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ABSTRACT

Black shale-hosted vanadium (V) deposits accounts for about 80% vanadium resource in the world, but only less than 2% vanadium in the black shale can be extracted mainly due to insufficient recognition on the occurrence mode of vanadium. It is commonly agreed that most vanadium in the black shale is hosted in clay minerals and organic matters, but it is not clear how the other parts of vanadium exist and whether there is vanadium mineral, which limits the understanding of metallogenic mechanism of black shale-hosted vanadium
deposit. The Jiujiang Basin at the Lower Yangtze Block is a significant black shale-hosted vanadium metallogenic district. In this research, systematic studies of mineralogy, crystallography, lithology and geochemistry from two deposits were conducted to confirm the occurrence of vanadium hosted in the black shales. Results from electron probe micro analysis (EPMA), Raman spectrum and in-situ XRD suggest that the main vanadium-hosting mineral in the black shale is mannardite, with a crystallochemistry formula of \([\text{Ba}_{0.96} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}] (\text{Ti}_{5.87} \text{V}^{3+}_{1.87} \text{V}^{4+}_{0.11} \text{Cr}_{0.07} \text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.02}) \text{O}_{16.00}\), space group \(I4_1/a\), cell parameters \(a = b = 14.346(7) \text{ Å}, c = 5.899(1) \text{ Å}, \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ, Z = 4\). Data from electron probe micro analysis (EPMA), tescan integrated mineral analyzer (TIMA) and whole-rock geochemistry indicate that 12.32%–44.06% (average of 24.95%) vanadium exists in mannardite. The crystallochemistry formula in this research suggests that main vanadium in the mannardite occupies stable crystallographic locations as trivalent vanadium (\(\text{V}^{3+}\)), forming chemical bonds with oxygen atom by \(\text{VO}_2^-\), whereas minor vanadium replaces titanite atom (\(\text{Ti}^{4+}\)) as quadrivalent vanadium (\(\text{V}^{4+}\)) by isomorphism. Mannardite precipitates under a strong reductive condition with sufficient trivalent vanadium species, titanium (Ti) and biogenic barium (bio-barite). The first identification of mannardite in black shales-hosted vanadium deposits puts forward new cognition on occurrence mode of vanadium and the metallogenic mechanism of the black shale-hosted vanadium deposit. Besides, the mannardite provides mineralogical support for the beneficiation of vanadium from the black shale.
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INTRODUCTION

Vanadium is widely used in industries of steel, alloys, aviation, petrochemical engineering and energy storage (Dang et al. 2018; Ettler et al. 2020). It has been classified as critical metal by the European Union and American (Schulz et al. 2017; EC, 2018; Bai et al. 2021). The data from USGS (2022) and Zhang et al. (2011) show that about 80% vanadium is hosted in the black shale-hosted deposit, which is mainly distributed in South China (Fig. 1a; Zhang et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2019a; Yang et al. 2022). This type of vanadium deposits is characterized with large reserves and high grade (118 million tons V_2O_5 with mainly grade of 0.5–1.7 wt%), comparing with the Fe-Ti-V deposits (17.6 million tons V_2O_5 with grade of 0.2 wt%; Cao et al. 2019; Villanova-de-Benavent et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2011, 2017). However, less than 2% vanadium is obtained from the black shale-hosted vanadium deposits (Wang and Ma 2009), due to insufficient recognition on the mineral constituent, occurrence mode of vanadium in the black shale (Zhang et al. 2011, 2015, 2017; Wang et al. 2017; Zhu et al. 2018), which also limits the understanding on vanadium metallogenic mechanism.

The vanadium minerals in the vanadium deposits are the direct evidence on understanding the metallogenic conditions and the accumulation mechanism of vanadium. It has been confirmed that vanadium minerals can formed on various conditions and exist...
in the vanadiferous titanomagnetite deposits (coulsonite; Karpov et al. 2012), sandstone type vanadium deposit (carnotite, corvusite, doloresite, hewettite, paramontroseite; Henderson and Hess 1933; Garrels et al. 1956; Wülser et al. 2011; Schmid et al. 2020), vanadate deposits (descloizite, mottramite and vanadinite; Boni et al. 2007; Sracek et al. 2014) and hydrothermal metal deposit (karelianite, nolanite, schreyerite, vanadium andradite, shcherbinaite; Howard and Owens 1995; Spry and Scherbarth 2006; Sergeeva et al. 2011). However, vanadium mineral constituent in the black shale-hosted vanadium deposit has kept blank. Previous studies indicate that the occurrences of vanadium includes adsorbing on the surface of ferric and aluminum oxides (Micera and Dallocchio 1988; Peacock and Sherman, 2004; Zhu et al. 2018), enriching in clay minerals by isomorphism (Peacock and Sherman 2004; Zhang et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2020) and occurring in vanadium-organic compounds (Maylotte et al. 1981; Mercer et al. 1992; Gol'dberg et al. 2010). However, only less than 2% vanadium in the black shale can be extracted, which due to the difficulty of extracting micro clay minerals from black shale and the indistinct occurrence modes (Xue et al. 2021b).

The Jiujiang Basin at the South China Craton is an important vanadium metalogenic zone, and hosts a number of black shale-hosted vanadium deposits (Zhou et al. 2019; Li et al. 2022a; Yang et al. 2022). In this study, systematic mineralogy, crystallography and geochemistry analysis of the Yangjiashan and Liujiqiao deposits are conducted to examine the occurrence mode of vanadium. Based on the results, the precipitation
machenism of vanadium in the black shale is discussed, providing mineralogical support for the extracting of vanadium from the black shale.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Jiujiang region is tectonically located at the Lower Yangtze Block (Fig. 1; Chen et al. 1991; Li et al. 2003b, 2010; Liu et al. 2011; Qian and Wei 2019; Zhao 2020; Kan et al. 2022). During Early Tonian, the Jiujiang region developed into active continental margin due to the shrink of the South China Ocean, which lead to the deposition of bathyal-abyssal-neritic facies of the Hengyong Formation and development of Jiujiang back-arc basin (Fig. 1b; Chen et al. 1991; Cai and Gu 2002; Li et al. 2003a, 2010; Yang et al. 2015). During Late Tonian–Ediacaran, the Jiujiang epicontinental ocean basin formed and kept stable until Silurian, which resulted from the extensional setting of the Lower Yangtze Block (Yang 2011; Sracek et al. 2014). As results, the Jiujiang Basin received the Cryogenian continental facies (including sandstone, tuff, glutenite, tillite) and the Ediacaran neritic facies (Fig. 1b).

During the epicontinental ocean basin phase, Early Paleozoic sediments distribute extensively at the Jiujiang Basin (JGSEI 2017). The Cambrian strata consists of the lower shale and upper limestone (Fig. 1b). Especially, the black carbonaceous shale, carbon siliceous shale from the Hetang and Guanyintang formations inherits from the Ediacaran sediments. The plenty of organic carbon in the Hetang Formation black shale indicates that this stratum constitutes the unique abysmal basin facies and sedimented under a reductive
environment in the Jiujiang epicontinental ocean basin, which was resulted from the microorganism teeming after glacial epoch during Cryogenian (Zhou 1990; Wang et al. 2013; Tang et al. 2017). During the late period of Early Cambrian, the sea level dropped rapidly, and the Jiujiang Basin evolved from abysmal environment into epeiric sea continental shelf settings, resulting in existence of shallow color arenaceous shale and siltstone with plenty of trilobite in the Guanyintang Formation (Wan 2010; Wang et al. 2013). The Yangliugang Formation of Lower Cambrian consists of the lower tidal-flat facies calcareous dolomite at and the upper spacious platform facie argillaceous limestone with trilobite, indicating the sea level increased. During Late Cambrian, this platform environment developed into tidal-flat-lagoonal setting, which kept stable till Early Silurian (Liu et al. 2011). As results, the Jiujiang Basin received the argillaceous-dolomitic limestone of the Huayansi, Xiyangshan formations, tidal-flat carbonate strata of the Cangshan Formation and sandstone-arenaceous shale-graywacke of the Lishuwo Formation (Kan et al. 2022). Experiencing a long history of epicontinental ocean basin phase, the crust uplifted at Jiujiang region resulted from the orogenesis and close of the South China Ocean again during Middle Silurian (Wang et al. 2020). Consequently, minor fragmentary epeiric sea facies existed, including the Upper Silurian Xiajiaqiao, Xikeng formations and the Devonian Wutong Formation. The South China Ocean extended once again since Late Carboniferous, generating limestone and dolostone system-the epeiric sea facies (Fig. 1b).
During the evolution of Lower Yangtze Block, the Jiujiang Basin remained stable during Early Cambrian. The persistent and stable reduced sedimentary environment in Jiujiang Basin provides significant condition for the formation of sedimentary vanadium deposits (Fig. 1b; Qian and Wei 2019; Zhou et al. 2019; Li et al. 2022b).

The structures at Jiujiang Basin exhibit NE direction. The folds mainly existed in the strata after Ediacaran, involving NNE synclinoriums and NE anticline. Besides, the fault zone consists of dextral NE faults through the overlay strata (Fig. 1b).

**DEPOSIT GEOLOGY**

At the Yangjiashan deposit, the sediments system in the ore field comprises the Late Ediacaran, Early Cambrian and the Quaternary strata (Figs. 2a–b). The Ediacaran Piyuancun Formation (about 400 m thick) consists of black gray massive pyrite-bearing silicalite, dark gray carbonaceous silicalite and microcrystalline limestone, a bottom-up sequence that exhibits property of sub-abyssal basin facies (Figs. 2a–b). The Early Cambrian Hetang Formation consists of unique abyssal basin sequences under an anoxic environment and is rich in vanadium, and a 30 m thick stone coal layer with black pyrite-bearing carbon salicaceous shale and pyrite-bearing carbonaceous shale exist at the bottom, (Figs. 4b, d–e). The overlying strata is carbon salicaceous shale within thin carbonaceous shale (Figs. 4c and f). The upper part of Hetang Formation is the black carbonaceous silicalite with interlayer of carbonaceous shale (Fig. 4a). From geological outcrop, slight deformation and metamorphism happen to the Hetang Formation, resulting in the existence
of minor carbonatite veinlet (Figs. 4b, f). The Hetang Formation contact conformably with
the Piyuancun Formation at the bottom and the overlying Guangyintang Formation (Fig.
4a). Due to the reduce of sea level, the Jiujiang region developed into epeiric continental
shelf basin at the late period of Early Cambrian. As a result, light gray argillaceous and silt
shale of the Guanyintang Formation deposited (Fig. 4a). The Quaternary strata mainly
includes yellowish-brown clay and glutenite along the Yangtze River (Fig. 1b). No
magmatism and volcanism occur in the ore filed.

The Liujiaqiao vanadium deposit shares similar sedimentary sequences with the
Yangjiashan deposit (Fig. 3).

In the Yangjiashan deposit, total twelve vanadium ore bodies have been identified (Fig.
2b). These ore bodies are mainly hosted in carbonaceous shales and pyrite-bearing carbon
siliceous shales of the lower sequence of the Hetang Formation. Minor ore body also occur
on the top of the Piyuancun Formation. Generally, the ore bodies are bedded, dip to 120°–
250° with dip angle varies during 10–35°, consistent with the host rocks, which suggests
the black shale of the Hetang Formation is the significant ore-controlling factors. The $V_2O_5$
grade ranges from 0.70 wt% to 0.89 wt% (Zhao 2020). The ore bodies of $V_4$, $V_5$ and $V_8$
contribute most of vanadium resource for the Yangjiashan deposit (Fig. 2b).

Similar to the Yangjiashan deposit, twelve ore bodies have been prospected in the
Liujiaqiao deposit, of which four ore bodies can be observed along the exploration line 8
(Fig. 3b). All the ore bodies exhibit same attitude to the Hetang Formation as stratiform,
stratiform-like and lensoid with 600–810 m long, 1.15–13.5 m thick and 0.70–1.06 wt% \( \text{V}_2\text{O}_5 \). The vanadium mainly accumulates at the black carbonaceous shale and black carbon siliceous shale, in which pyrite exists pervasively.

**SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS**

**Sampling**

The samples are collected from the outcrop and the cores of the two deposits, and the detail locations are shown in the figures 2 and 3. Total 13 samples are collected from the Hetang Formation, including 8 ore samples hosted in black carbon siliceous shale (samples SHAD, D11, D15, D21; ZK801D7, D12, D27, D29) and 5 ore samples hosted in the black carbonaceous shale (ZK801D14, D15, D21, D32, D40).

**Electron probe micro analysis (EPMA)**

Mineral compositions are obtained by using electron probe micro analysis (EPMA) at the State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Resource and Environment, East China University of Technology, with a JEOL JXA-8230 Electron Probe Micro Analyzer equipped with four wavelength-dispersive spectrometers. Before the analysis by EPMA, thin sections of samples were polished and coated with a thin conductive carbon film. During the analysis, an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a beam current of 20 nA and a 1 µm spot size were used to analyse minerals. Data were corrected on-line using a modified ZAF (atomic number, absorption, fluorescence) correction procedure. The peak counting time was 10 s for Ba, V, Ti, Na, K, Mg, Al, Si, Ca, Fe, S, As, F and 20 s for Cr, Zn, Ni, Sr and Mn. The background counting time was one-half of the peak counting time on the high- and low-energy...
background positions. The standard minerals in this research include biotite (K$_2$O), rutile (TiO$_2$), jadeite (Na$_2$O), tugtupite (Cl), fluorite (F), olivine (FeO), anhydrite (SO$_3$), barite (BaO), plagioclase (CaO), chromium oxide (Cr$_2$O$_3$), V(V$_2$O$_3$), kaersutite (Al$_2$O$_3$), Zn (ZnO), NiO (NiO), magnetite (FeO), rhodonite (MnO) and kaersutite (MgO).

**Raman spectrum**

Raman spectrum was procued at the State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Resource and Environment, East China University of Technology. The thin sections were used to perform the Raman spectroscopy analysis by using a Renishaw InVia Raman Spectrometer. A 532 nm laser with spot size of 1–2 μm$^2$ was targeted the minerals in the rock sections, where the mineral grains are much large than laser spot size. Each run keeps at least 5 seconds acquisitions. At least 10 same minerals were analysis to confirm its stable Raman spectrum property.

**In-situ X-Ray Diffraction (In-situ XRD)**

In-situ XRD analysis was performed at Analytic & Testing Research Center of Yunnan by using an X-ray diffractometer (model: Empyrean > D / Max 2200), equipped with a double cross micro region system (0.25 × 0.25 mm$^2$) with laser locator, and Pixel 3D Focus diffractometer (265×265 dot matrix) with a Cu anode X-ray generator (K$_{a1}$=1.54056 Å and K$_{a2}$=1.54439 Å; Wang et al. 2019). Thin sections of samples are analyzed to obtain the XRD patterns at the diffraction angle (2θ) ranging from 5° to 90° with a step size of 0.02° and the beam spot is 1 mm$^2$. Silicon powder (purity > 99.99%) was used as the reference
to correct the X-ray diffractometer. The cell parameters are refined by MDI Jade 6, which is accurate to the thousandth (Gu et al., 2015).

**Backscatter electron and energy dispersive spectrometer**

Backscatter electron (BSE) microscopic analysis was performed at the State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Resource and Environment, East China University of Technology by using a scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, model: Zeiss Gemini Sigma 300 VP SEM), equipped with Oxford Link ISIS energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) at an operating voltage of 15 kV. The samples were prepared by cutting and polishing into 70 μm sample sections. The coat a layer of carbon film with thickness of 500 Å on the surface of sample sections by using the vacuum carbon sprayer. The BSE images are captured by the backscatter electron microscopic detecting instrument. The geochemical mappings of minerals were got by the energy dispersive spectrometer. C, O, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Pb, Cd, Ba, In and Sn were obtained in this research.

**TESCAN Integrated Mineral Analysis (TIMA)**

The samples were scanned using TESCAN Integrated Mineral Analysis (TIMA) liberation analysis module equipped at Guangzhou Tuoyan Analytical Technology Co., Ltd. The mineral compositional mappings were obtained on carbon-coated thin sections (mounts) using a MIRA 3 scanning electron microscope. During the analysis, an acceleration voltage of 25 kV and probe current of 8.24 nA were used. Working distance
was set to 15 mm. Pixel spacing was set to 1.5 μm and dot spacing was set to 4.5 μm. The analysis and BSE signal intensity were calibrated on a platinum Faraday cup using the automated procedure. EDS performance was checked by using manganese standard.

**Whole-rock geochemistry**

The whole-rock geochemistry was performed using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) (Agilent 720) with dilute factor of 1000 at Guangzhou Tuoyan Analytical Technology Co., Ltd. Before analysis, samples were powdered into 200 mesh. The preparation procedures for major elements (except SiO₂) and trace elements are same. The technique of alkali fusion was used to dissolve the samples to analyze SiO₂. 0.05 g sample was dissolved with 0.25 g NaOH at 700 °C in Ag crucible for about 30 minutes and then cool down. Then the samples were dissolved by hot water and acidified by 5 ml HCl and make up to 250 ml for analyzing by ICP-OES. 1g of sample was used to measure the loss on ignition (LOI), including the following steps: 1) samples were weighed in porcelain crucible and heated at 900°C in a muffle furnace for 1 h; 2) confirm the LOI by calculating the weighted difference between the untreated sample and residue. The analytical accuracy is less than 2% (relative) for major oxides present in concentrations greater than 0.5 wt% and 5% (relative) for minor oxides present in concentrations between 0.1 wt% and 0.5 wt%. The trace elements were determined by a Jena Plasma Quant inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). About 0.0500 grams of powdered sample were placed in a Poly tetra fluoro ethylene bomb, and 0.6 ml of HF and
3 ml of HNO$_3$ were added. The sealed bombs were then placed in an electric oven and heated to 185°C for about 36 h. After cooling, the bombs were heated on a hot plate to evaporate to dryness. 200 ng of Rh was added as an internal standard, and then 2 ml of HNO$_3$ and 4 ml of water were added. The bombs were again sealed and placed in an electric oven at 135 °C for about 5 hours to dissolve the residue. After cooling, the final dilute factor is about 3000 for ICP-MS analysis.

**RESULTS**

**EPMA**

The locations of analysis spots are shown in figure 5. Based on the EPMA results shown in attachment 1, a significant V-hosting mineral is recognized. This mineral is extremely rich in vanadium with an average content of 18.62 wt% (V$_2$O$_3$) (17.55 wt%–19.56 wt%). It also enriches barium with an average of 18.49 wt% (BaO) (17.85 wt%–18.80 wt%) and titanium with an average of 58.81 wt% (TiO) (56.86 wt%–59.72 wt %). Besides, this mineral contains various Cr$_2$O$_3$ (average of 0.67 wt%, ranging from 0.52 wt% to 0.84 wt%), SiO$_2$ (average of 0.34 wt%, ranging from 0.03 wt% to 1.68 wt%) and FeO (average of 0.14 wt%, ranging from 0.02 wt% to 0.86 wt%).

The formula of this mineral is calculated by using the cationic method, and the general steps include: 1) check and correct the average total composition to 100%; 2) calculate the mole quantity of the cations and oxygen atom based on the relative atomic mass and relative content of each part; 3) get the quantity of total cations and oxygen atom; 4)
translate the total cations to theoretical value 9 and get the translate coefficient (Scott and Peatfield 1986; Szymanski 1986), by which the number of cations atom per formular is calculated; 5) set the oxygen atom number to 32, and check the chemical valence equilibrium (Li et al. 2008). The calculated parameters are shown in table 1.

The formula of this V-hosting mineral is obtained as $[\text{Ba}^{2+}_{0.96} (\text{Ti}^{4+}_{5.87} \text{V}^{3+}_{1.98} \text{Si}^{4+}_{0.07} \text{Cr}^{3+}_{0.07} \text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.02})] \text{O}_{16.00}$. However, the sum of cations electrovalence in this formula is less than 32 ($\text{O}_{16}^{32-}$). In the hollandite supergroup, only V and Fe exhibit various valences. Hence, the iron atom in this mineral should be $\text{Fe}^{3+}$, and the vanadium atom occurrent as $\text{V}^{3+}$ and $\text{V}^{4+}$. The crystallochemistry formular is recalculated as $\text{Ba}_{0.96} (\text{Ti}_{5.87} \text{V}_{1.87} \text{V}_{0.11} \text{Si}_{0.07} \text{Cr}_{0.07} \text{Fe}_{0.02}) \text{O}_{16.00}$, which corresponds to the ideal formula of mannardite ($\text{BaTi}_6\text{V}_2\text{O}_{16}$) (Scott and Peatfield 1986; Szymanski 1986). As a member of hollandite supergroup, mannardite is a hydrated mineral with zeolitic water (about 2.24 wt% H$_2$O) (Szymanski 1986; Biagioni et al. 2013; Reznitsky et al. 2018). The average total composition by EPMA (97.20%) (Attachment 1) suggests that the mannardite in this research should be $[\text{Ba}_{0.96} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}] (\text{Ti}_{5.87} \text{V}_{1.87} \text{V}_{0.11} \text{Si}_{0.07} \text{Cr}_{0.07} \text{Fe}_{0.02}) \text{O}_{16.00}$.

In addition, the crystallochemical formulas of other major minerals in figure 5 are calculated by using cationic method, including pyrite (Fe[S$_2$]), quartz (SiO$_2$), illite ((K$_{0.69}$, Mg$_{0.17}$, Na$_{0.04}$) (Al$_{1.61}$, Ti$_{0.02}$, V$_{0.01}$, Fe$_{0.12}$) [Al$_{0.85}$ Si$_{3.15}$ O$_{10.00}$] (OH, O)), and phengite ((K$_{0.41}$, Ba$_{0.01}$, Na$_{0.01}$) [Al$_{1.12}$ (Al$_{0.08}$ Si$_{3.92}$) O$_{10.00}$] (OH)$_2$). The detail calculated parameters are shown in table 1.
Raman spectrum

To confirm the crystal structure of the V-hosting mineral, Raman spectrum is proceeded and shows multiple peaks to reflect this V-hosting mineral. The feature of Raman spectrum is in accordance well with the mannardite (Fig. 6). The major peaks at 133 cm\(^{-1}\), 250 cm\(^{-1}\), 599 cm\(^{-1}\) and 639 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to the Ti-O bond (Glass and Fries 2008; Frezzotti et al. 2012). The peak at 337 cm\(^{-1}\) may be assigned to the V\(^{3+}\)-O bond (Reznitskii et al. 1995). The weak peak at around 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) (1588 cm\(^{-1}\)) is regard as O-H bond in the H\(_2\)O, which may be affected by the bonds Ba\(^{2+}\) - H\(_2\)O (Carey and Korenowski 1998). The weak peak at 1329 is assigned to the organic carbon in the shale, which is not the bond of this V-hosting mineral (Beyssac et al. 2002). This Raman spectrum feature further confirms that the V-hosting mineral in the figures 5a–b is mannardite.

In-situ XRD

The representative XRD patterns for the V-hosting mineral are shown in figure 7. The XRD patterns show the major lattice planes of the V-hosting mineral ((400), (312), (620), (260), (332), (460), (800), (660)), which possesses the same interplanar spacing with mannardite (Fig. 7; Table 2). Besides, the cell parameters are refined by correcting to the thousandth and listed in the table 3. The cell parameters of two analysis spots for SHSD11 are \(a = b = 14.326(1) \, \text{Å}, \, c = 5.887(6) \, \text{Å}, \, V = 1208.37(0) \, \text{Å}^3\) and \(a = b = 14.331(9) \, \text{Å}, \, c = 5.903(6) \, \text{Å}, \, V = 1212.63(0) \, \text{Å}^3\); The cell parameters of two analysis spots for SHSD15 are \(a = b = 14.363(8) \, \text{Å}, \, c = 5.902(6) \, \text{Å}, \, V = 1217.83(0) \, \text{Å}^3\) and \(a = b = 14.365(0) \, \text{Å}, \, c = 5.902(6) \, \text{Å}, \, V = 1217.83(0) \, \text{Å}^3\).
5.902(6) Å, V = 1218.04(0) Å³. These cell parameters of the V-hosting mineral in this research are exactly similar to mannardite (a = b = 14.356(4) Å, c = 5.911(3) Å; Scott and Peatfield 1986) with small differences. The differences of cell parameters mainly result from the trace elements in mannardite crystal structure, such as Si⁴⁺ (0.39 Å), Fe³⁺ (0.67 Å), Cr³⁺ (0.64 Å) and V⁴⁺ (0.59 Å), which has different atom radium from the V³⁺ (0.65 Å) (Reznitsky et al. 2018; Zhang and Gao 2012).

**BSE-EDS**

The BSE-EDS analysis results show detail morphological features for mannardite. As significant carrier of vanadium, the ethmoid and forky branches mannardite exists uniformly in the black shale, minor mannardite exist as vein (Figs. 8a–c, 8g–k), the EDS mapping results suggest that mannardite content extremely high V, Ti and Ba (Figs. 8 d–f).

The micro pyrite, clay minerals and organic carbon were recognized. The pyrite in the black shale is strawberry pyrite (Fig. 5c) and oval nodule pyrite (Figs. 4d and 8g). Clay minerals include illite, mica and kaolinite (Fig. 5d). Organic carbon is filled in the micro interspace of black shale (Fig. 5d).

**TIMA**

The results of TIMA are shown in table 4. The black carbon siliceous shale (sample SHSD15) consists of mannardite (0.46 vol%), illite (6.60 vol%), quartz (49.67 vol%), albite (12.08 vol%), carbon (29.49 vol%), pyrite (1.15 vol%), and accessory minerals, including apatite, monazite, kaolinite and zircon. The black carbonaceous shale (sample
ZK801D40) consists of mannardite (0.59 vol%), illite (44.82 vol%), carbon (39.22 vol%),
quartz (9.44 vol%), pyrite (4.41 vol%), albite (0.94 vol%), and accessory minerals,
including actinolite, apatite, monazite and kaolinite.

**Whole-rock geochemistry**

Total 13 ore samples are analyzed, and the results of whole-rock geochemistry are
shown in table 5. The ores hosted in the carbonaceous shales have relatively higher grade
of vanadium with average content of 0.83 wt%, ranging from 0.51 wt% to 1.22 wt%. The
vanadium in the carbon siliceous shale ranges from 0.36 wt% to 0.95% with average
content of 0.60 wt%. The black shale in these vanadium deposits is characterized by high
content of Ba with an average of 12030 ppm (2034–24801 ppm) in black carbonaceous
shale and 7708 ppm (1670–18344 ppm) in black carbon siliceous shale.

**DISCUSSION**

**Occurrence mode of vanadium in mannardite**

Based on the charge balance and EPMA results, the formula of the V-hosting mineral is
calculated by cationic method, \([\text{Ba}_{0.96} \text{H}_2\text{O}] (\text{Ti}_{5.87} \text{V}_{3.18}^{3+} \text{V}_{4.01}^{4+} \text{Si}_{0.07} \text{Cr}_{0.07} \text{Fe}_{0.02}^{3+}) \text{O}_{16.00},\)
which is consistent with the formula of mannardite (BaTi₆V₂O₁₆; Scott and Peatfield 1986;
Szymanski 1986). Raman spectrum indicates that the V-hosting mineral contains the Ti⁴⁺-
O (133 cm⁻¹, 250 cm⁻¹, 599 cm⁻¹ and 639 cm⁻¹; Glass and Fries 2008; Frezzotti et al. 2012),
V³⁺-O bond (337 cm⁻¹; Reznitskii et al. 1995), O-H bond in H₂O (1588 cm⁻¹; Carey and
Korenowski 1998). From the in-situ XRD patterns, the cell parameters are calculated with
average value of $a = b = 14.346(7) \, \text{Å}, \ c = 5.899(1), \ \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ, \ Z = 4$, space group $I4_1/a$, which is same with the cell parameters of confirmed mannardite ($a = b = 14.356(4) \, \text{Å}, \ c = 5.911(3) \, \text{Å}, \ \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ, \ Z = 4$, space group $I4_1/a$; Scott and Peatfield 1986). Combing with the EPMA, Raman spectrum and crystal cell parameters, the V-hosting mineral in this research is mannardite, and the crystal structure model is built (Fig. 9). The mannardite is similar to the ankangite on the chemical composition (Ba$_{1.087}$Ti$_{5.482}$V$_{2.412}$Cr$_{0.222}$O$_{16.00}$) and the two minerals belong to the tetragonal system, which was first reported by Xiong (1986). But there are distinct differences between the two minerals: 1) the mannardite is hydrite, but the ankangite is unhydrous mineral; 2) the cell parameters of mannardite ($a = b = 14.346(7) \, \text{Å}, \ c = 5.899(1), \ Z = 4$, space group $I4_1/a$) is different from the ankangite ($a = b = 10.118(1) \, \text{Å}, \ c = 2.956(3) \, \text{Å}, \ Z = 1$, space group $I4/m$; Xiong 1986; Shi et al. 1991).

The trivalent vanadium atom occupies fixed lattice position, forming stable electrovalent bonds ($V_2O_3$) (Fig. 9), suggesting that as significant carrier of vanadium in the black shale, mannardite is a vanadium mineral enriching fixed content of vanadium. Different from trivalent vanadium, the minor quadrivalent vanadium enriched in mannardite by replace titanium ($T^{4+}$) by isomorphism (Fig. 9a), which can be proved by binary isomorphic series of oxyvanite ($V^{2+}_2V^{4+}_4O_5$)-berdesinskiite ($V^{3+}_2TiO_5$) with a broad $V^{4+}$-$Ti^{4+}$ isomorphism (Reznitsky et al. 2018). In the mannardite, various contents of chromium ($Cr^{3+}$) are detected. Szymanski (1986) found that the chromium atom shares two
fixed positions, occupancies and thermal parameters, which is same with the trivalent vanadium (V$^{3+}$) (Fig. 9a). This suggests that minor trivalent vanadium atoms (V$^{3+}$) (0.65 Å) can be replaced by chromium (Cr$^{3+}$) (0.64 Å), which has similar ionic radius (Zhang and Gao 2012). In this way, the other minor elements (Fe, Si and Al) may replace barium, titanite or vanadium atoms through isomorphism, resulting in slight content variation of vanadium.

**Contribution of mannardite**

The TIMA results suggest the TiO$_2$ in the ore mainly derives from mannardite (Fig. 10). Hence, the contents of titanium and vanadium from mannardite have a fixed ratio (m(TiO$_2$) / m(V$_2$O$_5$) = 2.60), which can be calculated from the crystallochemical formula of mannardite in this research. The proportion of V$_2$O$_5$ in mannardite can be obtained based on the chemical composition of ore rocks, and the detail steps are shown in table 6. The average vanadium provided by mannardite in the ore is 24.95%, ranging from 12.32% to 44.06% (Table 6). This result can be verified by another calculation method using the density and composition of minerals, the steps and results are shown in table 7.

Mannardite contributes 20.98% (SHSD15) and 51.71% (ZK801D40) vanadium in the carbon siliceous shale-hosted ore and carbonaceous shale-host ore, respectively. These results are consistent with the results (19.51% for SHSD15, 44.06% for ZK801D40 in table 6) calculated with the former method. This suggests that as a main hosting mineral, mannardite provides significant vanadium (average of 24.95%) in the black shale-hosted
vanadium deposit.

On the other hand, the vanadium provided by pyrite (0.20%–1.46%) and quartz (3.81%–1.38%) in the black shale is insignificant (Table 7). Especially, as a major clay mineral, illite in the black shale only provides 0.41%–5.34% vanadium (Table 7). Besides, the rest of vanadium probably is enriched in organic compounds by tetraphenyl porphyrin and V₂O₄ (Maylotte et al. 1981; Glikson et al. 1985). This is contradicted with the previous viewpoint that the clay minerals are the major carrier of vanadium in the black shale-hosted vanadium deposits (e.g. Zhang et al. 2015; Zhu et al. 2018).

**Precipitation mechanism of mannardite**

The previous work on sedimentary environment, sedimentary facies, detrital mineral provenance and isotopes (e.g. S and Pb) have suggested that the vanadium in the black shale mainly derives from pale seawater or surface water (e.g. Evans et al. 1958; Breit and Wanty 1991; Wanty and Goldhaber 1992; Peacock et al. 2004; Ouyang et al. 2010; Wen et al. 2021). Whitmore et al. (2019) confirmed that pentavalent vanadium (V⁵⁺) is mainly dissolved in the seawater, and the dissolved V in Pacific-derived basin waters was depleted by approximately 15–30% from the effective Pacific Ocean endmember, which accumulated in the sediments by the absorption of Fe oxides particles and uptake of organism. The seawater probably provided considerable vanadium for the precipitation of mannardite in the black shale.

As bioelement, vanadium is controlled significantly by the organism action (Maylotte et
al. 1981; Wanty et al. 1992; Wanty and Goldhaber 1992; Wang and Wilhelmy 2009; Whitmore et al. 2019), which controls the precipitation process of mannardite. As an important organism, the appearance of the algae and plankton during Early Cambrian bi-radiation promoted the accumulation of vanadium by adsorbing vanadium as their parts of lifecycle or by excreting the organic matter to bind vanadium (Brumsack 1983; Lee et al. 1983; Glikson et al. 1985). As a result, vanadium is exceeding rich in the remains of these organism (Fig. 10). Besides, the ferric and aluminum oxides mineral particulates play a significant role in the transportation and accumulation of vanadium before the mannardite precipitation (Breit and Wanty 1991; Whitmore et al. 2019). Shiller and Boyle (1987) found that 40% vanadium are transported by being adsorbed on the surface of these mineral particles, and results from EXAFS spectroscopy further proved that ferric and aluminum oxides particles can adsorb considerable pentavalent vanadium species ($V^{5+}$) (Peacock and Sherman 2004). As multivalent elements, the accumulation of vanadium is affected by the changes of geochemistry condition, especially the reduction reaction associated with organic matter and $H_2S$ (Mercer et al. 1992; Wanty et al. 1992; Wang and Wilhelmy 2009; Zhang et al. 2015). On the oxidation condition, most vanadium tends to be dissolved in the seawater as pentavalent vanadium species, such as $H_2VO_4^-$, $H_3VO_4$, $H_4VO_4^+$, $VO_4^{3-}$ (Wanty et al. 1992). The pentavalent vanadium can be reduced into quadrivalent vanadium species by organic matter, and occur as vanadium-organic complexes, such as $VOOOHC_2O_4^-$, $VO(C_2O_4)_{2}^2-$ (Szalay and Szilagy 1967; Goodman and Cheshire 1975; Perrin 1979; Wilson

Furthermore, the following evidences also suggest that the organic matter in the water and sediments contributed significantly on the accumulation and valent changing of vanadium in the precipitation process of mannardite: 1) the passive relation between the vanadium and organic matter in the black shale (Breit and Wanty 1991; Gäbler et al. 2009; Wang and Wilhelmy 2009); 2) the existence of vanadium tetrahedrally coordinated compounds (NH$_4$VO$_3$), short vanadyl bond V$_2$O$_4$ and octahedrally coordinated compounds (V$_2$O$_3$) in the organic matter detected by X-ray absorption spectroscopy analysis (Maylotte et al. 1981). Besides, various types of pyrite are widespread in the black shale-hosted vanadium deposit, suggesting that the black shale is rich in reduced sulfur species (Patterson et al. 1986). Lots of studies suggested that H$_2$S in the shale can convert pentavalent vanadium species into quadrivalent vanadium species, and furtherly into trivalent vanadium species, such as V$^{3+}$, VOH$^{2+}$ and V(OH)$_2$$^+$ (Lewan 1984; Tissot and Welte 1984; Breit and Wanty 1991; Peacock and Sherman 2004; Wu et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2017). Hence, as strong reductant, reduced sulfur species prompt vanadium to accumulate in the mannardite. Commonly, the black shale is characterized by high barium (Ba) content (Brumsack 2006; Deng et al. 2019; Charbonnier et al. 2022). In the two vanadium deposits, the black carbonaceous shale contains an average of 12030 ppm Ba, and the black carbon siliceous shale contains an average of 7708 ppm Ba (Table 5), which is distinct higher than the Ba in the Crust (1070 ppm; Shaw et al. 1967). The Ba accumulated in the black shale
mainly exists as bio-barite, a microgranular barite related to the biological process, which is the major form of Ba from the seawater (James 1988; Lu et al. 2004; Griffith et al. 2018). The morphological evidence, nonmetals isotopes, the positive correlation between organic carbon ($C_{\text{org}}$) and $\text{Ba}^{2+}$ indicate that Ba in the black shale accumulated mainly by the absorption of plankton plants, and the bio-barite precipitated when the remains of the microorganism degraded (Dymond et al. 1992; Bertram and Cowen 1997; Lu et al. 2004). The abundant biogenic barium (bio-barite) in the black shale further suggests that the accumulation of vanadium is closed related to the organism lifecycle in the water. As major element in the black shale, titanium mainly derives from the detrital minerals (Liu et al. 2019b). Thus, the necessary vanadium, biogenic barium and titanium promote the precipitation of mannardite under a strong reduced condition (Fig. 11). Most of the mannardite precipitates as graininess (Figs. 5a and d) during the diagenesis of the black shale. Meanwhile, part of mannardite was reformed into vein by the late minor hydrothermal process (Figs. 5b and 8g–h), which can be proved by the carbonate vein in the black shale (Fig. 4f).

**IMPLICATIONS**

As the key to understanding the accumulation of vanadium in the black shale-hosted deposits, the occurrence mode remains controversial. The recognition of mannardite in the black shale indicates that considerable vanadium occurs as vanadium mineral, and this is significant in terms of metallogenic mechanism of black shale-hosted vanadium deposit.
Based on the precipitation process of mannardite and accumulation features of vanadium in mannardite, vanadium-organic complexes, clay minerals and other minerals, the metallogenic mechanism of black shale-hosted vanadium deposit can be described as following process (Fig. 11): 1) vanadium dissolved in seawater as pentavalent vanadium species on the oxic condition; 2) the initial accumulation of pentavalent vanadium is prompted by the Early Cambrian organism activities and adsorption of Fe, Al oxides mineral particles; 3) the pentavalent vanadium is reduced into quadrivalent species by organic matter on the anoxic condition, and vanadium accumulates furtherly by the complexation and adsorption; 4) the quadrivalent vanadium is reduced into trivalent species by \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) under an euxinic condition, which mainly accumulates in mannardite, vanadium-organic complexes and minor comes into clay minerals and other auxiliary minerals by isomorphism in the black shale. Besides, the transformation of vanadium from seawater to sediments and the geochemical composition of mannardite (e. g. \( V^{3+} \), Ba, Ti) suggest that the enough biogenic barium (bio-barite), titanium, organic matter, \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) (strong reduced condition) are significant factors for the precipitation of mannardite, which are the essential factors for the accumulation of vanadium in the black shale. Based on this essential condition, the Guanyintang, Yangliugang formations and the upper part of Hetang Formation are barren in vanadium mainly due to the following reasons: 1) the impulse supply of vanadium or barium from the seawater; 2) the lack of \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) stops the quadrivalent vanadium species from being reduced into trivalent vanadium species.
Previous works suggest that the vanadium in black shale-hosted deposit accumulates mainly as trace metal in clay minerals and organic matter. The vanadium in the two types of minerals was separated by calcining and sulfuric acid leaching (Moskalyk 2003; Niu and Liu 2016; Zeng et al. 2020; Xue et al. 2021b). However, these processes pollute the environment and are ineffective. The mannardite is characterized by large density, 4.28 g/cm³ (https://rruff.info/ima/), which makes it possible to separate the vanadium from the black shale by flotation and the hydrometallurgy (Lu et al. 2012; Feng et al. 2021). Except for the two deposits, the Lower Cambrian vanadium-rich black shale from the South Craton (Fig. 1a) is consistently formed at marine environment and is characterized by high level of Ba (bio-barite) (1548–11404 ppm, even up to 87370 ppm) and organic matter (> 3 wt% and containing H₂S) (Zhang et al. 1995; Li and Zhang 2009; Chen et al. 2011; Xue et al. 2021a; Xu et al. 2022), which is necessary for the precipitation of mannardite. Hence, the mannardite is probably ubiquitous in the black shale-hosted vanadium deposits at the South China Craton. Based on the proportion of vanadium from the mannardite in this research (average of 24.95%), mannardite in the black shale-hosted vanadium deposits preserve significant vanadium resource in the world (approximately 19.6%), which will increase significantly the beneficiation efficiency and avoid the waste of vanadium resource.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 2. (a) Geological map and (b) geological section of Yangjiashan vanadium deposit

FIGURE 3. (a) Geological map and (b) geological section of Liujiachiao vanadium deposit

FIGURE 4. Geological outcrop and macroscopic features of samples from the black shale-hosted vanadium deposits. (a) Hetang Formation is contact conformably with the overlying Guanyintang Formation. (b) Alternating layers of thick carbonaceous shale and thin carbon siliceous shale of Hetang Formation. (c, e–f) Black shale rich in organic matter from Hengtang Formation. (d) Pyrite nodule-bearing carbon siliceous shale from Hetang Formation.

FIGURE 5. Micrographs of mannardite and other minerals showing parts of EPMA analysis spot (yellow dots with size of 1 μm). (a–b) Mannardite in the black shale. (c) Strawberry pyrite. (d) Organic carbon filled in the interspace of clay minerals and quartz. Ill = illite; Man = mannardite; Py = pyrite; Q = quartz.

FIGURE 6. Raman spectrum of the V-Ti-Ba oxide in this research and mannardite. Yellow dots in (b) show parts of the Raman analysis locations. Raman spectrum data of mannardite in (c) are from American Mineralogist Crystal Structure Database, available: https://rruff.info/mannardite/R060966.

FIGURE 7. In-situ XRD patterns of the V-Ti-Ba oxide in the black shale hosted vanadium deposit. The red spots show some of in-situ XRD analysis locations. The patterns of mannardite (PDF # 42-0615) are from Scott and Peatfield (1986).
FIGURE 8. Micrographs of BSE and EDS mapping of mannardite from the black shale. 
(a) Ethmoid mannardite; (b) Branches mannardite. (c) Ethmoid mannardite distribute uniformly. (d)–(f) EDS mapping of mannardite in (c) showing V, Ti, Ba content. (i)–(k) EDS mapping of mannardite vein in (h) showing V, Ti, Ba content.


FIGURE 10. Micrographs of BSE and TIMA analysis of vanadium ore hosed in the black shale

FIGURE 11. Precipitation process of mannardite and metallogenic mechanism of black shale-hosted vanadium deposits
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mannardite</th>
<th>Content measured (wt %)</th>
<th>Sigma (1σ)</th>
<th>Content corrected (wt %)</th>
<th>Molecular mass</th>
<th>Mole number</th>
<th>Cation number</th>
<th>Cations per formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>58.81</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>60.47</td>
<td>79.90</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>18.49</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>19.01</td>
<td>153.33</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>151.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₂O₃</td>
<td>18.62</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>19.14</td>
<td>149.88</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>60.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>71.84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>97.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>94.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>96.43</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.50</td>
<td>60.08</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>101.96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>71.84</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₂O₃</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>149.88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>96.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>94.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>40.30</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>61.98</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>47.23</td>
<td>51.74</td>
<td>60.08</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>31.35</td>
<td>34.34</td>
<td>101.96</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>71.84</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₂O₃</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>149.88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>79.87</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>91.28</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pyrite**

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>52.29</td>
<td>53.51</td>
<td>32.07</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>54.94</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>45.08</td>
<td>46.13</td>
<td>55.85</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>74.92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>97.73</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Phengite**

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>94.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>153.33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>61.98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: In the analysis results of the quartz, illite, pyrite and phengite, the analytical accuracy is less than 1% (relative) for the major oxides (> 5 wt%), less than 5% (relative) for the minor oxides (1–5 wt %) and 5–10% (relative) for the trace oxides (< 1 wt%).

### TABLE 2. In-situ XRD results of V-hosting mineral in black shale-hosted vanadium deposits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(hkl)</th>
<th>SHSD11-1</th>
<th>SHSD11-2</th>
<th>SHSD15-1</th>
<th>SHSD15-2</th>
<th>Mannardite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(400)</td>
<td>3.581(5)</td>
<td>3.583(0)</td>
<td>3.583(9)</td>
<td>3.580(3)</td>
<td>3.582(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(420)</td>
<td>3.196(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.205(5)</td>
<td>3.202(3)</td>
<td>3.204(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(240)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.204(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.204(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(132)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.472(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.472(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(312)</td>
<td>2.460(0)</td>
<td>3.473(5)</td>
<td>2.465(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.472(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(620)</td>
<td>2.260(0)</td>
<td>2.266(1)</td>
<td>2.266(6)</td>
<td>2.266(0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(260)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.264(4)</td>
<td>2.266(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>a(Å)</td>
<td>a(Å)</td>
<td>a(Å)</td>
<td>V(Å³)</td>
<td>α (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHSD11-1</td>
<td>14.326(2)</td>
<td>14.326(2)</td>
<td>5.887(6)</td>
<td>1208.37(0)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHSD11-2</td>
<td>14.331(9)</td>
<td>14.331(9)</td>
<td>5.903(6)</td>
<td>1212.63(0)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHSD15-1</td>
<td>14.365(1)</td>
<td>14.365(1)</td>
<td>5.902(7)</td>
<td>1218.04(0)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHSD15-2</td>
<td>14.363(9)</td>
<td>14.363(9)</td>
<td>5.902(6)</td>
<td>1217.83(0)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>14.346(7)</td>
<td>14.346(7)</td>
<td>5.899(1)</td>
<td>1214.22(0)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannardite</td>
<td>14.356(4)</td>
<td>14.356(4)</td>
<td>5.911(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: the cell parameters of mannardite are from Scott and Peatfield (1986). The uncertainties of the last digits are presented in the parentheses.
Note: the cell parameters of mannardite are from Scott and Peatfield (1986). The uncertainties of the last digits are presented in the parentheses.

### TABLE 4. TIMA analysis results showing the mineral constituent of vanadium ore (vol%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Illite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Pyrite</th>
<th>Albite</th>
<th>Mannardite</th>
<th>Actinolite</th>
<th>Apatite</th>
<th>Monazite</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Zircon</th>
<th>Carbon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHSD15</td>
<td>6.60(3)</td>
<td>49.67(3)</td>
<td>1.15(5)</td>
<td>12.08(2)</td>
<td>0.45(5)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.45(1)</td>
<td>0.00(3)</td>
<td>0.08(7)</td>
<td>0.00(0)</td>
<td>29.49(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801D40</td>
<td>44.82(3)</td>
<td>9.44(0)</td>
<td>4.40(6)</td>
<td>0.93(7)</td>
<td>0.58(8)</td>
<td>0.40(0)</td>
<td>0.16(7)</td>
<td>0.00(7)</td>
<td>0.00(6)</td>
<td>0.00(0)</td>
<td>39.22(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The uncertainties of the last digits are presented in the parentheses.

### TABLE 5. Whole-rock geochemistry results of vanadium ore hosted in the black shale (ppm for V and Ba, wt% for the others)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ore</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>LOI</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>V₂O₅ *</th>
<th>Ba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 D32</td>
<td>Ore hosted in black</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>18.86</td>
<td>53.45</td>
<td>4520</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>8073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 D40</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>51.29</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>14353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 D14</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>53.60</td>
<td>5010</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>24801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 D15</td>
<td>carbonaceous shale</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>19.81</td>
<td>54.43</td>
<td>6840</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>10888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d21</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>17.77</td>
<td>50.16</td>
<td>3990</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.92</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>18.52</td>
<td>52.59</td>
<td>4640</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>12030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHS D04</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>71.53</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>13424</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SHS D11  Ore hosted in black carbon siliceous shale  5.85  1.22  0.31  5.29  12.42  70.97  2310  0.41  4308
ZK801 D07  carbon siliceous shale  5.29  1.36  0.32  2.29  15.09  70.54  2010  0.36  8271
SHS D15  8.91  1.94  0.48  2.55  12.46  69.67  5350  0.95  5940
SHS D21  9.34  2.26  0.44  2.88  19.34  61.98  5030  0.90  6982
ZK801 D12  7.38  1.95  0.37  4.56  16.69  61.85  4930  0.88  18344
ZK801 D27  4.51  1.07  0.22  1.84  15.20  72.40  2140  0.38  2724
ZK801 D29  4.09  0.85  0.21  1.51  8.45  80.81  2550  0.46  1670
Average  6.38  1.51  0.33  2.93  14.42  69.97  3359  0.60  7708

**Note:** $V_2O_5^*$ is calculated by content of V (ppm) in the ore. The analytical accuracy is less than 2% (relative) for the major oxides (> 0.5 wt%) and less than 5% (relative) for minor oxides (0.1–0.5 wt%).

**TABLE 6.** Occupancies of vanadium in mannardite calculated by whole-rock geochemical and EPMA results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Total $V_2O_5$ in ore (wt%)</th>
<th>$TiO_2$ in ore (wt%)</th>
<th>$V_2O_5$ in mannardite (wt%) (calculated)</th>
<th>Contribution (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHS D04</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>27.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHS D11</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>28.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d07</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>33.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHS D15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>19.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHS D21</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>18.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>V$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>Sigma (1σ)</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>Volume fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d12</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>16.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d14</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>24.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d15</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>12.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d21</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>37.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d27</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>21.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d29</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>18.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d32</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>21.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZK801 d40</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>44.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>24.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** For the total V$_2$O$_5$ and TiO$_2$ in ore, the analytical accuracy is less than 2% (relative) when the content is greater than 0.5 wt%, and the accuracy is less than 5% (relative) when the content ranges from 0.1 wt% to 0.5 wt%. Steps of calculating proportion of vanadium from mannardite: 1) calculate the mass ratio of TiO$_2$ and V$_2$O$_5$ in the ore rock by the EPMA results of mannardite, m(TiO$_2$) / m(V$_2$O$_5$) = 2.60; 2) obtain the mass ratio of V$_2$O$_5$ from mannardite in the ore rock based on the content of TiO$_2$, V$_2$O$_5$(mannardite) = m(TiO$_2$) / 2.60; 3) get the proportion (P) of V$_2$O$_5$ from mannardite in the ore rock, P = m (V$_2$O$_5$(mannardite)) / m(V$_2$O$_5$(total)).

**TABLE 7.** Occupancies of vanadium in minerals calculated by whole-rock geochemistry, TIMA and EPMA results (wt%)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>V2O5#</th>
<th>V2O5</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Mass %</th>
<th>Mass %</th>
<th>Mass %</th>
<th>Mass %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illite</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>44.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>49.67</td>
<td>59.62</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>9.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albite</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannardite</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinolite</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monazite</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolinite</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zircon</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>29.49</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>74.60</td>
<td>39.22</td>
<td>19.08</td>
<td>40.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** V2O5# is obtained by EPMA in table 1, and total V2O5 is got by whole-rock chemical composition in table 3; Steps of calculating proportion of vanadium from each mineral: 1) translate the minerals volume fraction in TIMA results to mass fraction (X) by the equation: \( X_a = D_a \times V_a \div \sum_{1-n} D_n \times V_n \). \( X_a \) = mineral_a mass fraction, \( D_a \) = mineral_a density, \( V_a \) = mineral_a volume fraction, 1-n: minerals in the ore, \( D_n \) = minerals density; \( V_n \) = minerals; 2) obtain the V2O5 mass (M) of each mineral by \( M_a = X_a \times m_a \), \( M_a \) = V2O5 mass of mineral_a, \( m_a \) = V2O5 content of mineral_a by EPMA; 3) calculate the proportion (P) of vanadium from each mineral by the equation: \( P_a = M_a \div M_{ore} \), \( P_a \) = proportion of vanadium from mineral_a, \( M_{ore} \) = total mass of vanadium in the ore rock. Density data of minerals are from IMA Database of Mineral Properties, available: https://rruff.info/ima/.
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