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Abstract
Iron phosphides with significant variations of Cr (up to 18 wt.%) and V (up to 8.6 wt.%) content were detected in gehlenite-bearing breccia at the Hatrurim Complex, Negev desert, Israel. Investigations of composition and structure of the Fe2P phosphides showed that when V+Cr content is higher than 0.26 apfu (atom per formula unite), a transition from the hexagonal...
barringerite ($P-62m$) to orthorhombic allabogdanite ($Pnma$) takes place. According to the experimental data, allabogdanite is a high-pressure (>8GP) polymorph of barringerite. Pseudowollastonite associated with Cr-V-bearing allabogdanite is an indicator of phosphide crystallization at a high temperature (>1200°C) and low pressure. Thus, at the low pressure close to ambient, when more than 13 at.% Fe in Fe$_2$P is substituted by Cr and V, the orthorhombic polymorph is stable. The orthorhombic phosphide with the highest Cr and V contents belongs to andreyivanovite species with the FeCrP end-member formula. This is the first finding on Earth of that very rare mineral described from the Kaidun meteorite. Some Cr-V-bearing phosphides have an unusual morphology, which cannot be explained by crystallization from a melt. More probably, these phosphides can form in the process of replacing fish bone remains. We believe that sedimentary protolith was not thermally altered and contained a significant amount of bituminous organic matter and phosphorite inclusions. Injecting paralava into the sedimentary rocks determines the conditions for phosphide formation on the boundary of these rocks as a result of the high-temperature carbothermal reduction process.
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Introduction

A vanadium-bearing andreyivanovite, FeCrP, in association with Cr-V-bearing Fe₂P polymorphs – barringerite and allobogdanite, was found in pseudowollastonite-bearing gehlenite paralava of the Hatrurim Complex, Negev Desert, Israel. Andreyivanovite is a rare mineral, which was discovered in the Kaidun meteorite (Zolensky et al. 2008) and later was noted in Rumuruti chondrite (Greshake 2014).

The Hatrurim Complex has recently become known as a source of terrestrial phosphides (Britvin et al. 2015). Here, besides the phosphides typical of meteorites: schreibersite, Fe₃P (Britvin et al. 2021a), barringerite, Fe₂P (Britvin et al. 2017) and its high-pressure analog – allobogdanite (Britvin et al. 2021b), nine new phosphides: halamishite, Ni₅P₄ (Britvin et al. 2020a); negevite, NiP₂ (Britvin et al. 2020b); nazarovite, Ni₁₂P₅ (Britvin et al. 2022a); zuktamurite, FeP₂ (Britvin et al. 2019a); transjoardanite, Ni₂P (Britvin et al. 2020c); polekhovskyite, MoNiP₂ (Britvin et al. 2022b); murashkoite, FeP (Britvin et al. 2019b); nickolayite, FeMoP (Murashko et al. 2019); orishchinite, Ni₂P (Britvin et al. 2019c) were discovered. This detection of phosphides in the rocks of the Hatrurim Complex is unexpected. This is true both for the Hatrurim Complex, whose mineral association mainly formed in oxidizing conditions (Galuskina et al. 2017), and for the rocks of the terrestrial genesis on the whole. Phosphides were detected in samples of diopside paralava collected in a small quarry in the Daba Siwaqa pyrometamorphic rock field in Jordan (Britvin et al. 2015) and in the two rock samples found ex situ in dry wadies Halamish (diopside paralava) and Zohar (gehlenite paralava), the Hatrurim Basin, Negev Desert, Israel (Britvin et al. 2015; Galuskin et al. 2020). In 2019, we found a bedrock of gehlenite paralava in the Zohar wadi, the study of which led to the discovery of V-bearing andreyivanovite and Cr-V-bearing allobogdanite, aggregations of which resemble fossilized organic fragments.
Allabogdanite is a high-pressure polymorph of barringerite. A synthetic orthorhombic Fe$_2$P is stable at the pressure limit 8-40 GPa and a temperature about 1100°C (Dera et al. 2008). Natural barringerite with low Ni (up to 0.1 apfu) and Mo (up to 0.04 apfu) impurities transfers to allabogdanite at 25 ± 3 GPa and at a temperature 1400 ± 100°C (Britvin et al. 2021b). On the other hand, Litasov et al. (2020) concluded on the basis of theoretical calculations and experimental data that allabogdanite is a lower-temperature phase compared to barringerite, which is stable at the ambient pressure and temperature lower than 500°C. Unlike isostructural high pressure and high-temperature allabogdanite, a synthetic analog of andreyivanovite crystallizes from a high-temperature melt at ambient pressure (Kumar et al. 2004).

In this paper, we present the results of an investigation of the morphology, composition and structure of the natural Fe$_2$P-based phosphides with wide variations of Cr (up to 18.5 wt.%) and V (up to 8 wt.%) contents from pyrometamorphic rocks of the Hatrurim Complex. For the first time, we describe andreyivanovite from the terrestrial rocks, discuss the possibility of Cr-V-bearing phosphide formation after fish bone remains and conditions of allabogdanite crystallization at a low pressure. The boundaries of the mineral species barringerite, allabogdanite and andreyivanovite in the Fe$_2$P – FeCrP (+FeVP) series are considered in the paper.

**Samples and methods of investigation**

More than 300 samples of phosphide-bearing breccia were collected during field trips in 2019 and 2021, and in the two samples barringerite stoichiometry phosphides with high Cr and V contents were revealed. The morphology and chemical composition of phosphides and associated minerals were investigated using Philips XL30, Phenom XL and Quanta 250 EDS-equipped scanning electron microscopes (Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Silesia, Poland). The
chemical composition of Cr-V-bearing phosphides was measured with a Cameca SX100 electron microprobe analyzer (EMPA, Micro-Area Analysis Laboratory, Polish Geological Institute— National Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland): WDS, accelerating voltage = 15 kV, beam current = 40 nA, beam diameter ~1 μm. The following standards and lines were used: apatite = CaKα, PKα; rutile = TiKα; Ni metal = NiKα; Cu metal = CuKα; hematite = FeKα; V metal = VKα; \( \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 = \text{CrK}α \). Mg, Si, Al, S, Mn, Se, Co, As, Mo, Sb are lower detection limits.

Single-crystal X-ray studies of phosphide crystals were carried out using a SuperNova diffractometer with a mirror monochromator (MoKα, \( \lambda = 0.71073 \) Å) and an Atlas CCD detector (Agilent Technologies) at the Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, Poland. The structures of Fe\(_2\)P (Carlsson et al. 1973) and FeCrP (Kumar et al. 2004) were taken as the initial model. Subsequently, the phosphide structures were refined using the SHELX97 program (Sheldrick 2015).

The bulk chemical composition of breccia was investigated with an ICP-MS (inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry), and analyses were performed in the ACME Laboratory in Vancouver, BC, Canada.

**Geological setting, short description of rocks, morphology and phosphides composition**

Pyrometamorphic rocks of the Hatrurim Complex (Molted Zone) is mainly represented by spurrite marbles, larnite pseudoconglomerates, gehlenite hornfelses and paralavas of different composition formed in sanidinite facies conditions (Bentor et al. 1963; Gross 1977; Burg et al. 1991, 1999; Vapnik et al. 2007; Novikov et al. 2013; Galuskina et al. 2014). The products of low-temperature alteration of pyrometamorphic rocks also belong to the Hatrurim Complex. The Complex forms outcrops in areas up to a few hundred square kilometers, stretching along the
Dead Sea Rift in the territories of Israel, Jordan and Palestine (Novikov et al. 2013). So far the genesis of the Hatrurim Complex rocks has been an issue of some debate. The early hypothesis assumed that the pyrometamorphic alteration of these rocks took place at the expense of burning bitumen contained in sedimentary protolith (Burg et al. 1991, 1999). The recently proposed “mud volcano” hypothesis suggests that the burning of a sedimentary protolith represented mainly by Maastrichtian bituminous rocks (Ghareb Formation in Israel, Burg et al. 1991) was fueled by methane from gas traps located in the tectonically active Dead Sea Rift zone (Sokol et al. 2010; Novikov et al. 2013). One of the pieces of evidence of a gas participant in the process of pyrometamorphic rock formation in the Hatrurim Complex is the presence within them of explosive channels, explosive breccias and clastic dikes filled by fragments cemented by later low-temperature minerals (Sokol et al. 2007, 2010).

Phosphide-bearing rocks were found in an outcrop in a man-made exposure situated in the biggest area of pyrometamorphic rocks of the Hatrurim Complex in Israel, known as the Hatrurim Basin (Gross 1977). The outcrop formed as a result of the Arad-Dead Sea road building is 5 km out of the Hatrurim crossroads to the north-east in the Negev Desert (Fig. 1A). A large part of the outcrop comprises coarse-layered low-temperature calcite-hydrosilicate-hydrogrossular rocks forming after pyrometamorphic rocks. In the north part of the outcrop, brecciated fragments of grey, pink, brown hydrogrossular rock cemented by dark gehelenite paralava were observed (Fig. 1A-C). On the boundary of hydrogrossular rock and paralava, zonal oval aggregates of minerals of the Fe-P(±C) system reach 1-1.5 cm in size (Figs. 1D, 2A) The following mineral sequence is observed in these aggregates from core to rim: barringerite, schreibersite and schreibersite-iron (±cohenite) eutectic (Figs. 1D, 2B). In the near-contact zone of paralava, xenomorphic aggregates of schreibersite-iron (±cohenite) eutectic and iron with...
schreibersite peritectic were found (Fig. 2C, D). Barringerite is replaced by porous aggregates of murashkoite, FeP. Pyrrhotite often overgrows on minerals of the Fe-P(±C) system (Fig. 2B).

Paralava is inhomogeneous and exhibits a flow texture underlined by gas bubbles filled by calcite, tacharanite, ettringite and gypsum. Weakly altered black fragments of paralava from the central part of big rock blocks are represented by gehlenite-flamite/larnite (polymorphs of Ca₂SiO₄) rock enriched in pyrrhotite (Fig. 2E). Some parts of the paralava, in which flamite is almost completely replaced by rankinite, Ca₃Si₂O₇, are observed. At the contact of hydrogrossular rock, flamite and rankinite are replaced by pseudowollastonite, Ca₃(Si₃O₉) (Fig. 2A), and more rarely by cuspidine, Ca₄(Si₂O₇)F₂. Accessory minerals of paralava are presented by fluorapatite, Si-Cr-bearing perovskite, magnesiochromite, more rarely by schreibersite, native iron, schreibersite-iron eutectic and wüstite. Very rare phosphides contain graphite inclusions and are intergrown with osbornite, TiN (see Fig. 3F). Primary minerals of paralava are replaced by hydrogrossular, tacharanite, tobermorite and calcite.

Hydrogrossular rock cemented by paralava has a sponge, porous structure, whose space is partially filled by tacharanite and calcite (Fig. 2F). The rock is colored pink and red by iron oxides. In hydrogrossular rock, small relics of barringerite, murashkoite, perovskite, pseudowollastonite and cuspidine are noted (Fig. 2F).

A comparison of the geochemical data obtained for gehlenite paralava and hydrogrossular rock displays that these rocks have probably the same sedimentary protolith, whose composition differs sharply from the bitumen chalk of the Ghareb Formation (Table S1; Fig. S1).

Iron phosphides in pyrometamorphic rocks usually have low concentrations of Ni, Cr, V and Ti impurities, up to 2-3 wt.% (Britvin et al. 2017; 2021). Phosphides with high Cr and V contents were revealed inside a light pseudowollastonite paralava tongue in hydrogrossular rock (Figs. 3A, 4A). Pseudowollastonite paralava with gehlenite relics contains fragments enriched in
cuspidine and perovskite. Phosphides form indiscrete grain groups, single xenomorphic grains and very rare well-formed flattened crystals (Fig. 3D-E). In several cases, phosphide aggregates exhibit unusual morphology: as rings with thickening, semi-rings with bulges, straight bands with changing thickness and branches, hoked forms, series of jagged grains etc. (Fig. 4B-D). These phosphide aggregates consist of relatively large and homogeneous mono-crystalline fragments 10-40 μm in size (Fig. S2).

More than 250 analyses of phosphides from the pseudowollastonite paralava tongues shown in Figs. 3A, 4A using an EDS detector were obtained. Points of these hit the narrow elongated field in Fe₂P-FeCrP-FeVP diagram (Fig. 5). Five groups of grains were distinguished, whose composition was established using a microprobe analyzer (Table 1). From every group, crystals 10-40 μm in size were selected for structural investigation, totaling 41 crystals. All crystals were tested on a single-crystal XRD (SCXRD) diffractometer and the diffraction data were collected for the best-quality grains in every group (Table 2, Supplementary materials: CIF files and Tables S2-4).

**Phosphide structure, influence of Cr and V impurities on phase transition barringerite → allabogdanite, mineral species boundaries**

Phosphide crystals from group number 1 (1a and 1b subgroup) with low Cr and V contents (Cr+V < 0.1 apfu, Table 1) have a hexagonal structure of the barringerite type (Table 2). The results obtained for the 2 crystal group with a Cr+V content of about 0.26 apfu are interesting (Table 1). One of the crystals from this group has a hexagonal structure and the next two crystals show the orthorhombic symmetry and the structure of the allabogdanite type (Table 2). All the crystals from the 3-5 groups with a Cr+V higher than 0.35 apfu (Table 1) have an
orthorhombic structure of the allabogdanite type (Table 2). The hexagonal structure of barringerite and orthorhombic structure of allabogdanite/andreyivanovite are similar. The layers with a hexagonal motive are distinguished in both structure types (Fig. S3). In barringerite there are two different intercalated layers, one of which is represented by connected vertices tetrahedra centered by Fe coordinated by P (Fig. S3A). The second layer is formed by laying tetragonal Fe-pyramids on their side, which are interconnected both edges and vertices (Fig. S3B). Cr and V only substitute for Fe at pyramidal sites. As Cr and V have slightly bigger metallic radii than Fe, they influence the increase in the \( c \) parameter of barringerite when the Cr+V content rises (Table 2, Fig. 6). In the orthorhombic phosphides with an allabogdanite structure, the \( b \) parameter corresponds to the \( c \) parameter in barringerite (Table 2). The \( b \) parameter of allabogdanite is \( \sim 0.08\text{Å} \) more than the \( c \) parameter of barringerite of the close composition (Fig. 6). The parameter \( b \) in orthorhombic phosphides does not depend on the content of Cr and V (Table 2, Fig. 6). An increase in the Cr+V content is accompanied by an enlargement of the distance between the metal and apical phosphorus in a tetragonal pyramid in the plane perpendicular to \( b \) (Fig. 6, Table S4).

In the \( \text{Fe}_2\text{P}-\text{FeCrP}-\text{FeVP} \) ternary diagram (Fig. 5), the points of the 1-3 groups from the phosphide analyses placed at the \( \text{Fe}_2\text{P} \) field, the points of the 4 group phosphide analyses are near the boundary \( \text{Fe}_2\text{P}-\text{FeCrP} \), and the points of the 5 groups from the phosphide analyses touch the andreyivanovite field. The structural data obtained for the 2 groups of phosphides allow us to conclude that allabogdanite is stable when Cr+V content is more than 0.26 apfu (Fig. 6). The mineral species boundary between barringerite and allabogdanite in the \( \text{Fe}_2\text{P}-\text{FeCrP}-\text{FeVP} \) classification diagram runs to approximately 0.26 Cr+V pfu (Fig. 5). The phase transition \( P-62m \) (barringerite) \( \rightarrow Pnma \) (allabogdanite) is observed for the composition close to the
Fe$_{1.74}$(Cr,V)$_{0.26}$P, i.e. substitution more than 13 at. % of Fe in Fe$_2$P by Cr+V leads to the stabilization of the orthorhombic structure of allabogdanite. Phosphides from the paralava studied are formed in conditions of high temperature and low pressure, and this fact is confirmed by the results of mineral paragenetic analysis, as presented below.

**Discussion**

The Hatrurim Complex is the only known terrestrial source of large phosphide aggregates (Fig. 1D; Britvin et al. 2015). All known finds of barringerite and shreibersite in the terrestrial rock are reported as a rare occurrence, often with unclear or exotic origin: iron-bearing sediment-contaminated andesitic and dacitic lavas, fulgurites, natural and anthropogenic burned coal-bearing rocks and others (Pedersen 1981; Borodaev 1982; Kegiao et al. 1983; Yang et al. 2005; Drake et al. 2018; Nishinbaev et al. 2002; Astakhova et al. 2014; Plyashkevich et al. 2016; Savina et al. 2020; Bunch et al. 2021).

The formation of phosphides in rocks of the Hatrurim Complex with known geological settings (Zohar, Israel and Daba-Siwaqa, Jordan) was connected with the unique character of geological conditions during their crystallization. It is important to emphasize that within the Hatrurim Complex rock fields, there are no magmatic rocks, and the formation of pyrometamorphic rocks was caused by natural fire driven by in-going gases and organic fuel located in sedimentary rocks (Burg et al. 1991; Novikov et al. 2013). Based on the phosphide-bearing breccia study from Zohar, it can be assumed that close to the surface there was a local fire centrum (foci) generating a reduced gehlenite paralava and a significant volume of gases, which cause explosive breccia. It is not implausible that the activity of combustion foci keep in methane flows and, probably, hydrogen from an underlying gaseous-oil trap (Sokol et al. 2007; Novikov et al. 2013; Britvin et al. 2022b).
We believe that sedimentary rocks were not thermally altered and contained a significant amount of bituminous organic matters and phosphorite inclusions. Injecting paralava into the sedimentary rocks determines the conditions for aggregates of Fe-P(±C) mineral formations on the boundary of these rocks as a result of a high-temperature carbothermal reduction process involving the products of organic matter decomposition. The process is complex and multi-stage, but in general, it can be presented by the two main reactions of Fe and P reduction, respectively:

$$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{C} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe} + 3\text{CO}(g)$$

$$4\text{Ca}_5(\text{PO}_4)_3\text{F} + 21\text{SiO}_2 + 20\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 10\text{C} \rightarrow 6\text{P}_2\text(g) + 10\text{CO}(g) + 20\text{Ca}_2\text{Al}_2\text{SiO}_7 + \text{SiF}_4(g).$$

Partial melting of sedimentary rock clasts and contamination of this melt by paralava manifested itself in the formation of drops of molten iron on the boundary of paralava and sedimentary rocks (Figs. 1D, 2A, S4), as well as in the replacement of flamite and rankinite by pseudowollastonite and cuspidine in the near-contact part of the paralava (Fig. 2A, 4A). The process of high-temperature roast (clinkerization) of sedimentary rock debris led to the short-term generation of reducing gases as a result of the decomposition of bitumen and phosphorus-bearing fossil remains, which react with iron drops inducing a phosphides formation (Fig. 1D). Later, clinker-like rock was altered to hydrogrossular rock as a consequence of low-temperature processes (Fig. 2C). Geochemical data indicate that gehlenite paralava and hydrogrossular rock rather have the same sedimentary protolith (Table S1; Fig. S1).

The rarity of phosphides in the rocks from the Hatrurim Complex is related to the fact that the necessary condition for their formation is the injection of sulphide-bearing reduced paralava to an unaltered sedimentary rock containing organic matter and phosphorite inclusions. We observed a large number of various oxidized paralavas (diopside-bearing, rankinite-schorlomite, wollastonite, gehlenite, etc.), which were formed almost simultaneously with high-temperature host rocks in the Hatrurim complex, usually represented by gehlenite hornfelses. It is probable
that the reduced paralava with phosphides traces the channels through which combustible gases came from the underlying horizon, activating mass combustions of sedimentary organic fuel and determining the formation of large areas of pyrometamorphic rocks, as is assumed in “the mud volcano” hypothesis (Sokol et al. 2012; Novikov et al. 2013).

Sedimentary protolith is very likely to contain fragments of rocks similar in composition to phosphorites, called the P-Si-Fe and P-Si-C series, with carbonate-argillaceous cement, which is noted as separate small fields on the unconformable boundaries between the Mishash (Campanian) and Ghareb (Maastrichtian, the major protolith unit of the Hatrurim Complex) formations (Shahar et al. 1989).

Gehlenite paralava and the related phosphide mineralization of the Zohar wadi belong to the high-temperature formations of the Hatrurim Complex, which is confirmed by the following observation. Pseudowollastonite (polytype $4M$; $a = 6.8382(2)\text{Å}$, $b = 22.8692(3)\text{Å}$, $c = 19.6208(5)\text{Å}$, $\beta = 90.656(2)^\circ$, our SCXRD data) indicates the high temperature of crystallization, higher than 1200°C, and low pressure (close to ambient pressure) excluding the appearance of “clear” allabogdanite (Swamy and Dubrovinsky 1997; Dera et al. 2008; Seryotkin et al. 2012; Britvin et al. 2021b). Numerous aggregates of barringerite found on the boundary of paralava and hydrogrossular rock indicate temperature crystallization higher than 1350°C, as was established for Mongolian paralavas (Savina et al. 2020). The formation of ideal iron balls in gehlenite rock (Fig. S3B, C) is possible only at full iron melting, and can point to the temperature of paralava being higher than 1500°C locally (Persikov et al. 2019). Additionally, in phosphide-bearing paralava found ex situ in the lower course of the Zohar wadi, we described before repeated melting of gehlenite and flamite (Galuskin et al. 2020), which could take place at a temperature of 1400-1500°C (Mao et al. 2006).
Phosphides with high Cr and V contents, which are detected within the thin tongue of pseudowollastonite paralava, form unusual and various forms. This forms is difficult to explain by melt crystallization (Fig. 4). These forms are reminiscent of fish bones, which are widely distributed in the Negev desert phosphorites underlying the rocks of the Hatrurim Complex (Fig. S5). A mechanism of phosphide formation after fishbone remains is unclear. Small paralava tongues are often angular in cross-section (Fig. 4A), which may indicate that paralava enriched in Cr (+V?) selectively intruded into the most permeable rock fragments, possibly containing numerous fish bone remains presented by CO$_3$-F-bearing hydroxylapatite (“francolite” with V impurity) and organic substance. Fig. 4A shows that on the boundary of pseudowollastonite paralava forming the tongue in hydrogrossular rock there is an absence of rounded mineral aggregates of the Fe-P(±C) system characteristic for contacts with gehlenite paralava (Figs. 1D, 2A). It is believed that on the boundary of pseudowollastonite paralava and sedimentary rock, processes of P and Fe reduction and phosphide formation after fishbone remains took place simultaneously. Thereafter phosphides were assimilated by paralava (Fig. 4). Schematically, the mechanism of phosphide formation is shown in Fig. S6. The process of phosphide formation was conducted in a kinetic regime, so the width of the reaction zone fluctuated and replacement of separated bone remains could be practically per saltum. In this case, assimilation of bone remains by paralava, reducing reactions involving products of organic substance decomposition and phosphide formation can be considered as one process. The possibility of phosphide formation after organic remains was previously referred to by Borodaev et al. (1982), who described a schreibersite formation in a graphitized wood elevated from a depth of 1400 m in the Red Sea.

The appearance of high concentrations of Cr and V in phosphides is associated not only with the high formation temperature and chemical heterogeneity of the protolith, but also with
very low oxygen activity. The existence of metallic Cr and V in the mineral-forming system corresponds to highly reduced conditions $fO_2 < ΔIW ∼ -5$, which will facilitate the replacement of Fe in phosphides by V and Cr (Griffin et al. 2019). The appearance of osbornite in association with phosphides (Fig. 3F) is an indicator that locally the oxygen activity fell below $fO_2 < ΔIW -6 \sim -9$ and met super-reduction conditions (Griffin et al. 2019). The formation of Cr-V-bearing phosphides could take place at temperatures lower than the crystallization temperature of barringerite from the melt at 1350°C.

Conclusion

Injection of paralava into the sedimentary rocks, which were not thermally altered and contained a significant amount of bituminous organic matters and phosphorite inclusions, creates the conditions for Fe-P($±$C) mineral system formation on the boundary of these rocks as a result of the high-temperature carbothermal reduction process.

The morphology of the part of Cr-V-bearing phosphides aggregates resembles a form of fish bone remains in phosphorites. The replacement of fish bone remains by Cr-V-bearing phosphides at high temperatures with the preservation of their morphology can be explained by the specific reaction mechanism and the high rate of such pseudomorphs’ formation.

Orthorhombic allabogdanite, Fe$_2$P, is formed in the stability field of hexagonal barringerite at a low pressure and a high temperature when its structure is stabilized by Cr and V impurities, which replace more than 13 at.% Fe in its structure.

The points of chemical analysis of orthorhombic phosphides with the highest contents of Cr and V from paralava of the Hatrurim Complex hit into the field of andreyivanovite, FeCrP (Fig. 5). This is the first discovery of andreyivanovite in terrestrial rocks.
Implication

For the first time, allabogdanite of the terrestrial origin was found in a sample of diopside-bearing paralava of the pyrometamorphic Hatrurim Complex from the wadi Halamish in the Negev Desert, Israel. It was supposed that allabogdanite formed after barringerite as a result of the phase transition at the very high temperature and pressure caused by meteorite impact (Britvin et al. 2021). This assumption was based on the experimental data (Dera et al. 2008). Cr-V-bearing allabogdanite, that was studied by us, has been found in pseudowollastonite-gehlenite paralava in the wadi Zohar located about 2 km from the place of the first finding of allabogdanite, and undoubtedly, formed at the low pressure. The trends of phase transition in meteorite, paralava and in experiment are shown in the phase barringerite-allabogdanite diagram (Fig. 7). The barringerite → allabogdanite phase transition has been studied only in high-temperature experiments (Dera et al. 2008; Britvin et al. 2021). In the natural systems at a relatively low temperature, this process probably proceeds very slowly and depends on a real composition of phosphide and the rate of system cooling. Rather than looking for an exotic reason of terrestrial allabogdanite origin, which would be in line with conditions of the high-temperature experiment (Fig. 7, trends 1, 4; Britvin et al. 2021), it firstly is necessary to consider the possibility of the phase transition barringerite → allabogdanite as a result of the temperature decreasing at the ambient pressure (Fig. 7, trend 5). We following Litasov et al. (2020) in believing that meteoritic allabogdanite is not a suitable reference for the terrestrial occurrences in the Hartritum complex. Allabogdanite can either form as a primary mineral at the high pressure from high-temperature melt (Fig. 7, trend 2) or as a result of the phase transition barringerite → allabogdanite at decreasing temperature (Fig. 7, trend 3). Polysynthetic twinning, which was observed in
allabogdanite from the intergrowths with barringerite from wadi Halamish (Britvin et al. 2021), probably is a criterium of the phase transition barringerite → allabogdanite. Barringerite is a metastable phase at ambient conditions, its predominance over allabogdanite both in meteorites, and in terrestrial rocks, is related to a very slow rate of the phase transition barringerite → allabogdanite at the low temperature. Cr-V-bearing allabogdanite from gehlenite-pseudowollastonite parava of wadi Zohar formed in the field of barringerite stability and its structure was stabilized by Cr and V impurities, and allabogdanite from the wadi Halamish paralava formed as a result of the phase transition barringerite → allabogdanite at the moderate temperature (<500°C). Extended heating was sustained by the combustion processes determining a formation of huge areas of pyrometamorphic rocks of the Hatrurim Complex.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. (A) Brecciated rock (I) surrounded by layered low-temperature calcite-hydrogrossular rocks (II); frame shows a fragment magnified in Fig. 1B; (B) Primary breccia of altered country rocks cemented by gehlenite paralava were disintegrated and presented by fragments of different sizes; (C) Large breccia fragment, hydrogrossular rock of pink and grey color in grey amygdaloid gehlenite paralava; (D) Rounded aggregates of the Fe-P(±C) mineral system on the contact of gehlenite paralava and pink hydrogrossular rock. In inset – BSE image of the biggest aggregate showing zonal structure: core – barringerite (Bgr), intermediate zone – schreibersite (Srb), rim – schreibersite-iron (Fe) eutectic.

Figure 2. (A) Rounded phosphide aggregates on the boundary of paralava and hydrogrossular rock. The near-contact part of gehlenite paralava is enriched in pseudowollastonite and small xenomorphic inclusions of minerals of the Fe-P(±C) system and pyrrhotite. Frame shows a fragment magnified in Fig. 2B. BSE image. (B) Mineral aggregate of the Fe-P(±C) system at the centrum contains barringerite, and at the rim - Srb-Fe(±Fe3C) eutectic. Iron is intensively replaced by secondary oxides and phosphates (black spots). BSE images, high contrast. (C,D) Xenomorphic mineral aggregate of the Fe-P(±C) system with fragments presented by Srb-Fe eutectic with different Srb (dark-grey)/Fe ratio. C – BSE, D – reflected light, contrast image. (E) Gehlenite-flamite paralava, BSE. (D) Porous hydrogrossular-tacharanite rock with barringerite relics, BSE. Gh = gehlenie, Flm = flamite, Po = pyrrhotite, Wus = wustite, Fe = native iron, Hgr = hydrogrossular, Scb = schreibersite, Etr = ettringite, Bgr = barringerite, Tch = tacharanite, Cal = calcite, Pwo = pseudowollastonite.

Figure 3. (A) Contact of black gehlenite paralava (I) with pink hydrogrossular rock (II), polished plate. Gehlenite paralava contains hollows filled with minerals of the ettringite group and
gypsum, calcite and tacharanite. Cr-V-bearing phosphides are in a thin tongue of light paralava (III) in hydrogrossular rock. Red arrow indicates an area magnified in Fig. 3B, yellow arrow – in Fig. 3F; (B) Zonal aggregate with schreibersite and low-chromian barringerite (1 group, Table 1); (C) Xenomorphic grains of allabogdanite (4a group, Table 1) in association with xenomorphic fluorapatite and perovskite grains and orthogonal pseudomorph of hydrogrossular after gehlenite. Hydrogarnet forming a reactive rim on allabogdanite is enriched in Fe and Cr; (D) Xenomorphic grains of andreyivanovite (5b group, Table 1) in tacharanite; (F) Graphite crystal inclusions in phosphide aggregates and osbornite intergrows with phosphides. In the inset, there is the same area in reflected light, copper-golden color of osbornite is a characteristic property. Abg = allabogdanite, Aiv = andreyivanovite, Bgr = barringerite, Cal = calcite, Csp = cuspidine, Fap = fluorapatite, Gr = graphite, Hgr = hydrogarnet, Prv = perovskite, Pwo = pseudowollastonite, Scb = schreibersite, Tch = tacharanite, Obn = osbornite.

Figure 4. (A) Tongue of pseudowollastonite paralava (II) in hydrogrossular rock (I) with Cr- and V-bearing phosphides (white). The cross-section of the paralava tongue is perpendicular to its elongation. Fragments magnified in Fig. 4B, C are shown in frames, BSE. (B, C) Unusual forms of Cr-V-bearing phosphides aggregates (2 and 3 groups, Table 1), BSE. Fragment magnified in Fig. 4D is shown in frames. (D) Light-grey Cr-V-bearing phosphides in reflected light. Csp = cuspidine, Hgr = hydrogrossular, Prv = perovskite, Pwo = pseudowollastonite, Tch = tacharanite.

Figure 5. Phosphides analyses in Fe₂P-FeCrP-FeVP ternary diagram: I – EDS analyses, II – microprobe analyses of the grain groups (Arabic numerals) used for the structural investigation. Dashed line divides barringerite and allabogdanite fields.

Figure 6. Diagram of correlation of c parameter (black square) of barringerite and b parameter of allabogdanite (cross) with Cr+V content.
Figure 7. Phase transitions trends in diagram barringerite-allabogdanite (according to Litasov et al. 2020, with simplifications): 1 – experiment (Dera et al. 2008); 2 – meteorite, crystallization from melt as a result of impact event (Britvin et al. 2019); 3 – meteorite, barringerite - allabogdanite phase transition (Litasov et al. 2020); 4 – paralava, formation of terrestrial allabogdanite after barringerite as a result of meteorite impact (Britvin et al. 2021); 5 – paralava, barringerite - allabogdanite phase transition.
Table 1. Chemical composition of Cr-V-bearing phosphides from pseudowollastonite paralava, wt.%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt.% n= 3 s.d</td>
<td>n= 4 s.d</td>
<td>n= 8 s.d</td>
<td>range n=12 s.d</td>
<td>range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>22.12 0.10</td>
<td>21.83 0.15</td>
<td>22.06 0.11</td>
<td>21.96-22.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.13 0.05</td>
<td>0.11 0.04</td>
<td>0.12 0.09</td>
<td>0.04-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.10 0.06</td>
<td>0.13 0.05</td>
<td>0.17 0.04</td>
<td>0.11-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.12 0.02</td>
<td>0.14 0.02</td>
<td>0.06 0.05</td>
<td>0.02-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>74.88 0.45</td>
<td>74.08 0.33</td>
<td>72.20 0.13</td>
<td>6.90-7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.70 0.16</td>
<td>1.02 0.17</td>
<td>2.17 0.04</td>
<td>2.11-2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.11 0.04</td>
<td>0.08 0.03</td>
<td>0.29 0.07</td>
<td>0.15-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.16</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>99.90</td>
<td>99.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated on 3 atoms

| P | 1.012 | 1.005 | 1.007 | 1.019 |
| Ca | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.008 |
| Cu | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| Ni | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| Fe | 1.901 | 1.892 | 1.718 | 1.575 |
| Cr | 0.055 | 0.061 | 0.196 | 0.274 |
| V | 0.019 | 0.029 | 0.060 | 0.113 |
| Ti | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.008 |

Table 1. continuation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group</th>
<th>4a</th>
<th>4b</th>
<th>4c</th>
<th>5a</th>
<th>5b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt.% n=7 s.d</td>
<td>n=4 s.d</td>
<td>n=10 s.d</td>
<td>range n=6 s.d</td>
<td>range n=3 s.d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>22.53 0.09</td>
<td>22.41-22.65</td>
<td>22.52 0.04</td>
<td>22.45 0.07</td>
<td>22.34-22.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.18 0.07</td>
<td>0.09-0.31</td>
<td>0.28 0.02</td>
<td>0.45 0.15</td>
<td>0.29-0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.07 0.04</td>
<td>0.03-0.15</td>
<td>0.06 0.02</td>
<td>0.06 0.03</td>
<td>0.01-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.07 0.02</td>
<td>0.03-0.10</td>
<td>0.02 0.01</td>
<td>0.02 0.02</td>
<td>0.00-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>56.70 0.22</td>
<td>56.26-56.97</td>
<td>54.56 0.07</td>
<td>56.43 0.34</td>
<td>55.60-56.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>14.19 0.11</td>
<td>14.06-14.37</td>
<td>14.56 0.16</td>
<td>12.87 0.25</td>
<td>12.20-13.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>5.25 0.09</td>
<td>5.13-5.36</td>
<td>6.52 0.08</td>
<td>6.63 0.24</td>
<td>6.35-7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.47 0.04</td>
<td>0.40-0.51</td>
<td>0.66 0.02</td>
<td>0.56 0.05</td>
<td>0.42-0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.45</td>
<td>99.18</td>
<td>99.47</td>
<td>99.58</td>
<td>98.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculated on 3 atoms

| P | 1.022 | 1.022 | 1.017 | 1.022 | 1.017 |
| Ca | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.025 |
| Cu | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.002 |
| Ni | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| Fe | 1.426 | 1.373 | 1.418 | 1.341 | 1.213 |
| Cr | 0.383 | 0.394 | 0.347 | 0.452 | 0.499 |
| V | 0.145 | 0.180 | 0.183 | 0.156 | 0.230 |
| Ti | 0.014 | 0.019 | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.013 |
Table 2. Unit cell parameters, crystal chemical formulas and electron density at Fe2 site of Cr-V-bearing phosphides of the assigned groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural parameters</th>
<th>Chemical data</th>
<th>Fe2 site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a b c</td>
<td>simplified formula</td>
<td>SCXRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-62m</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sof/e-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b/18*</td>
<td>5.8565(3) 5.8565(3) 3.4712(2) Fe1.8φCr0.06V0.00P1.01</td>
<td>0.986(5)/25.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a/23</td>
<td>5.8588(3) 5.8588(3) 3.4673(2) Fe1.96Cr0.05V0.02P1.01</td>
<td>0.987(5)/25.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>5.8414(3) 5.8414(3) 3.4922(2) Fe1.72Cr0.20V0.00P1.01</td>
<td>0.964(7)/25.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pnma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/7</td>
<td>5.8003(3) 3.5665(3) 6.6405(4) Fe1.72Cr0.20V0.00T10.01P1.01</td>
<td>0.968(3)/25.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>5.8020(2) 3.5664(1) 6.6448(3) Fe1.72Cr0.20V0.00T10.01P1.01</td>
<td>0.971(5)/25.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>5.8165(4) 3.5664(2) 6.6531(4) Fe1.57Cr0.27V0.11T10.01C0.01P1.02</td>
<td>0.956(5)/24.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a/68</td>
<td>5.8269(6) 3.5624(4) 6.6599(8) Fe1.42Cr0.35V0.14T10.00C0.02P1.02</td>
<td>0.933(3)/24.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b/1</td>
<td>5.8269(4) 3.5689(3) 6.6627(5) Fe1.42Cr0.38V0.14T10.01C0.00P1.02</td>
<td>0.950(8)/24.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c/62</td>
<td>5.8307(3) 3.5645(2) 6.6617(4) Fe1.34Cr0.45V0.16T10.00C0.00P1.02</td>
<td>0.925(2)/24.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a/64</td>
<td>5.8231(3) 3.5666(2) 6.6564(3) Fe1.34Cr0.45V0.16T10.01C0.00P1.02</td>
<td>0.933(4)/24.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*- group number/grain number, Sof – Fe occupancy, e- - electron density, SCXRD – structural data, EMPA – data of electron microprobe analysis
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