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Abstract:

We compare the stable isotope compositions of Zn, S, and Cl for Apollo mare basalts to better constrain the sources and timescales of lunar volatile loss. Mare basalts have broadly elevated, yet limited, ranges in $\delta^{66}$Zn, $\delta^{34}$S, and $\delta^{37}$Cl_{SBC+WSC} values of $1.27 \pm 0.71$, $0.55 \pm 0.18$, $0.62 \pm 0.18$, respectively.
and 4.1 ± 4.0‰, respectively compared to the silicate Earth at 0.15, -1.28‰, and 0‰, respectively. We find that the Zn, S, and Cl isotope compositions are similar between the low and high-Ti mare basalts, providing evidence of a geochemical signature in the mare basalt source region that is inherited from lunar formation and magma ocean crystallization. The uniformity of these compositions implies mixing following mantle overturn, as well as minimal changes associated with subsequent mare magmatism. Degassing of mare magmas and lavas did not contribute to the large variations in Zn, S, and Cl isotope compositions found in some lunar materials (i.e., 15‰ in δ⁶⁶Zn, 60‰ in δ⁳⁴S, and 30‰ in δ⁷⁷Cl). This reflects magma sources that experienced minimal volatile loss due to high confining pressures that generally exceeded their equilibrium saturation pressures. Alternatively, these data indicate effective isotopic fractionation factors were near unity.

Our observations of S isotope compositions in mare basalts contrast to those for picritic glasses (Saal & Hauri 2021) which vary widely in S isotope compositions from -14.0 to 1.3‰ explained by extensive degassing of picritic magmas under high P/Pₜₐₜ values (> 0.9) during pyroclastic eruptions. The difference in the isotope compositions of picritic glass beads and mare basalts may result from differences in effusive (mare) and explosive (picritic) eruption styles wherein the high gas contents necessary for magma fragmentation would result in large effective isotopic fractionation factors during degassing of picritic magmas. Additionally, in highly vesiculated basalts, the δ³⁴S and δ⁷⁷Cl values of apatite grains are higher and more variable than the corresponding bulk-rock values. The large isotopic range in the vesiculated samples is explained by late-stage low-pressure ‘vacuum’ degassing (P/Pₜₐₜ ~ 0) of mare lavas wherein vesicle formation and apatite crystallization took place post-eruption. Bulk-rock mare basalts were seemingly unaffected by vacuum degassing. Degassing of mare lavas only became important in the final stages of crystallization recorded in apatite - potentially facilitated by cracks/fractures in
the crystallizing flow. We conclude that samples with wide-ranging volatile element isotope compositions are likely explained by localized processes which do not represent the bulk-Moon.
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**Introduction:**

Compared to the Earth, the Moon is extensively depleted in volatile elements and preserves a wide range of volatile-element stable isotope compositions such as for H, Cl, Zn, and S (Barnes et al., 2014; Faircloth et al., 2020; Moynier et al., 2006; Rees and Thode, 1974; Saal and Hauri, 2021; Sharp et al., 2010). These distinct chemical features are largely consistent with lunar formation from a Giant Impact (Charnoz et al., 2021; Paniello et al., 2012; Wing and Farquhar, 2015), in which a Mars-sized impactor collided with the proto-Earth forming a silicate-vapor disk which would later condense to form the Moon (Canup et al., 2015). Partial condensation of the proto-lunar disk (PLD) is consistent with volatile depletion (Canup et al., 2015; Lock et al., 2018), and many isotopic anomalies in moderately volatile stable isotope systems can be explained by vapor-drainage of the PLD to the proto-Earth (Nie and Dauphas, 2019). The explanation for the larger ranges in the isotopic compositions of highly volatile elements, however, remains elusive. This difficulty stems from the fact that the Moon underwent multiple events capable of causing isotopic fractionation of highly volatile elements such as the Giant Impact (Paniello et al., 2012), tidally-assisted hydrodynamic escape (Charnoz et al., 2021), degassing from the Lunar Magma Ocean (LMO) (Tang and Young, 2020), and later mare volcanism, which covered ~17% of the lunar surface in basaltic lava flows and pyroclastics (Head and Wilson, 2017; Shearer et al., 2006).

Mechanisms to explain highly volatile element stable isotope anomalies include degassing from the LMO (Boyce et al., 2018; Boyce et al., 2015), degassing facilitated by crust-breaching
impacts (Barnes et al., 2016; McCubbin and Barnes, 2020), volcanic degassing (Sharp et al., 2010) and devolatilization associated with the Giant Impact (Gargano et al., 2020). The importance of early, global degassing must take into account the rapid solidification of the LMO surface layer ‘lid’ which would presumably reduce vapor-loss (Barnes et al., 2016; Gargano et al., 2020), and occurred rapidly within thousands of years (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2011). In addition, recent isotopic modeling has shown that the silicate-vapor above the LMO would be in isotopic equilibrium with the magma ocean, resulting in limited isotopic fractionation (Tang and Young, 2020). Subsequent mare magmatism was comparatively prolonged, occurring from 3.9 to 3.1 Ga (Hiesinger et al., 2011), which would feasibly result in further extents of degassing.

The degree to which these individual volatile-loss mechanisms contribute to lunar volatile element stable isotope anomalies is poorly constrained. Are they inherited from the Giant Impact, the LMO, or are they a direct result from degassing during mare volcanism? Addressing the origin of volatile element stable isotope anomalies has been hampered by the lack of a resolvable relationship between a given volatile element’s concentration and its isotopic composition (i.e. [Cl] and $\delta^{37}$Cl). In this work we address this problem by combining three volatile element stable isotope systems which differ in volatility and geochemical affinity. Our intent in the combination of these measurement techniques is to interrogate the relationships between volatile element stable isotope compositions and better understand the sources thereof. We thus measured the Zn, S, and Cl in the same lunar mare basalts, taking into account the well-recognized intra- and intersample isotopic variability in lunar materials (Lock et al., 2020). If the isotopic anomalies for these elements relative to the Earth are a product of early, global processes during lunar formation (Giant Impact and/or subsequent degassing of the LMO), then their isotopic compositions should not change during subsequent magmatic processes such as fractional crystallization within the LMO.
and localized volcanic degassing. In contrast, if mare volcanism significantly contributed to lunar volatile loss and isotope fractionation, then the mare basalt suite should have a range of isotopic compositions associated with differing volatile contents and other volatile element stable isotope compositions.

Results:

We measured a suite of mare basalts for Zn, S and Cl isotope analyses. Quadruple S isotope measurements were made using gas source mass spectrometry at the University of Maryland (Fig. 1), and Zn concentration and isotopic compositions were measured using double-spiking and MC-ICPMS at Oxford University (Fig. 2) (see Methods for details of analysis). The halogen contents and $\delta^{37}$Cl values of these samples were measured at the Center for Isotope Cosmochemistry and Geochronology at NASA JSC and the University of New Mexico and have been previously presented (Fig. 3) (Gargano et al. 2020). Chlorine analyses are separated into water-soluble chloride (WSC) and structurally-bound chloride (SBC) fractions.

Of the samples measured in this work, the $\delta^{66}$Zn values ($\%$ vs. JMC-Lyon) range from $-9.6$ to $+1.9\%$ with concentrations from 0.8 to 6.7 ppm, and $\delta^{34}$S values ($\%$ vs. CDT) range from 0.07 to 0.93$\%$ with concentrations from 500 to 2500 ppm (Table 1). The Zn contents of high and low-Ti basalts average $2.48 \pm 1.96$ and $1.04 \pm 0.30$ ppm, respectively and $\delta^{66}$Zn values average $-0.49 \pm 4.0$ and $0.93 \pm 1.3\%$, respectively ($\pm$ indicates 1$\sigma$ standard deviation). Sulfur contents of high and low-Ti basalts average $1480 \pm 490$ and $700 \pm 258$ ppm with $\delta^{34}$S values of $0.63 \pm 0.21$ and $0.52 \pm 0.27\%$, respectively. High and low-Ti basalts have $\Delta^{33}$S and $\Delta^{36}$S values of $-0.0012 \pm 0.01$, $0.0046 \pm 0.01$, and $0.0184 \pm 0.182$, $0.0737 \pm 0.189\%$, respectively. The slight differences in the
Δ³³S and Δ³⁶S values between the low and high-Ti mare basalts are not significant relative to the estimated uncertainties of 0.008 and 0.3‰, respectively.

The differences for the δ⁶⁶Zn and δ³⁴S values between low and high-Ti mare basalts measured in this work are not statistically significant (unpaired t test results: δ⁶⁶Zn $t_{(18)} = 2.047, P = 0.0575$; δ³⁴S $t_{(18)} = 0.9820, P = 0.3407$). Previous results for mare basalts average δ⁶⁶Zn = 1.27 ± 0.71‰ (Moynier et al., 2006; Paniello et al., 2012), which is indistinguishable from our average of 1.31 ± 0.44 (excluding 10017) and δ³⁴S values of 0.55 ± 0.18‰ (Rees and Thode, 1974; Wing and Farquhar, 2015), compared to our values of 0.59 ± 0.22‰ (Figs. 4 & 5). The δ⁳⁷Cl_{SBC} and δ⁳⁷Cl_{WSC} values are similarly indistinguishable between the low and high-Ti basalts and range from 7.3 ± 3.5 and 1.8 ± 2.5‰, respectively with concentrations from 1.1 to 5.8, and 1.9 to 10 ppm, respectively (Fig. 6). No clear correlation is present in the δ⁶⁶Zn, δ³⁴S, and δ³⁷Cl_{SBC, WSC} values of mare basalts (Fig. 7).

In contrast to the isotope data, there are clear differences in the S and Zn contents of low and high-Ti basalts. High-Ti basalts contain an average of 1542 ± 462 ppm S relative to the 678 ± 180 in low-Ti basalts ($t_{(41)} = 8.6730, P = 0.0001$). A similar statistically significant difference holds for Zn contents, with high and low-Ti mare basalts containing an average of 2.55 ± 1.75 and 1.02 ± 0.31 ppm Zn, respectively ($t_{(38)} = 3.5550, P = 0.0015$). There is also a positive correlation between the F and S concentrations for the full suite of mare basalts (Fig. 8). Lastly, while the Zn, S, and Cl isotope compositions of mare basalts are generally independent of Zn, S, and halogen contents, sample 10017 with the lowest δ⁶⁶Zn values has far higher Zn contents than the average mare basalt.

**Discussion:**
**The Zn, S, Cl and F contents of mare basalts:**

We begin our discussion with the Zn, S, Cl and F contents and isotope compositions of mare basalts within the context of lunar mantle differentiation. Following lunar accretion, the LMO quickly solidified with 80% crystallization taking place within 1000 years (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2011). The initial ~70% of crystallization formed olivine and orthopyroxene-rich cumulates, and later plagioclase, which was positively buoyant in the LMO and produced the ferroan anorthosite (FANs) crust (Snyder et al., 1992). Further crystallization continued for 10-100 million years, forming olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene-bearing cumulates as well as ilmenite-rich cumulates at >90% crystallization (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2011; Snyder et al., 1992). Prior to complete solidification, the lunar mantle overturned due to the crystallization of dense ilmenite-rich cumulates above comparatively less-dense olivine and pyroxene-rich cumulates, mixing the lunar mantle (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2011; Shearer et al., 2006). Mare basalts represent partial melts derived from such cumulates and are generally separated into the low-Ti and high-Ti subgroupings, with the high-Ti basalts likely represent mixing between early-stage olivine & pyroxene-bearing cumulates and late-stage ilmenite-bearing cumulates respectively (Hess and Parmentier, 1995; van Kan Parker et al., 2011). Multiple saturation pressures of mare basalts range between 1-1.5 GPa, relating to source region depths of 200-300 km (Ding et al., 2018; Green et al., 1975; Kesson, 1975; Longhi, 1992; Longhi et al., 1972; Snyder et al., 1992; Walker et al., 1976; Walker et al., 1972). Mare basalts consist of numerous lava flows erupted over a period of ~300 Ma (Snyder et al., 2000). Differences, or lack thereof, in the Zn, S, and Cl contents and isotopic compositions of the mare basalt suite are expected to be related to the temporal variation between the crystallization of the cumulate source regions, subsequent magmatic processes such as differentiation and degassing, and crystallization of individual lava flows.
The magmatic compatibilities of the elements of interest are Zn > F > Cl > S. If the high-Ti basalts were sourcing a late-crystallizing component rich in incompatible elements, then we would expect to see the relative concentrations of these elements related to their magmatic compatibility. Instead, we find that the high-Ti basalts contain higher Zn (2.55 ± 1.75 and 1.02 ± 0.31) and F (28.0 ± 7.6 vs. 18.7 ± 7.6 ppm) abundances, yet similar ClSBC (2.4 ± 1.1 vs. 2.2 ± 1.4 ppm) and far higher S contents (1542 ± 462 ppm vs. 678 ± 180) compared to low-Ti basalts. As Zn is lithophile under relevant P-T and fO2 conditions of the lunar mantle, the increased Zn content of the high-Ti basalts is likely due to Zn incorporation in the chromite component within the source region (Snyder et al., 1992) and high Zn-partitioning thereof (Davis et al., 2013). Recent work has shown F partitioning in pyroxene and olivine to be dependent on Ti contents (Potts et al., 2021), and olivine within Apollo 11 rocks is in fact Ti-rich (Brett et al., 1971), likely explaining the F vs. Ti trend observed in the mare basalt suite (Gargano et al., 2020).

Concentrations of volatiles in mare basalts may also be lowered by degassing. Renggli et al. (2017) showed with lunar gas phase modeling that at 1200 °C, 10⁻⁶ bar and at IW-2, the predominant gas species are S2, CO, and H2 – with Zn, Cl, and F speciation of Zn⁰(g), HCl, and HF, respectively (Renggli et al., 2017; Renggli and Klemme, 2021). At higher pressures (1 bar) the dominant S-speciation changes to S2 becoming subordinate relative to H2S and COS. As such, the amount of degassing expected from our elements of interest is S > Cl > F > Zn, in agreement with the trend of Ustunisik et al. (2015). Sulfur is likely the most readily degassed volatile followed by Cl where the vapor-melt partition coefficient (2.2 to 13-85) is influenced by the abundance of H2O and S in the melt whereas F is unaffected (1.8) (Sigmarsson et al., 2020). Sulfur in particular is recognized to efficiently degas from basaltic melts, with some terrestrial lavas having lost up to 94% of their initial sulfur following exhumation and solidification (Bali et al., 2018; Gauthier et
al., 2016). In contrast, F and Cl are minimally lost owing to their high solubilities (several wt%) in H₂O-poor basaltic melts (Webster et al., 1999). Low Cl vapor-melt partition coefficients may also reflect the decreased role of carrier gas phases such as H₂O and SO₂ which facilitate the formation of HCl and S-Cl ligands (Sigmarsson et al., 2020; Zolotov and Matsui, 2002). The affinity for Zn in the vapor phase is more difficult to constrain, although the high Zn abundance on the coatings of volcanic glass beads is necessarily explained by Zn vaporization during lunar volcanism (Hauri et al., 2015; Ma and Liu, 2019). Lastly, the extent of degassing of these volatiles should also be reflected in their isotopic compositions.

The S, Zn, and Cl isotope composition of mare basalts:

Most bulk-rock mare basalts have generally elevated, yet limited, ranges in δ⁶⁶Zn, δ³⁴S, and δ³⁷Cl_{SBC+WSC} values (averages 1.31 ± 0.44, 0.59 ± 0.22, and 4.1 ± 4.0‰, respectively) compared to the silicate Earth (0.15, -1.28, and 0‰) (Labidi et al., 2013; Sharp et al., 2013b; Sossi et al., 2018). There are several anomalous samples that have low δ⁶⁶Zn values (10017, 12018, 15016, and 14053) explained by degassing and subsequent vapor deposition (Day et al., 2017; Day et al., 2020). This idea is also seen in Cl, with the particularly high δ³⁷Cl_{SBC,WSC} values in 10017-405 (9.2 and 12.6‰), 12018 (10.1 and 5.0‰), and 14053 (11.2 and 6.1‰) (Gargano et al., 2020). In the case of “Rusty Rock” 66095, which represents the ‘end-member’ of low δ⁶⁶Zn (-15‰) and high δ³⁷Cl_{SBC} and δ³⁷Cl_{WSC} values (~15‰) resulting from vapor condensation (Day et al., 2017; Shearer et al., 2014), these isotope values may reflect specific conditions such as orders of magnitude higher fCl₂ values when compared to pyroclastic gases (Renggli and Klemme, 2021). As such, these anomalous samples are unlikely to reflect primary isotopic signatures of the Moon, and instead represent secondary processes resulting from vapor condensation.
The more restricted main population of bulk-rock $\delta^{66}$Zn and $\delta^{34}$S values of mare basalts reflects conditions that produced limited isotope fractionation throughout LMO crystallization and degassing, as well as during later exhumation and crystallization as lava flows. If there had been isotope fractionation during LMO crystallization, then we would expect to see variable isotopic compositions between low-Ti and high-Ti basalts due to differing extents of degassing and incorporation of late-stage melts. The lack of isotopic variability between the two basalt types is consistent with the fact that $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{66}$Zn are insensitive to partial melting (Labidi and Cartigny, 2016; Wang et al., 2017), and $\delta^{66}$Zn is minimally affected by magmatic differentiation (i.e. $\Delta^{66}\text{Zn}_\text{spl-OI} = 0.12 \pm 0.07\%$) (Chen et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2017). Sulfur isotope values are more sensitive to differentiation; at sulfide saturation $\Delta^{34}\text{S}_\text{FeS-melt}$ ranges from 1-2‰ at 1450 °C (de Moor et al., 2013; Marini et al., 2011), which would leave residual silicates with low $\delta^{34}$S values. This fractionation mechanism, however, is inconsistent with the high $\delta^{34}$S values of mare basalts.

The effect of differentiation on Cl isotope fractionation has not been studied experimentally, although is generally assumed to be minimal given the small isotopic fractionations at high temperatures (Gargano and Sharp, 2019; Schauble et al., 2003) and the absence of multiple Cl oxidation states in magmatic systems. Instead, the low $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}_{\text{WSC}} (1.8 \pm 2.5\%)$ relative to the high $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}_{\text{SBC}} (7.3 \pm 3.5)$ values is interpreted to reflect kinetic isotope fractionation of Cl via degassing, followed by subsequent deposition of isotopically light Cl-bearing vapor (Gargano et al., 2020; Sharp et al., 2010). It is important to note that samples with anomalously high $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}_{\text{WSC}}$ values (i.e., FANs, 66095, 10017) are necessarily sourced from a high $\delta^{37}\text{Cl}$-bearing melt in order to off-set the light isotope enrichment in the vapor phase (Gargano et al., 2020).
In total, while the concentrations of S, Zn, and Cl can change during fractional crystallization and assimilation, the only effective way to significantly modify their isotopic compositions is through extraction of the vapor phase. The variable S and Z concentrations, but effectively constant isotopic compositions for most samples suggest either that degassing of mare basalts was minimal for these elements, or that the integrated effective isotopic fractionation factor associated with degassing was near unity.

**Implications for the $\Delta^{33}S$ values of mare basalts:**

An important observation from our work and that presented in Wing and Farquhar (2015) is that the $\Delta^{33}S$ values of mare basalts is no different than that of the silicate Earth (where $\Delta^{33}S = 0\%$, Labidi et al. 2013). Recent work has shown that various primitive and differentiated meteoritic materials have distinct $\Delta^{33}S$ compositions that are linked to specific parent bodies where the differences in $\Delta^{33}S$ among the parent bodies may be due to processing of sulfur in different nebular environments (Antonelli et al., 2014; Dottin III et al., 2018; Labidi et al., 2017; Rai et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2018). Furthermore, similarity in $\Delta^{33}S$ between various planetary materials (e.g. Main Group Pallasites and IIIAB iron meteorites) can be used to link the two materials to a single parent body (Dottin III et al., 2018). Although the silicate Earth and Moon have differences in $\delta^{34}S$ values, the similarity in $\Delta^{33}S$ simply suggests that they are related. Their relationship may reflect formation from materials in the same nebular environment and/or derivation from the same parent body.

**Isotopic systematics of lunar volcanism:**

Degassing of mare basalts occurred in the subsurface from gas exsolution during exhumation (Head and Wilson, 2017), and during second-boiling upon eruption (Wilson and Head, 2017a). If degassing occurred under vacuum conditions at the surface via a fracture network, then
the preferential loss of light isotopes (i.e., $^{64}$Zn, $^{35}$Cl, and $^{32}$S) could dominate other isotopic fractionation mechanisms (i.e., equilibrium fractionation between mineral phases at high temperatures) and leave the residue enriched in the heavy isotope.

Evaporative loss under vacuum conditions is approximated by the kinetic isotope fractionation factor ($\alpha_{\text{Kin}}$) defined as $\frac{M_1}{M_2} \sqrt{\frac{M_2}{M_1}}$ where $M_{1,2}$ are the masses of the light and heavy isotopologues, respectively. For lunar gas compositions, COS and H$_2$S are the expected dominant phases for S (Renggli et al., 2017; Renggli and Klemme, 2021). Under ideal degassing into a vacuum, the fractionation of these S-bearing isotopologues yields $1000\ln \alpha_{\text{Melt-H2S, Melt-COS}} = 28$ and $16\%$, respectively, enriching the melt in $^{34}$S. In contrast, equilibrium fractionation between these vapor species and silicate melt has the opposite effect, with COS and H$_2$S being $^{34}$S-rich relative to the melt, depleting the melt in $^{34}$S (Marini et al., 2011; Richet et al., 1977). This means that the gas phase will vary from strongly incorporating the light isotope during vacuum degassing to incorporating the heavy isotope under gas saturated, equilibrium conditions (van Kooten et al., 2020). Even minimal volatile-loss under vacuum conditions should lead to wide-ranging and heavy isotopic compositions regardless of which S-bearing species is dominant at any given condition (i.e., P, T, fH$_2$, fO$_2$). For example, 20% S-loss as H$_2$S under vacuum would produce a $\delta^{34}$S change of $+7\%$ in the residual magma, whereas the measured range of $\delta^{34}$S values for the entire mare basalt suite is less than $2\%$ (Wing and Farquhar, 2015). A similar argument also holds for Zn. As such, the lack of large variations in the $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{66}$Zn values of mare basalts suggests that either the integrated effective fractionation factors during degassing were near unity or alternatively, that the amount of degassing itself was minimal.

In contrast to the mare basalts, some lunar lithologies have been shown to have extreme variations in the Zn, S and Cl isotope compositions (15% in $\delta^{66}$Zn, 60% in $\delta^{34}$S, and 30% in
δ^{37}\text{Cl}). These isotope values are likely explained by kinetic isotope fractionation during degassing into a near-vacuum with large effective α values. The magnitude of this effect will be increased if the escaping gas involve low-mass species, such as Zn⁰ and HCl, which lead to larger fractionations than for relatively higher-mass isotopologues, such as ZnS, FeS₂ and FeCl₂. Low molecular mass degassing is required to explain the exceptionally low Zn and high Cl isotope values seen in 66095 (Rusty Rock) and some FAN samples (Gargano et al., 2020; Kato et al., 2015). Interestingly, while bulk-rock mare basalts do not retain such anomalous Zn, S, or Cl isotope compositions, the δ^{34}\text{S} values of picritic glass beads (PGBs) range from -14.0 to 1.3‰ (Saal and Hauri, 2021) despite the fact that they are generally petrogenetically related to the more evolved mare basalts (Hauri et al., 2015). Picritic magmas are expected to have experienced limited differentiation, whereas mare basalts were produced following 20-30% fractional crystallization (Shearer and Papike, 1993). As such, the starkly different δ^{34}\text{S} values of the PGBs when compared to mare basalts is likely explained by differences in eruption styles.

**Isotopic consequences of pyroclastic vs. effusive mare volcanism:**

The initial stages of lunar volcanism are characterized by high ascent rate explosive volcanic eruptions via rapid dike propagation driven by the exsolution of CO (Wilson and Head, 2018) and H₂ (Newcombe et al., 2019; Newcombe et al., 2017; Sharp et al., 2013a). Explosive mare volcanism resulted in widespread pyroclastic deposits such as picritic glasses which were fragmented during exhumation due to high gas phase volumes (~70%) (Rutherford et al., 2017). Wilson and Head (2018) describe this phase as short-lived, with a zone of pure gas extending within the dike from depths from 100-200 m, above a highly vesicular foam extending to around 10 km. It is important to note that such dike systems are expected to be vertically extensive ranging
from 50-90 km, penetrating the ~30 km thick lunar crust (Wieczorek et al., 2013) and upper lunar mantle (Wilson and Head, 2017b). In contrast, mare basalt volcanism consisted of more prolonged events (10-100 days) with relatively slower ascent rates and fluxes (Wilson and Head, 2018). We suggest these two styles or phases of volcanism represent starkly different degassing regimes. The former, sampled in PGBs is extensively degassed – as evidenced by marked differences in volatile contents when compared to melt inclusions (Chen et al., 2015; Hauri et al., 2011; Ni et al., 2019) and exceptionally low $\delta^{34}$S values (Saal and Hauri, 2021). The subsequently-emplaced mare basalts are comparatively less degassed – seen by limited ranges in Zn, S and Cl isotope values, comparatively higher Zn, S, and Cl concentrations, and the vesicular nature of several samples such as 15556 and 15016.

**Pyroclastic: Picritic Magmas.** Saal & Hauri (2021) interpret the wide range of S contents and $\delta^{34}$S values in PGBs to result from extensive degassing under high P/P$_{Sat}$ values. These authors propose a degassing regime with an effective isotope fractionation factor of $\alpha'$$_{Kin}$ =

$$\alpha'_{Kin} = (\alpha_{Kin} - 1) \left( 1 - \frac{P}{P_{Sat}} \right) + 1,$$

where P/P$_{Sat}$ is equal to the effective vapor pressure of a given element relative to the saturation vapor pressure (Saal and Hauri, 2021). In this scenario, a crossover at P/P$_{Sat}$~ 0.86 results in the $\alpha'$$_{Kin}$ value deviating from <1 to >1, resulting in heavy-isotope loss when degassing occurs in a near-saturated medium with P/P$_{Sat}$ > 0.86 and a light-isotope loss when degassing occurs under low vapor pressure conditions. The crossover P/P$_{Sat}$ value depends on the appropriate proportions of degassing S-species (S$_2$, H$_2$S and COS) ranging from 0.8 assuming S$_2$ degassing, and 0.9 with H$_2$S.

Saal & Hauri (2021) conclude that the low $\delta^{34}$S values of PGBs requires degassing to take place into a medium with P/P$_{Sat}$ > 0.9. This condition is feasible within the upper levels of the
volcanic conduit given the high-gas phase volumes necessary for magma formation and PGB formation (Newcombe et al., 2017; Rutherford et al., 2017). Rutherford et al. (2017) propose that picritic magmas were rapidly exhumed from ~500 m depth to the lunar surface within 50-100s. These authors further describe the evolution of picritic magmas with 8-15% gas phase volumes at 500 m depth, rapidly increasing to 93-94% at 25 m. The high gas pressures in the upper levels of the conduit (approaching equilibrium with the melt) can explain the necessary high $\alpha'_{\text{Kin}(\text{vapor-melt})}$ values (>1).

Importantly, the exceptionally low $\delta^{34}S$ values in PGBs requires that >80% of their initial S content was lost during degassing and it was done at a near-saturation confining pressure. This condition is feasible in pyroclastic eruption styles as exsolved volatiles remain coupled to the melt in a closed-system degassing regime (Cassidy et al., 2018). When gas and melt are coupled with low melt volumes relative to the gas as expected for the picritic magmas, then extensive volatile-loss (i.e. $F_{\text{Remaining}} < 0.2$) would readily lead to wide ranges in $\delta^{34}S$ values of the residual melt measured in PGBs. This mechanism may be further facilitated by high surface-area/volume ratios of PGBs. Additionally, given that the volume of magma released during a single eruptive event was small (a few %) relative to the total source volume (Head and Wilson, 2017), it is further consistent that PGBs degassed with comparatively little isotopic effect on the residual magma chamber if it were to mix with other reservoirs.

It is also feasible that during exhumation of picritic magmas, bubble nucleation and subsequent volatile partitioning into the gas-phase was relatively more efficient compared to mare basalt melts. At shallow depths (~120 m), $H_2$ followed by $H_2O$ and CO become volumetrically dominant in H-rich picritic melts (Newcombe et al., 2017; Sharp et al., 2013a). The presence of $H_2$-$H_2O$-CO-rich vapors in picritic magmas would result in an increasingly efficient volatile
partitioning into the gas-phase for Cl (Sigmarsson et al., 2020), and S as COS (Sato, 1976), and
H₂S (Rutherford et al., 2017). The efficient partitioning of S and Cl in the H-rich high gas-phase
volumes required to fragment the picritic magmas, alongside the high P/P_{Sat} values and associated
α'_{Kin(vapor-melt)} values >1 can readily explain the exceptionally low δ^{34}S values of PGBs.

Effusive: Mare Magmas. In comparison to PGBs, mare basalts show little variation in δ^{34}S values
which suggests that they did not undergo extensive S-loss, and/or that the integrated effective α'_{Kin}
values during degassing were close to 1 (i.e., minimal fractionation with P/P_{Sat} ~ 0.86)(Fig. 9).
When the δ^{34}S values of PGBs are examined together with mare basalts in terms of S, F, and Cl
contents, the differing extents of degassing can be readily observed relative to the ranges measured
in melt inclusions (Fig. 10). Enigmatically, the mare basalts cooled much more slowly than the
quenched PGBs (1-20 °C/h (Shearer et al., 1989) vs. 1-3 K/s (Saal et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2019)).
The slow cooling rate of the mare basalts could feasibly result in further extents of degassing;
however, this idea is inconsistent with the limited ranges of Zn, S and Cl isotope compositions, as
well as comparably higher volatile contents (with exception to H) to volcanic glasses (Fig. 10).

Instead, the effusive eruption style which produced the mare basalts was seemingly
inefficient in the loss of volatile elements as mare magmas did not undergo the extensive low P
volatile separation within the volcanic conduit in the case for the picritic magmas. The thick mare
lava flows would form quench crusts serving to further limit volatile loss, such that there would
be minimal isotopic effects during degassing despite low pressure conditions on the lunar surface.
This idea is consistent with the fact that at low P/P_{Sat} values, even minimal extents of S-loss would
produce high δ^{34}S values which is not observed in the mare basalt data (Fig. 9). These observations
are also broadly consistent with bulk Cl and Zn isotope compositions which also exclude the
possibility of ideal vacuum degassing \( (P/P_{\text{Sat}} \sim 0) \) in bulk-rock mare basalts given the limited ranges in isotope values relative to the large kinetic isotope fractionation factors \( 1000\ln\alpha_{\text{Kinetic(gas-melt)}} = -23 \) and \(-15\% \) for HCl and Zn”, respectively) (Gargano et al., 2020).

Despite the limited range of bulk-rock Zn, S and Cl isotope compositions relative to the large kinetic isotope fractionation factors, there is evidence for near-kinetic (vacuum or near-vacuum) degassing in late-forming and/or secondary phases contained in mare basalts. The \( \delta^{37}\text{Cl} \) values of WSC in bulk-rock mare basalts are generally lighter than the corresponding SBC by 4.3 \% (Sharp et al., 2010; Gargano et al. 2020) which is explained by degassing and deposition of HCl or other Cl-bearing volatile phase into a near-vacuum, presumably along cracks in a mostly solidified basalt. The magnitude of this effect is even larger in the late-formed apatite grains where the degassing extent necessarily becomes very large to explain their high and wide-ranging \( \delta^{37}\text{Cl} \) values (i.e., \( F_{\text{Remaining}} \) approaches 0). For example, the \( \delta^{37}\text{Cl} \) values of apatite in samples 15016 and 15556 (highly vesiculated low-Ti basalts) range from 6.5-19.1 and 28.9-36.4\%, respectively (Barnes et al., 2019; Faircloth et al., 2020). The \( \delta^{34}\text{S} \) values of apatite are similarly wide-ranging in 15016 and 15556 from 14.6-29.5 and 2.7-10.6\%, respectively (Faircloth et al., 2020). These \( \delta^{37}\text{Cl} \) and \( \delta^{34}\text{S} \) values of apatite in 15016 and 15556 are in stark contrast to the bulk-rock \( \delta^{37}\text{Cl}_{\text{SBC}} \) values of 2.14 and 10.57, and \( \delta^{34}\text{S} \) values of 0.88 and 0.57\%, respectively. These discrepancies are best explained by kinetic isotope fractionation of S and Cl from the mare lavas under low \( P/P_{\text{Sat}} \) values along an open network of fractures in a nearly completely crystallized basalt. Similar ideas have been proposed in the formation of foam mounds in late-stage lava lakes where vesicles in the upper part of the mound ‘pop’ in vacuum (Wilson and Head, 2017a). Under these conditions and given the relatively small melt volumes retained in mesostasis regions where apatite crystallizes it
is feasible that the differences between the bulk-rock and apatite result from vacuum degassing of apatite leading to their far higher and wide ranging $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{37}$Cl values.

**Implications:**

The long-standing difficulty in addressing the sources of volatile-element stable isotope anomalies in lunar materials resulted from ambiguous relationships between isotopic compositions and volatile contents (i.e. $\delta^{37}$Cl and [Cl]). At present, however, a wealth of data has been generated from numerous lithologies with different isotope systems, as well as target phases which further elucidate this problem. While bulk-rock mare basalt measurements show limited variation in Zn, S and Cl isotope compositions, *in situ* measurements of late-formed apatite show significantly more variability (i.e., apatite, Figs. 1, 3). Additionally, a number of other lithologies such as Rusty Rock (Day et al., 2019; Shearer et al., 2014), and lunar FANs (Gargano et al., 2020; Kato et al., 2015) show significant variability and ranges of isotope values that are not seen in the bulk-rock mare basalt suite.

These data suggest localized surface-related processes that produced anomalously low or high isotope values for Zn, S, and Cl consistent with vacuum degassing. Bulk-rock low and high-Ti mare basalts have elevated, yet restricted ranges of Zn, S, and Cl isotope compositions (relative to the silicate Earth) that have been interpreted to reflect devolatilization during the Giant Impact (Day et al., 2020; Gargano et al., 2020; Moynier et al., 2006; Paniello et al., 2012; Wing and Farquhar, 2015). In contrast, the wide ranges in H, Cl, and S isotope compositions of lunar apatite are interpreted to reflect isotope fractionation during magmatic degassing (Barnes et al., 2014; Barnes et al., 2016; Boyce et al., 2015; Faircloth et al., 2020).
The similarity in bulk-rock $\delta^{66}$Zn, $\delta^{34}$S, and $\delta^{37}$Cl values of low and high-Ti mare basalts, and generally high isotope values relative to Earth suggest that the Giant Impact and/or LMO degassing resulted in the heavy-isotope enrichment of Zn, S, and Cl in the mare source region. The restricted range of isotope values suggests that most mare basalts did not experience extensive post-eruptive volatile-loss, and/or that the integrated effective $\alpha'_{\text{Kin}}$ during degassing was ~ 1. While we cannot define the degree of isotope fractionation resulting solely from LMO degassing due to effective mantle mixing following mantle overturn, our data show that bulk-rock Zn, S, and Cl isotope compositions are identical between the low and high-Ti mare basalt suite such that no evidence exists to support this argument. Instead, the slightly elevated Zn, S and Cl isotope compositions of mare basalts suggest that the lunar mantle inherited a heavy-isotope enriched signature resulting from lunar formation (during the Giant Impact event) and remained largely unchanged throughout mare volcanism.

**Conclusion:**

In this work we show that the high Zn, S and Cl isotope values of the effusively erupted mare basalts are inherited from lunar formation and/or in addition to lunar magma ocean degassing. The bulk-rock Zn, S and Cl isotope compositions are not correlated, nor are they correlated with their respective volatile contents (i.e., $\delta^{37}$Cl and [Cl]). This suggests that mare magmatism did not cause the heavy isotope enrichments relative to Earth. In contrast, the explosively erupted picritic glass beads exhibit a wide range of low $\delta^{34}$S values inversely related to their S contents resulting from extensive degassing with high $P/P_{\text{Sat}} > 0.9$ and $\alpha'_{\text{Kin}} > 1$. The restricted range of $\delta^{34}$S values of the mare basalts suggests that they were, in general, minimally degassed, and/or that their effective integrated isotope fractionation factor was near unity.
We are able to exclude the possibility of vacuum degassing affecting bulk-rock mare basalts given the limited range of Zn, S and Cl isotope values in lieu of the large kinetic isotope fractionation that would occur by this process. Mare lavas likely formed quench crusts and crystallized before significant volatile loss could occur in the bulk-rock under low P/P_{Sat} conditions on the lunar surface. In contrast, apatite in highly vesiculated basalts exhibit marked differences in δ^{34}S and δ^{37}Cl values when compared to the bulk-rock which suggests that apatite records extensive post-eruptive degassing under relatively lower P/P_{Sat} conditions. In total, these data provide further evidence for the idea that lunar volatile loss and volatile-element stable isotope fractionation largely occurred during lunar formation and that exceptionally high or low isotopic compositions likely resulted from localized phenomena influenced by reservoir effects.
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Figure 1: $\delta^{34}{S}$ (‰ vs. Canyon Diablo Troilite (CDT)) values of terrestrial and planetary materials. Data from (Antonelli et al., 2014; Faircloth et al., 2020; Gao and Thiemens, 1991; Gao and Thiemens, 1993a; Gao and Thiemens, 1993b; Labidi et al., 2013; Rees and Thode, 1974; Saal and Hauri, 2021; Wing and Farquhar, 2015; Wu et al., 2018). Green bar represents the estimated $\delta^{34}{S}$ value of the silicate Earth (Labidi et al., 2013).

Figure 2: $\delta^{66}{Zn}$ (‰ vs. JMC-Lyon) values of terrestrial and planetary materials. Data from (Creech and Moynier, 2019; Day et al., 2020; Herzog et al., 2009; Moynier et al., 2006; Moynier et al., 2010; Moynier et al., 2007; Paniello et al., 2012; Sossi et al., 2018). Legend is same as Fig. 1. Green bar represents the estimated $\delta^{66}{Zn}$ value of the silicate Earth (Sossi et al., 2018).
Figure 3: $\delta^{37}$Cl ($\%$ vs. SMOC) of terrestrial and planetary materials. Figure adapted from Gargano et al., (2020). References can be found therein, in addition to Faircloth et al., (2020). Green bar represents the estimated $\delta^{37}$Cl value of the silicate Earth (Sharp et al., 2013). Purple bar represents the estimated nebular value from Gargano & Sharp (2019) and Sharp et al., (2016).

Figure 4: [S] (ppm) vs. $\delta^{34}$S ($\%$ vs. CDT) of mare basalts. Light grey bar represents the 0.3% uncertainty in $\delta^{34}$S values. Grey bar represents the 1 sigma standard deviation of the average $\delta^{34}$S value of the mare basalt suite. Data sources: Rees & Thode (1972) and Wing & Farquhar (2015).

Figure 5: [Zn] (ppm) vs. $\delta^{66}$Zn ($\%$ vs. JMC-Lyon) of mare basalts. Grey bar represents the 1 sigma standard deviation of the average $\delta^{66}$Zn value of the mare basalt suite. Error is smaller than symbol size. Data sources: Day et al., (2020), Paniello et al., (2012) & Moynier et al., (2006).
Figure 6: [Cl] (ppm) vs. δ²⁷Cl (% vs. SMOC) of mare basalts. SBC and WSC are plotted as solid and feint symbols respectively. Data from Gargano et al., (2020) and Sharp et al., (2010).
Figure 7: $\delta^{34}S$ vs. $\delta^{66}Zn$ (A), $\delta^{34}S$ vs. $\delta^{37}Cl$ (B), and $\delta^{66}Zn$ vs. $\delta^{37}Cl$ (C). Legend and faded and solid grey bars are the same as Figs. 4 & 5. Faded $\delta^{37}Cl$ symbols are $\delta^{37}Cl_{WC}$.

Figure 8: [S] (ppm) vs. [F] (ppm) of mare basalts and PGBs. Data from Gargano et al., (2020) and Saad & Hauri (2021).
Figure 9: Rayleigh degassing regime of mare materials with initial $\delta^{34}$S estimated at 0.7‰ with $\alpha'_{34}$ values from (Saal and Hauri, 2021; Wu et al., 2018). Fraction S remaining is calculated by estimates of initial S contents in source regions. Picritic glass beads are estimated relative to melt inclusions (74220 and 15016) (Chen et al., 2015; Ni et al., 2019). Mare basalts are relative to source region estimates (Bombardieri et al., 2005; Steenstra et al., 2018). A number of A15 basalts are excluded due to $F_{\text{Remaining}} > 1$ (15058, 15499, 15555, and 15556).

Figure 10: [S] (A), [F] (B), and [Cl]$_{SBC}$ (C)(ppm) vs $\delta^{34}$S (% vs. CDT) of lunar mare basalts and PBGs. Vertical blue (74220) and red (12008) bars represent the ranges of S, F, and Cl contents in melt inclusions from Ni et al., (2019) and Chen et al., (2015).
### Data:

Table 1: Zn and S contents and isotope compositions of mare basalts. Halogen contents and $\delta^{37}$Cl values of this sample suite can be found in Gargano et al., (2020).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>[Zn] (ppm)</th>
<th>$\delta^{66}$Zn</th>
<th>Zs [%] (ppm)</th>
<th>$\delta^{37}$S</th>
<th>$\Delta$%</th>
<th>$\Delta$3S %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10017-405</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-6.82</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10017-400</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-9.39</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10020-255</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10044-566</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12018-237</td>
<td>Low-Ti</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12004-15</td>
<td>Low-Ti</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12054-140</td>
<td>Low-Ti</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12034-150</td>
<td>Low-Ti</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12043-383</td>
<td>Low-Ti</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14053-305</td>
<td>High-M</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15016-240</td>
<td>Low-Ti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15533-165</td>
<td>Low-Ti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15558-258</td>
<td>Low-Ti</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70213-389</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70255-56</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71155-34</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71540-22</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74253-355</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75015-249</td>
<td>High-Ti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Supplemental Information:

**Notable Sample Description:**

10017: Sample 10017 is a fine-grained vesicular high-Ti-K mare basalt with high modal mesostasis and vesicularity up to 20% (Beaty and Albee, 1978) and consisted of an exterior (10017-405) and interior chip (10017-400). The interior of this sample was measured to have a $\delta^{66}$Zn value of -9.6‰ with 5.5 ppm Zn, with an exterior value of -6.42‰ and 6.7 ppm Zn. The $\delta^{37}$Cl_SBC of the interior and exterior was 9.23‰ and 12.53, respectively. Compared to other samples, the WSC isotope composition of the interior is anomalously high at 12.63‰. The interior...
of the sample contained 2500 ppm S with a $\delta^{34}$S value of 0.93‰, with an exterior value of 0.39‰
and 1900 ppm S. The interior of this sample contains both lower Zn and Cl isotope values, yet
higher S isotope values from the exterior. The abundances of S, Zn, and Cl are comparable in both
the exterior and interior sections, and both are enriched in these elements relative to other high-Ti
basalts. Lastly, we find it important to note that troilite within high-Ti-K basalts commonly occurs
as spherules suggested to reflect sulfide immiscibility, and also occurs as globules within vesicles
(Beaty and Albee, 1978).

15016 & 15556: Samples 15016 and 15556 are medium-grained olivine basalts with 1-5
mm vesicles which comprise up to 50% of the samples. The $\delta^{34}$S values are comparable at 0.88
and 0.57‰, respectively with differing S contents of 400 and 800 ppm, respectively. In contrast,
the $\delta^{66}$Zn and $\delta^{37}$Cl$_{SBC}$ values are -1.49 and 1.54, and 2.14 and 10.6‰, respectively. The Cl$_{SBC}$
F contents of these samples are different at 1.12 and 1.83 ppm, and 11.1 and 15.4 ppm respectively.
Goldberg et al. 1976 find F-rich coatings within the vesicles of these samples with 15016
containing 2x more F in the intervesicular region when compared to the vesicles, whereas 15556
is measured to contain similar F contents in both regions.

Samples:
In this work we chose to analyze 19 mare basalts with sample aliquots designated from partnering
chips for Cl, Zn and S isotope compositions. Our chosen samples encompass the low-Ti, and high-
Ti mare basalt sub-groupings (Neal and Taylor, 1992). We also measured the interiors and
exteriors of some notable samples such as 10017 and 12054 to address sample heterogeneity and
surface-relate isotopic anomalies. Two Apollo 15 basalts 15016 and 15556 were also measured
due to high vesicularity.
Methods:

Chlorine:

Samples for Cl isotope measurements were performed as follows following the method of Sharp et al. (2010): Samples were crushed and leached with deionized water to obtain water-soluble chloride (WSC). Residual leachates were then rinsed again to remove any residual water-soluble chloride fraction, then dried and loaded into quartz tubes. Structurally-bound chloride was then extracted via pyrohydrolysis where the powdered sample was melted in a stream of water vapor, passed through a condensing column and finally collected in the condensed water. The WSC and SBC fractions were processed in the same manner for isotope measurements: Solutions are reacted with 5 mL 50% HNO₃ for 24 hours to degas sulfur, followed by the addition of 1 mL 0.4M AgNO₃ to precipitate AgCl overnight. AgCl is then filtered and loaded into 6mm diameter pyrex tubes. The tubes are evacuated and 10 µL CH₃I is added prior to flame-sealing. Sealed tubes are then reacted at 80°C for 48 hours to produce CH₃Cl as an analyte. Chlorine isotopes were measured on a DeltaPLUSXL in continuous flow mode at the University of New Mexico. Sample reproducibility has been shown to be ±0.25‰. The isotopic composition of Cl is reported relative to Standard Mean Ocean Chloride (SMOC)

$$\delta^{37} \text{Cl}(‰) = \left( \frac{^{37}\text{Cl}_{\text{Sample}}}{^{37}\text{Cl}_{\text{SMOC}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000$$

Zinc:

Zn isotope measurements were performed at the University of Oxford by S. Hopkins and A. Halliday. Samples were transferred to metal-free centrifuge tubes and cleaned with DI water for 2 hours. Samples were then dried and powdered in an agate mortar. Powder aliquots were then measured to obtain approximately 0.25 ug Zn (around 20-140 mg of sample). Hotplate dissolution
was then performed using HF-HNO₃ and HCl over multiple days. Sample dissolution was complete when no undissolved components remained. Small aliquots of each sample dissolution was then weighed and mixed with a ⁶⁴Zn-⁶⁷Zn double spike (5.1025 ppm, (Arnold et al., 2010)) and equilibrated over 48 hours at 60°C. Solutions were then passed through an anion-exchange column before analysis by MC-ICPMS to determine the Zn concentrations. These concentrations were then used to calculate the appropriate mass ratios of spike/sample solution. Appropriated spiked samples were then passed through the anion-exchange column twice to purify Zn from interfering elements. Zn isotope compositions were then measured using a Nu instruments Plasma HR mass spectrometer. Masses 62, 64, 66, 67, 67.5, and 68 were measured simultaneously. Masses 62 and 67.5 were used for ⁶⁴Ni⁺ and Ba²⁺ corrections. Exterior sample washes typically had negligible Zn contents (<0.2 ng). USGS reference materials BCR2, BHVO2, and BIR1a were prepared in the same manner as the lunar samples. The isotopic composition of Zn is reported relative to JMC-Lyon

δ¹⁰⁶⁶Zn(‰) = \left( \frac{\frac{¹⁰⁶⁶Zn}{¹⁰⁶⁶Zn_{Sample}}}{\frac{¹⁰⁶⁶Zn}{¹⁶⁶Zn_{JMC-Lyon}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000

Sulfur:

Sulfur isotopes were measured at the University of Maryland by J. Dottin and J. Farquhar. Samples were firstly coarsely crushed in a steel mortar and pestle and subsequently powdered in an agate mortar using <5mL ethanol to reduce dust loss. Ethanol-powder slurry was then quantitatively transferred to reactions vessels. Flasks were filled with 20mL 5M HCl and 20mL of Cr(II) Chloride solution and heated to sub boiling temperatures with a continuous flow of N₂ (Canfield et al., 1986). The reaction proceeds for ~3 hours as the release of H₂S that is first carried through a water trap to capture acid vapors and second through an AgNO₃ trap where S is precipitated as Ag₂S.
Precipitated Ag₂S was then centrifuged and transferred to 1.5ml Eppendorf tubes and rinsed 6 times with Milli-Q.

After rinsing, samples were dried for ~2 hours at 70 degrees C and weighed for extraction yields to estimate S concentrations. The Ag₂S was then transferred into clean aluminum foil, loaded into Ni reaction vessels and reacted with approximately 10x stoichiometric excess of F₂ at 250°C overnight yielding SF₆ as an analyte. Analyte gas was separated from non-condensable gases by liquid-N₂ traps. HF was then separated from SF₆ by an ethanol-liquid N₂ trap. SF₆ was then purified by passing through a 12.5 A Hasep Q gas chromatography column. Purified SF₆ was lastly analyzed in dual inlet mode on a MAT 253 mass spectrometer. The isotopic composition of sulfur is normalized using the same method as Antonelli et al. (2014) and Dottin et al. (2018) where samples are first normalized to bracketed analyses of IAEA-S1 from each analytical session and subsequently normalized to the value IAEA-S1 relative to Canyon Diablo Troilite (CDT) reported in Antonelli et al. (2014) which places IAEA-S1 at δ³³S = -0.091, δ³⁴S = -0.401, δ³⁶S = -1.558, Δ³³S = 0.116, Δ³⁶S = -0.796 (Dottin et al. 2020).

\[ \delta^{34}S(\%o) = \left( \frac{^{34}S_{Sample}}{^{34}S_{CDT}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \]
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