text{Fe,Mn})\)-O bonds. Several less intense and overlapping modes can be further observed, where the ones below 250 are related to lattice vibrations, between 250-380 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned as octahedra bending coupled with \((\text{Fe,Mn})\)-O stretching, and remaining modes due to the \((\text{Nb,Ta})\)-O vibrations.

4.3.3. Host minerals. NTO are associated with both primary and secondary minerals as discussed in section 4.1. The spatial characterization of those is not always easy by petrographic microscopy, SEM, or EPMA, but they can be unambiguously identified by Raman mapping (Araujo et al. 2021). Raman maps are hyperspectral images with vibrational data of the region of interest and can show molecular changes at micrometer scales.

Raman maps show that rutile and wylleite are not affected by the replacement of the surrounding trolleite by F-poor montebrasite (Fig. 13). Consequently, this alteration post-dates rutile precipitation.

When the rutile crystals are isolated in the groundmass, i.e., they are not locked as inclusions in other phases, they are more susceptible to alteration processes. The mixed rutile-CGM grain (Fig. 14a) illustrates this relationship between rutile alteration and its host phosphate (Fig. 14b). In this assemblage, the trolleite host locally shows bands at around 975, 987, 1022, and 1041 cm\(^{-1}\). These uncommon bands are absent in the trolleite spectrum (Araujo et al. 2021) and are correlated with bands from brazilianite (complete set of spectra available in the supplementary files). The location showing the highest intensity for the brazilianite signal (brightest pixels at the left bottom of Fig. 14c) is associated with the CGM occurrence (Fig. 14d), whereas the area around the rutile crystal (Fig. 14e) correlates to the trolleite signal (Fig. 14f).
CGM crystals are usually hosted in alteration pods within large phosphate crystals (Fig. 15a). Although the many phases present can be hard to identify under the electron microscope (Fig. 15b), Raman mapping yields a detailed phase image. CGM occurs inside partially altered rosemaryite and is commonly surrounded by bertossaite (Fig. 15c). The rosemaryite crystals themselves are further altered by eosphorite, samuelsonite, hydroxylapatite, whereas scorzalite and wardite can form at the interface with trolleite, but these seem to be unrelated to the CGM precipitation.

5. DISCUSSION

The terminology regarding the terms primary and secondary is based mainly on the textures of the minerals, as described in section 4.1. In most cases, primary minerals are interpreted as early to late phases that precipitate directly from the medium (in this case the melt) due to oversaturation, whereas secondary minerals represent overprinting or replacement phases, which crystallize due to reactions between earlier minerals and the crystallizing medium (either a melt or a fluid). The purpose of this discussion is to assess in which stage of the dike formation the phosphate minerals, and the NTO, have crystallized, and thus interpret the processes that could trigger the mineralization.

5.1. From mineral paragenesis to crystallization stages

Phosphate minerals crystallize at multiple stages in the phosphorus-rich Buranga rare-element pegmatite (Fig. 4), and rutile or CGM are each associated with distinct phosphate assemblages. Mineral assemblages in the phosphatic nodules are divided as (1) early primary (rutile, wyllieite, F-rich montebrasite, fluorapatite, and rosemaryite), (2) late primary (trolleite, muscovite, and quartz), (3) early secondary (CGM, bertossaite, F-poor montebrasite, scorzalite, augelite, brazilianite, and quartz), and (4) late secondary (eosphorite, samuelsonite, hydroxylapatite, burangaite, and wardite). In the albitization facies, an early (tourmaline, beryl, zircon, fluorapatite, eosphorite) and a late (CGM, albite, muscovite, quartz) assemblage are observed. In the more general paragenesis proposed for the Gitarama-Gatumba pegmatite field based on optical microscopy (Dewaele et al. 2011), the Nb-Ta mineralization is placed within the broad ‘magmatic stage’ (‘pegmatite intrusion’) alongside the general precipitation of phosphate minerals. However, the detailed paragenesis from
this study demonstrates that the NTO mineralization takes place in more than one stage. Furthermore, the diverse phosphate mineralogy can be used to identify the detailed settings in which Nb-Ta oxide mineralization occurred.

Textural relations of phosphate minerals in the Buranga dike (Figs. 5, 6, and 15) suggest that the early minerals (F-rich montebrasite, wyllieite, fluorapatite, and rosemaryite), which occur as resorbed coarse-grained crystals or as euhedral inclusions in later phases and show a lack of fluid inclusions, precipitated directly from the melt. Therefore, they are classified as the magmatic minerals in the sequence, as is observed in other rare-element pegmatites (e.g., London and Burt 1982).

Regarding the late primary and early secondary minerals (i.e., trolleite, bortossaite, F-poor montebrasite, scorzalite, augelite, and brazilianite), the textures are slightly ambiguous concerning the precipitation conditions. The occurrence of coarse-grained crystals, sometimes with interstitial aspect and enclosing previous phases (Figs. 5b and d, 6c, and 15) point to a magmatic origin. Conversely, the occurrence of early secondary minerals surrounding resorbed primary phases (Figs. 5c and 6d-e) suggests a partial dissolution of the latter, followed by precipitation of the former, which could happen either in a residual melt or a fluid medium. Nonetheless, although ambiguous to the medium, the textures point to physical disruption of the primary minerals, but without signs of pervasive pseudomorphic replacement as it is observed with the late secondary phosphates (Fig. 5e) in subsolidus processes (fluid-crystal reaction). In this stage, primary crystals are broken up but not intensively replaced, and early secondary minerals precipitate in the open spaces rather than at the expense of previous phases. Furthermore, considering the composition of those minerals, it is not expected that all the required components (Al, P, Ca, Na, Si, Fe, and Si) are provided only by the fluid (London et al. 1988; Keppler 1994), nor that a massive coarse-grained crystal (with brecciated/poikilitic-like textures) would precipitate from subsolidus processes. Therefore, on the one hand, it is likely that a residual melt was present during this stage. On the other hand, the widespread presence of primary fluid inclusions in all these minerals suggests that a fluid phase was also present during crystallization. Considering the
combined evidence of magmatic textures with frequent primary fluid inclusions, these minerals are interpreted to have crystallized from a medium where both melt and fluid coexisted.

Finally, the late secondary minerals, which often occur as fine-grained euhedral crystals or aggregates inside fractures and in pseudomorphs after the primary minerals (Fig. 5e and f), indicate mostly fluid-related processes in a subsolidus setting. Therefore, they are interpreted as precipitating after complete crystallization from reactions between the previous phases and the fluid.

5.1.1. Key assemblages hosting ore minerals. Rosemaryite was previously described as being too oxidized to be a primary phase (Fransolet 1995). Some authors propose that wyllieite is the common primary mineral and that rosemaryite forms by its oxidation (Fransolet 1995; Hatert et al. 2016). However, in the Al-rich phosphatic nodules, rosemaryite occurs as inclusions in trolleite, augelite, quartz, and brazilianite, equally as wyllieite. Additionally, there are no indications of a direct relationship between the two, neither as relics of wyllieite inside rosemaryite nor as signs of replacement of the former by the latter to confirm this oxidation reaction, a feature also highlighted by Fransolet (1995). Moreover, these two minerals occur as spatially close inclusions inside F-poor montebrasite, trolleite, and augelite. Those findings suggest a primary character for both phosphates. The occurrence of oxidized primary Fe-Mn phosphates and oxides has already been described in the Buranga dike (Fransolet 1975) and other phosphorus-rich pegmatites (Roda et al. 2004; Roda-Robles et al. 2020), and in experimental studies (Hatert et al. 2006, 2011a), suggesting that an increased \( f_{O2} \) of the melt enables crystallization of such phases. In the same way, both wyllieite and rosemaryite are interpreted as primary phosphates. Wyllieite crystallizes earlier at lower melt \( f_{O2} \) conditions, while rosemaryite is stabilized later when the melt becomes slightly more oxidized.

Overall, rutile is observed when both wyllieite and rosemaryite occur in the assemblage. Conversely, CGM is present in the assemblages that showed only altered rosemaryite and no wyllieite, or where both phosphates were completely replaced. Therefore, rutile formation does not seem to depend on the occurrence or absence of any other phase. This, in addition to the fact that rutile occurs as inclusions in a variety of minerals, even primary phosphates, indicates that rutile crystallization started early in the phosphatic nodules.
Contrarily, CGM crystals are paragenetically linked with the formation of secondary phosphates. CGM commonly occur associated with bertossaita, either together in alteration nests within rosemaryite in the partially altered phosphatic nodules, or as inclusions of CGM in bertossaita in the strongly altered nodules. Bertossaita is mostly present as an alteration of montebrasite and trolleite but also occurs as inclusions in the latter. This indicates that the formation of bertossaita is not straightforward and should be interpreted with care since it could be stable in multiple stages and under variable conditions, possibly due to its structure being able to host multiple components (e.g., Li, Na, Ca, Sr, Al, Fe, Mn; Hatert et al., 2011b). In any case, bertossaita and CGM crystallization occur between the onset of the chemical remobilization by a fluid phase under magmatic conditions and the complete solidification of the dike. The formation of CGM in the phosphatic nodules seems to depend on the destabilization of wyllieite, rutile, and rosemaryite, and the crystallization of bertossaita.

Additionally, the absence of complex NTO associations (multiple secondary Ta-bearing oxides as seen in Van Lichtervelde et al., 2007) indicates that CGM crystals did not react with the residual melt or the exsolved fluid (as it happened with the primary phosphates). This reinforces the idea that CGM crystallized from a medium that was in disequilibrium with the primary phosphates (i.e., residual melt + fluid), and that they precipitated all at once in the late stage.
5.1.2. **Crystallization stages.** To explain the observed mineral textures and their respective sequences (Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 15, 16), the following processes are proposed for the crystallization of Buranga’s phosphatic nodules. Initially, at the magmatic stage, Li-rich and anhydrous minerals precipitate from the melt (e.g., F-rich montebrasite, wyllieite, fluorapatite, and rosemaryite). Subsequently, hydrated phases (i.e., trolleite) are precipitated and fluid inclusions become a common feature. This marks the magmatic-hydrothermal stage, where a high-temperature fluid is exsolved from the melt. At this stage, where crystal, melt, and fluid coexist, the fluid phase reacts with the primary minerals and redistributes some of their components to the residual melt, leading to the formation of phases with mobile elements such as Ca, Na, and Fe (Mn) (e.g., bertossaite, scorzalite-lazulite, and brazilianite). Finally, after the complete crystallization, intense hydration is the dominant process, stabilizing minerals with molecular water in the crystal structure (e.g., childrenite-eosphorite, samuelsonite, wardite, hydroxylapatite, burangaite) at the hydrothermal stage. This indicates that an aqueous fluid was still available at subsolidus conditions, partly remobilizing the more fluid-mobile elements and extensively altering the previous minerals.

Textural groups observed in this study (section 4.1) can be reclassified into the crystallization stages: 1) magmatic, with crystallization of primary phases directly from the melt, 2) magmatic-hydrothermal, where late primary and early secondary phases crystallize due to crystal-melt-fluid interactions, and 3) hydrothermal, where late secondary phases are formed by replacement of earlier phosphates under subsolidus conditions in the presence of an aqueous fluid (Fig. 16).

From the three stages described above for the Al-rich phosphatic nodules in the Buranga dike, rutile precipitation is associated with the crystallization of primary minerals (F-rich montebrasite, wyllieite, fluorapatite, and rosemaryite) at the magmatic stage, whereas CGM precipitation occurs concomitantly to the crystallization of early secondary phosphates (especially bertossaite) after the alteration of primary minerals during the magmatic-hydrothermal stage. Nevertheless, this information is not sufficient to understand the cause of NTO oversaturation and mineralization at each respective stage.

5.2. **Precipitation of rutile and columbite-group minerals**
5.2.1. Rutile. Rutile is typically a magmatic phase in rare-element pegmatites (Abella et al. 1995; Ballouard et al. 2020a). Saturation of rutile occurs due to its low solubility in peraluminous hydrous silicic melts (Ryerson and Watson 1987; Kularatne and Audétat 2014) and can be enhanced by the local concentration of Ti at the crystal-liquid interface (melt boundary layers) of crystallizing primary phosphates (cf. Bacon 1989), where high field strength elements (HFSE) are incompatible elements. The melt Nb and Ta content would also gradually increase at these crystal-liquid interfaces (London 2018), and both metals could enter the rutile structure (Linnen and Keppler 1997; Linnen and Cuney 2005).

Experiments indicate that rutile preferably incorporates Nb over Ta, with a higher partition coefficient ($D_{\text{rutile/melt}}$) for Nb than for Ta in granitic melts: $D_{\text{Nb}}^{\text{Nb}}/D_{\text{Ta}}^{\text{Ta}} = 2.6$ (Linnen and Keppler 1997; Linnen and Cuney 2005). Consequently, a consistent change in the melt Nb/Ta ratio would be expected with rutile precipitation in an equilibrium fractional crystallization model without the precipitation of any other NTO. This behavior is not observed in the crystals analyzed in this study (Fig. 9c). The investigated rutile crystals show a general trend of Nb+Ta+Fe enrichment with crystallization, but without a regular Nb/Ta change since core and rim analyses of different crystals irregularly overlap.

The higher Nb+Ta+Fe contents and irregular Nb/Ta distribution coupled with the stronger crystallographic disorder towards the rims of the rutile crystals (Fig. 11b) indicate that rutile precipitation did not follow an equilibrium path. The occurrence of resorbed cores (Fig. 7c) also hints that the Ti-rich phases were unstable and in disequilibrium with the late magmatic residual melt. A possible interpretation is that when rutile remained in contact with the residual melt, it was partially resorbed. Subsequently, with HFSE still available in the melt, rutile followed a disequilibrium crystallization path. Local supersaturation at the crystal-liquid interfaces was possibly what generated the oscillatory zoning and Fe-Nb-Ta-rich rims (Beurlen et al. 2008; Van Lichtervelde et al. 2018; Ballouard et al. 2020a; Kaeter et al. 2021). Chemical quenching at the crystal-liquid interfaces due to consumption of P and Li (crystallization of phosphates) can also enhance this supersaturation, leading to a quick crystallization of rutile, as suggested by Kaeter et al. (2021) in the case of tourmaline and Nb-Ta-rich rutile association.
5.2.2. Columbite-group minerals. Contrarily to rutile, columbite-group minerals (CGM) are not widespread in the phosphatic nodules and occur only within alteration pods in primary phosphates (Fig. 15). This association suggests that CGM crystallization was not caused by supersaturation in the melt, but was rather triggered by the destabilization of primary phases at the magmatic-hydrothermal stage (Fig. 16).

Considering the partially altered phosphatic nodules, only the areas close to extensive brazilianite formation show CGM in the pseudomorphs after rosemaryite. This could indicate that although brazilianite has not been seen in direct association with ore minerals, Na-metasomatism plays a role in the CGM precipitation. This influence is directly visible in the rutile + CGM coupled crystal (Fig. 14). Alternatively, the spatial correlation could simply be due to high permeability zones that concentrate most metasomatic processes, explaining the formation of brazilianite close to the rosemaryite pseudomorphs. However, many regions are altered by other secondary phases (e.g., augelite, scorzalite, and hydroxylapatite) and only show rutile. Furthermore, Na-metasomatism has already been evoked as the main trigger for Nb-Ta mineralization in pegmatites (Kontak 2006) and can explain the occurrence of exclusively CGM in the albitization facies. Indeed, Fransolet (1975) reported that Na-metasomatism in the phosphatic nodules is concomitant with the albitization of silicate minerals.

The low Nb-Ta content of exsolved magmatic fluids, as has been deduced from fluid inclusion research (Zajacz et al. 2008; Borisova et al. 2012), low fluid/melt partition coefficients (London et al. 1988; Borodulin et al. 2009; Tang and Zhang 2015), and consequently, low mobilization of Nb-Ta by fluids (Linnen and Cuney 2005), need to be overcome to explain the precipitation of CGM in a magmatic-hydrothermal stage.

The key is that GCM precipitation requires not only Nb and Ta but also Fe and Mn (Linnen and Keppler 1997). Considering that Fe and Mn are more mobile than Nb and Ta (Zajacz et al. 2008), the remobilization of Fe-Mn by saline fluids into a Nb-Ta-bearing residual melt can precipitate CGM (McNeil et al. 2020).

The crystallization of phosphates should decrease the availability of Fe and Mn in the melt, similarly to the early crystallization of Fe-Mn-Mg-bearing silicates like biotite or schorl-dravite tourmaline (London et al. 1999; Roda-Robles et al. 2012; McNeil et al. 2020), increasing the solubility of CGM (Linnen and Keppler...
Phosphates compete with CGM for the Fe-Mn content in the melt and, due to the P-rich nature of the melt, phosphates are preferentially crystallized. This means that CGM can only precipitate after the primary phosphates are altered and the Fe-Mn content previously locked in their structure becomes available. The textures observed (fractured crystals, rim aureoles, primary fluid inclusions, etc.) are more indicative of a reaction between the primary phosphates and a fluid phase in the crystal-melt-fluid system, but the involvement of the residual melt in reactions with the phosphates cannot be completely ruled out.

Nonetheless, if the Fe and Mn content in the melt is not sufficient to precipitate CGM, Nb and Ta stay in the melt at the magmatic-hydrothermal stage until Fe and Mn are made available (Linnen and Keppler 1997; McNeil et al. 2020). The reaction of the exsolved fluid with Fe-Mn-bearing sources (country rock or, in this case, primary phosphates) can then remobilize the fluid-mobile elements like Fe-Mn-Ca from the primary minerals back into the melt and trigger the CGM precipitation.

For this reason, a magmatic-hydrothermal origin (melt-fluid-crystal interaction) is preferred over a melt-melt immiscibility origin. At the magmatic-hydrothermal stage, the three required components are present (Nb-Ta-bearing residual melt + exsolved fluid + Fe-Mn primary phosphates). The exsolved fluid reacts with the primary phosphates, releasing Fe and Mn to the environment. Subsequently, the newly available Fe and Mn can combine with the Nb and Ta from the residual melt to precipitate CGM. Contrarily, at a pure magmatic stage with melt-melt immiscibility, it is not expected that the primary phosphates are in disequilibrium with the residual melts and would thus not be dissolved to release Fe and Mn back to the melt.

The requirement of Fe and Mn to precipitate CGM can also explain the lack of NTO in the Fe-Mn-rich nodules since the Fe and Mn content is locked in the phosphates during the whole crystallization. Even when primary phases are altered, the secondary phases are still Fe-Mn-rich, obstructing the precipitation of CGM. Contrarily, in the Al-rich nodules, after the alteration of the primary Fe-Mn-bearing phosphates (e.g., rosemaryite and willieite), the secondary phosphates are mainly Al-rich (e.g., bertossaite, brazilianite). Thus, Fe and Mn are available in the system, and CGM can precipitate.
The chemical trends between the partially and strongly altered nodules (Figs. 8 and 9) suggest that weak alteration releases more Fe and Ta, and as the alteration progresses, more Mn and Nb are remobilized. The alteration patterns reflect a more favorable composition in the strongly altered nodules (i.e., a larger presence of muscovite or other “reactive” minerals). This suggests that crystal-melt-fluid reactions and precipitation of CGM are local events rather than homogeneously distributed through the pegmatite body. Consequently, the dike system was not in equilibrium as a whole, and distinct, localized evolutions occurred for Al-rich and Fe-Mn-rich nodules, with each nodule acting as a separated chemical system. A similar local disequilibrium crystallization has already been described for the multi-stage cassiterite mineralization in the Gatumba dike system (Hulsbosch and Muchez 2020), nearby the Buranga dike.

Finally, some of the Nb-Ta content from the residual melt could have been mobilized to precipitate CGM in the albitized facies. Although the fluid-melt partitions of Nb and Ta ($D_{Ta}^{fluid/melt} < 0.008$ and $D_{Nb}^{fluid-melt} < 0.022$, Borodulin et al. 2009) are considerably low in peraluminous melts (Chevychelov et al. 2010), they can be soluble in concentrated acidic fluoride solutions (Zaraisky et al. 2010; Akinfiev et al. 2020), with Nb having slightly lower solubility than Ta (Timofeev et al. 2015), and could thus be partially remobilized by fluids. Fluid-mediated mobilization and precipitation for CGM is commonly described in alkaline granitoids and pegmatites (Timofeev and Williams-Jones 2015; Siachoque et al. 2020) and should be related to the high Na and F content of the exsolved fluids. However, the lack of fluorine phases (e.g., topaz and fluorite), and the F-poor compositions reported for minerals and fluid inclusions in the Gitarama-Gatumba pegmatite field, including the Buranga dike (Hulsbosch 2019; Hulsbosch and Muchez 2020; Araujo et al. 2021), suggest a low fluorine content in the pegmatite system. Consequently, Nb and Ta have not been extensively mobilized by the fluid phase and remained close to the melt source. This indicates that although alkali-rich fluids were responsible for the albitization, the Nb-Ta content was mainly concentrated during the magmatic stage in the Buranga pegmatite and remained in the melt until CGM precipitation.

5.3. Crystallization and mineralization model
Combining all the observations from this study, a mineralization model is proposed for the Buranga pegmatite (Fig. 17): at the magmatic stage, large primary phosphates crystallize and locally increase the HFSE content in the crystal-melt interface (melt boundary layer), triggering rutile precipitation adjacently to these phosphates by local supersaturation. With the progression of crystallization, the melt becomes comparatively enriched in incompatible elements, but the low availability of Fe-Mn in the melt due to the stabilization of primary Fe-Mn-rich phosphates inhibits the saturation of CGM. In this case, Nb and Ta can be concentrated in the residual melt, incorporated in the compatible phase rutile, or dispersed as traces in complex phosphates such as montebrasite, wyllicite, and rosemaryite. At the late magmatic stage, a high-temperature aqueous fluid is exsolved (magmatic-hydrothermal stage) and reacts with the primary phases, dissolving them. The reaction remobilizes Fe and Mn from the phosphates to the residual melt and, consequently, locally precipitates CGM around the altered primary phosphates.

6. IMPLICATIONS

The precipitation of niobium-tantalum-oxide minerals (NTO) in the Buranga pegmatite is not only controlled by the availability of HFSE but also by other mineral-forming elements (i.e., Fe and Mn). Consequently, the crystallization and stability of Fe-Mn-rich primary phosphates buffer the formation of NTO in phosphorus-rich rare-element pegmatites, especially for the columbite-group minerals (CGM). The textural and chemical features of NTO do not reflect the general pegmatite fractionation but indicate local processes and instabilities. Fe-Nb-Ta-rich rutile precipitated directly from the melt due to supersaturation in its components. Local disequilibrium between the flux-rich melt and the growing primary phosphates is likely the main cause of rutile crystallization. CGM are formed at the magmatic-hydrothermal stage due to phosphate-melt-fluid interactions, which releases Fe and Mn to the residual melt and locally precipitates CGM. The phosphatic nodules show complex precipitation stages, and each nodule could behave mostly as a separated system, until the very late stages of hydrothermal alteration, where fluids can escape from the system. The textural context of the whole assemblage, not only of single minerals, is particularly important to
reconstruct the crystallization sequences. Accordingly, the rich record from phosphate minerals is a powerful tool to deduce the precipitation conditions of niobium-tantalum-oxide minerals in phosphorus-rich pegmatites, controlling the availability of chemical components and providing a proxy for the mineralization stage.
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Table 1: representative WDS analyses of NTO from each facies from the Buranga dike. Oxide mass% values and recalculated atomic proportions are provided. Analyses were recalculated on the basis of 24 oxygens. SAPN = strongly altered phosphatic nodules. PAPN = partially altered phosphatic nodules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies</th>
<th>Phosphatic nodules</th>
<th>Albitized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAPN</td>
<td>SAPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rutile</td>
<td>rutile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mineral</td>
<td>core rim patchy</td>
<td>core rim patchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb₂O₅</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta₂O₅</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>101.4</td>
<td>100.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| a.p.f.u | Nb | 0.45 | 0.83 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.61 | 1.07 | 0.99 | 5.25 | 4.47 | 4.64 | 5.62 | 5.89 | 6.64 | 5.92 | 6.58 | 7.47 | 7.49 | 7.01 | 6.89 | 5.69 |
|         | Ta | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.19 | 0.48 | 0.53 | 0.88 | 2.59 | 3.14 | 3.09 | 2.19 | 2.00 | 1.19 | 2.02 | 1.46 | 0.47 | 0.57 | 1.06 | 1.22 | 2.39 |
|         | Ti | 11.05 | 10.21 | 10.26 | 10.48 | 10.17 | 9.30 | 8.85 | 0.38 | 0.65 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.11 |
|         | Sn | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
|         | Fe | 0.36 | 0.61 | 0.7 | 0.62 | 0.79 | 1.00 | 1.22 | 2.19 | 2.32 | 2.26 | 2.18 | 2.05 | 2.00 | 2.11 | 1.78 | 1.65 | 2.91 | 2.46 | 2.59 | 2.86 |
|         | Mn | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.33 | 1.16 | 1.24 | 1.41 | 1.53 | 1.57 | 1.42 | 1.81 | 1.92 | 0.73 | 1.17 | 1.07 | 0.7 |
|         | Sum | 12.06 | 12.05 | 12.1 | 12.12 | 12.13 | 12.12 | 12.17 | 11.8 | 11.85 | 11.81 | 11.85 | 11.82 | 11.82 | 11.78 | 11.79 | 11.86 | 11.81 | 11.8 | 11.78 | 11.76 |
Figure 1: Simplified geological map of western Rwanda, with the location of the Gatumba pegmatite field (ellipsoid) and the Buranga pegmatite (star). Modified from Fernandez-Alonso et al. (2007).
Figure 2: Local geological map of the Buranga pegmatite and cross-sections through the dike. Digitalized from exploration reports of the Minetain company (1955-1956) present in the archives of the Royal Museum for Central Africa (Belgium).
Figure 3: Hand samples from the Buranga pegmatite examined in this study. (a) Sample BU19 (RGM9705, in the notation of the Royal Museum for Central Africa), partially altered phosphatic nodule dominated by trolleite (light blue) with altered crystals of rosemaryite (brownish) and scattered scorzalite (dark blue). The right part of the sample is composed of secondary brazilianite (cream-colored to white). The concentric diagonal curves, especially visible at the bottom left of the sample, are saw scratches. (b) Sample BU04 (RGM9696), strongly altered phosphatic nodule composed of bertositaite (pinkish cream), trolleite (light blue), brazilianite (white cream), and scorzalite (dark blue spots). (c) Sample BU24 (RGM8894), albitized facies, composed mainly of albite (cream-colored) with oxidation spots (reddish spots) and few tourmaline crystals (black spots).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>Late</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>montebrasite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wyllicite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apatite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rosemaryite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trolleite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bertossaitescorzialite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>augelite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brazilianite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eosphorite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>samuelsonite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wardite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rutile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muscovite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quartz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beryl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tourmaline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>albite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4:** Paragenesis for the phosphatic nodules and albitized facies from the Buranga pegmatite. The thickness of the lines is an indication of the mineral’s relative abundance (i.e., the thicker the line, the more abundant the mineral is). Dashed lines are uncertain positioning, mostly due to a lack of unequivocal textural relations with other minerals or multiple textural contexts.
**Figure 5**

(a) Anhedral wyllieite crystal surrounded by brazilianite and quartz. Plane polarizers. (b) Anhedral and fractured montebrasite crystal surrounded by coarse-grained brazilianite and quartz. Plane polarizers. (c) Partially resorbed trolleite crystal enclosed by euhedral quartz and brazilianite. Crossed polarizers. (d) Subhedral trolleite surrounded by interstitial scorzalite and euhedral quartz. Note primary fluid inclusions aligned in growth zones of trolleite, and the mixture of fluid, melt, and crystal inclusions in the quartz grain on the top right (dotted lines). Plane polarizers. (e) Pseudomorph after rosemaryite partially replaced by a combination of eosphorite, and samuelsonite. Bertossaite partially rims the bottom part of the pseudomorph. Scorzalite grows in the contacts with trolleite. Gypsum plate inserted. (f) Euhedral radiating crystals of burangaite replacing trolleite. Plane polarizers.

Mineral abbreviations: Brz = brazilianite; Bts = bertossaite; Bur = burangaite; Eo = eosphorite; Mbs = montebrasite; Qz = quartz; Rsm = rosemaryite; Scz = scorzalite; Sm = samuelsonite; Trl = trolleite; Wyl = wyllieite.
Figure 6: BSE images from the Al-rich partially (PAPN) and strongly altered phosphatic nodules (SAPN) of the Buranga pegmatite. (a) Subhedral trolleite in association with anhedral bertossaite, and being partially replaced by scorzalite and augelite. PAPN. (b) Anhedral coarse-grained trolleite surrounded by bertossaite, augelite, and quartz, with scorzalite partially replacing the finer crystals. PAPN. (c) Association of primary wyllieite and trolleite, partially replaced by augelite and scorzalite. Note the unaltered rutile crystal. PAPN. (d) Muscovite crystal partially replaced by scorzalite when in the contact with bertossaite or quartz+augelite with trolleite. SAPN. (e) Coarse-grained trolleite crystal developing bertossaite bands in the contacts with intensively fractured rosemaryite. PAPN. (f) Coarse-grained bertossaite surrounding trolleite crystal a partially altered by brazilianite. Note the CGM around the altered trolleite. This crystal is presented in detail in Fig. 6d. SAPN.
Figure 7: BSE images of Nb-Ta-oxide minerals from Buranga. (a) to (c) are examples of rutile from the phosphatic nodules. (d) and (e) are examples of columbite-group minerals (CGM) from the phosphatic nodules. (f) shows a zoned rutile crystal associated with CGM. (g) to (i) are CGM from the albitized facies.
Figure 8: Cation amounts (apfu) plots from WDS analyses of Nb-Ta-oxide minerals from Buranga. (a) (Nb+Ta)-(Ti+Sn)-(Fe+Mn) ternary diagram for all analyses, with details for the rutile (b) and CGM analyses (c). Ionic exchange tie-lines after Galliski et al. (2019). (D) CGM analyses in the quadrilateral diagram. Miscibility gap, black solid line between the tantalite and tapiolite fields, from Van Lichtervelde et al. (2018). Typical CGM fractionation trends, marked by the light grey arrows, after Černý et al. (2004) and Beurlen et al. (2008).
Figure 9: Cation amounts in apfu for rutile (a, b, and c) and CGM (d, e, and f) analyses from the Buranga pegmatite. Atomic ratios are defined as: Mn# = Mn/(Mn + Fe^2+), and Ta# = Ta/(Ta + Nb).
Figure 10: Chemical profile across an oscillatory zoned CGM crystal in a strongly altered phosphatic nodule from the Buranga pegmatite. Elemental values (solid lines) are reported in apfu on the left axis, while the Ta# and Mn# atomic ratios (dashed lines) are reported on the right axis.
Figure 11: Raman spectra of rutile crystals from the Buranga pegmatite. (a) Crystal core with low Fe-Nb-Ta content from the partially altered phosphatic nodules (BU19w-ore2a). Spectrum acquired with a 532 nm laser, 150 g/mm grating, 10% laser power, 50x long working distance objective, 30 µm confocal pinhole, two accumulations of 30 s each. (b) Crystal with high Fe-Nb-Ta content from the strongly altered phosphatic nodules (BU04-ore2). Analyses were carried out in the crystal core depicted in Fig. 6f. Spectrum acquired with a 532 nm laser, 150 g/mm grating, 10% laser power, 50x long working distance objective, 30 µm confocal pinhole, two accumulations of 40 s each.
Figure 12: Raman spectra from CGM crystals from the Buranga pegmatite. Analyses from the partially altered phosphatic nodules (BU19TS-ore3-core) in the top image (a) and from the albitized facies (BU24-ore1-core) in the bottom image (b). Raman spectra acquired with a 633 nm laser, 150 g/mm grating, 10% laser power, 100x objective, 30 µm confocal pinhole, two accumulations of 30 s each.
Figure 13: Fe-Nb-Ta-rich rutile included in wyllicite in a partially altered phosphatic nodule. (a) BSE image of rutile-wyllieite assemblage. The red box shows the location of (b). (b) Classical least squares (CLS) classification map of the assemblage. For details about the classification method and validation, the reader is referred to Araujo et al. (2021). Raman image was acquired in a 2 x 2 μm regular array with a 633 nm laser, 150 g/mm grating, 100 % laser power, 100x objective, 100 μm confocal pinhole, single accumulation of 0.3 s, and detector EM gain of 300. The black spots in the image represent holes or areas out of focus.
Figure 14: Mixed Fe-Nb-Ta-rich rutile and CGM grain. (a) BSE image of the mapped area. The chemical formulae of analyses 1-3 marked on the image are provided. (b to f) CLS maps of the assemblage. Raman image was acquired in a 2 x 2 µm regular array with a 532 nm laser, 150 g/mm grating, 25% laser power, 50x long working distance objective, 30 µm confocal pinhole, single accumulation of 0.2 s, and detector EM gain of 500. (b) Combined maps showing the spatial distributions of each phase. (c) Trolleite/brazilianite phase map. (d) CGM phase map. (e) Rutile phase map. (f) Trolleite phase map.
Figure 15: CGM crystal in alteration pod within phosphate minerals. (a) Optical photomicrograph of the rosemaryite (brown) pseudomorph partially altered to bertossaitae (colorless) hosting CGM (opaque). Parallel polarizers. The red frame marks the location of the Raman map. (b) BSE image of the area around the CGM crystal. (c) CLS Raman image of the assemblage. The map was acquired in a 5 x 5 µm regular array with a 633 nm laser, 150 g/mm grating, 100% laser power, 50x long working distance objective, 30 µm confocal pinhole, single accumulation of 0.4 s, and detector EM gain of 800.
Figure 16: Paragenetic evolution of Nb-Ta oxides and phosphate minerals found in the Al-rich phosphatic nodules of the Buranga according to the alumina content (vertical axis) and crystallization stage (horizontal axis). The precipitation of rutile is marked by the pink field, and of CGM by the orange field. The stages where a melt phase (upper bar) and/or a fluid phase (lower bar) are present are indicated by the gradients from dominant (dark) to subordinate (light). The stages where specific reactions begin to take place (blue asterisks) is also shown. The grey arrows indicate direct formation from a reactive phase to a product phase.
Figure 17: Simplified Nb and Ta mineralization model for phosphorus-rich pegmatites. The initial availability of elements is controlled by the crystallization of phosphate minerals. Firstly, rutile, the only magmatic Nb-Ta-rich mineral, precipitates by disequilibrium crystallization at the crystal-melt interface of magmatic phosphates. Secondly, CGM precipitates when Fe-Mn is released back into the Nb-Ta-bearing residual melt due to dissolution of the primary phases by the exsolved high-temperature aqueous fluid (red arrows) at the magmatic-hydrothermal stage. Elements dissolved in the melt or fixed in a crystal structure are marked by colored circles. Undulated arrows represent the magmatic-hydrothermal fluid circulating in the system. Below is a sketch of the internal evolution of a single NTO crystal during crystallization, this crystal is marked by the square box in the main model.