Equation of state and structural evolution of manganese dolomite (kutnohorite) under high pressures
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Abstract

The structural evolution of carbonate minerals with increasing pressure is essential to decoding the role of Earth’s mantle in the global carbon cycle and long-term climate change. Here, we carried out synchrotron single-crystal x-ray diffraction measurements on the natural sample of manganese dolomite [kutnohorite, Ca$_{1.11}$Mn$_{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$] in a diamond-anvil cell up to 51.2 GPa at room temperature with neon as a pressure-transmitting medium. The manganese dolomite sample remains stable in the rhombohedral crystal structure from 1 bar to ~13.3 GPa. The equation of state of the Ca$_{1.11}$Mn$_{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$ sample was determined: $V_0 = 334.06 \pm 0.29$ Å$^3$, $K_0 = 99.9 \pm 4.7$ GPa, and $K'_0 = 4.3 \pm 0.9$; when $K'_0$ is fixed at 4.0, $V_0 = 334.04 \pm 0.24$ Å$^3$ and $K_0 = 101.4 \pm 1.5$ GPa. Upon further compression at room temperature, the split and disappearance of diffraction spots were observed. That is, the rhombohedral structure of manganese dolomite becomes highly distorted to lose the long-range order at 13.3–51.2 GPa at room temperature. Moreover, our single-crystal x-ray diffraction results decode the mechanisms of the reported lattice and internal Raman mode splits of the same manganese dolomite sample approximately at 13 and 24 GPa, respectively. These results suggest manganese-bearing carbonates could play a distinct role in the deep carbon cycle.
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Introduction

Carbonate minerals are the important forms of carbon carriers from shallow subduction zones to the deep mantle (Plank and Manning, 2019). Those carbonate minerals could account for the major constituent of the global carbon fluxes, about 100 megatons of deep carbon entering the Earth’s interior via subducting slabs each year (Dasgupta and Hirschmann, 2010; Farsang et al., 2021). Little to none carbon can be incorporated into the crystal lattice of mantle silicate minerals, leading to the deep carbon being mostly stored and transported as carbonates, together with graphite, diamond and carbides (Shcheka et al., 2006). The physical, chemical, and transport properties of the deep mantle could be significantly influenced by the presence of carbonates involving the crust-mantle interactions (Lavina et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2012; Dorfman et al., 2018). In particular, it still remains enigmatic how those carbonate minerals evolve in subducted slabs. This holds the key to better decode the global carbon cycle, long-term climate dynamics, as well as mantle dynamics (Kelemen et al., 2011; Sanchez-Valle et al., 2011; Malusà et al., 2018).

Thus far, the structural evolution and chemical reactions of carbonate minerals have been investigated by a battery of probes under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions (e.g., Boulard et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2020). Calcium carbonate (e.g., calcite and aragonite) could react with pyroxene to form the dolomite group minerals [CaM(CO\(_3\))\(_2\) with M = Mg, Fe, Mn, etc.] under relatively shallow depths of 100–150 km (Kushiro, 1975). Dolomite minerals exhibit a
rhombohedral structure (space group $R\bar{3}$) in which MO$_6$ and CaO$_6$ units alternate along the c axis. The dolomite group minerals undergo a series of high-pressure phase transformations including dolomite-II, -III, -IIIc, -IV, and -V (e.g., Santillan et al., 2003; Mao et al., 2011; Merlini et al., 2012; Merlini et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2022). Dolomite minerals and their high-pressure polymorphs likely occupy up to half of the Earth’s accessible carbonate reservoirs (Binck et al., 2020). Among all the dolomite group minerals investigated under high pressures, the high-pressure behavior of manganese dolomite [CaMn(CO$_3$)$_2$] is least constrained in the literature. Palaich et al. (2015) reported the bulk modulus and phase stability of a natural manganese dolomite sample [Ca$_{0.76}$Mn$_{1.24}$(CO$_3$)$_2$] (hereinafter referred to as “Ca76”) in the Ne-NaCl pressure-transmitting medium. Notably, varying pressure-transmitting media (e.g., NaCl, Ar, Ne and He) can have distinct effects on the structural transition paths and electronic states with increasing pressure (Efthimiopoulos et al., 2017; Merlini et al., 2017; Efthimiopoulos et al., 2018; Binck et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2021). The use of NaCl generally induces a very large deviatoric stress of > 5–10 GPa in a diamond-anvil cell (DAC), whereas Ne can keep the sample under relatively hydrostatic conditions that more closely resemble the deep mantle (Klotz et al., 2009). It is noted that the ideal manganese dolomite CaMn(CO$_3$)$_2$ (hereinafter referred to as “Ca100”) may feature separated CaO$_6$ and MnO$_6$ layers alternating along the c axis. Compared with the Ca100, the Ca76 has a lower calcium content and features CaO$_6$ and MnO$_6$ octahedra mixed in the same layer (Palaich et al., 2015). That is, varying manganese contents would change the degree of cation ordering in manganese dolomite minerals. In particular, the different
sizes between Mn$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ would induce rotation and distortion of the CaO$_6$ and MnO$_6$
octahedra in the same layer to some extent, which definitely influences the manganese
dolomite’s behavior under high pressures. Therefore, manganese content shall also be evaluated
for constraining the structural transformation of manganese dolomite under Earth’s mantle
pressures.

In this work, we carried out synchrotron x-ray diffraction measurements on a natural
single-crystal manganese dolomite mineral Ca$_{1.11}$Mn$_{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$ (hereinafter referred to as
“Ca111”) under high pressures up to 51.2 GPa at room temperature. Our manganese dolomite
sample has a calcium content slightly deviating from the ideal manganese dolomite (Ca100).
Together with neon serving as a pressure-transmitting medium, this study aims to investigate
how varying chemical compositions influence the structural evolution of manganese dolomite
under high pressures. It is found that our Ca111 sample exhibits enhanced incompressibility with
respect to the Ca76 sample reported by Palaich et al. (2015). Upon further compression at room
temperature, a new set of splitting diffraction spots emerged at pressures greater than 13.3 GPa,
including \{110\}, \{116\} and \{128\} planes. Meanwhile, the diffraction intensity of the splitting
diffraction spots diminished approximately from 22.4 to 51 GPa, suggesting that the manganese
dolomite structure becomes highly distorted at > 13.3 GPa and partially loses the long-range
order at > 22.4 GPa at room temperature. These results provide a better understanding of the
structural behavior of manganese-bearing carbonates at mantle pressures.
Experimental Methods

The starting material was the single-crystal Ca111 sample, one natural manganese dolomite (i.e., kutnohorite) from the Sterling Hill, New Jersey, USA. Back-scattered electron images and elemental maps (Ca, Mn, C and O) were collected for the Ca111 sample by using a scanning electron microscopy/energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDS, JOEL JSM-7900F). The accelerating voltage is 10 kV with a beam current of 10 nA. The Ca111 sample was coated with Pt. The chemical homogeneity of the starting material was confirmed as illustrated in Fig. 1. We note that the high-pressure laser Raman spectroscopy of this sample has been investigated up to 56 GPa by Wang et al. (2022), where the chemical composition of this sample was determined to be \( \text{Ca}_{1.11}\text{Mn}_{0.89}(\text{CO}_3)_2 \) with minor trace amounts of Mg and Fe by using electron probe microanalyzer (JEOL JXA-8200). In addition, the rhombohedral crystal structure (space group: \( R\bar{3} \)) was confirmed for the Ca111 sample with lattice parameters of \( a = 4.8644 \pm 0.0010 \) Å, \( c = 16.294 \pm 0.003 \) Å, and the unit cell volume \( V = 333.90 \pm 0.15 \) Å\(^3\), by using an in-house single-crystal x-ray diffractometer (Brucker D8 VENTURE) at the Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research (HPSTAR, Beijing). The diffractometer was equipped with a Mo Kα x-ray source and operated at the voltage of 50.0 kV with a current of 1.4 mA and a wavelength of 0.71073 Å. The x-ray beam was focused to the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of \( \approx 100 \) μm at the sample position, and the diffraction patterns were collected using a MAR CCD detector. The x-ray diffraction patterns of CeO\(_2\) powder were collected for the calibration of laboratory x-ray diffractometer.
Symmetric diamond-anvil cells were employed to generate high pressures through squeezing the two opposing diamond anvils with a flat culet of 200 μm. A hole of 110 μm in diameter and 22–25 μm thick was drilled at the center of a pre-indentet tungsten gasket and served as a sample chamber. A small platelet of the single-crystal Ca111 sample was deliberately selected with a thickness of 7–8 μm and 40–45 μm in diameter, and then it was loaded into the sample chamber. One ruby ball of 7–8 μm in diameter and a piece of platinum foil of approximate 15 μm in diameter were placed next to the Ca111 sample for pressure calibration. Neon was employed as a pressure-transmitting medium and loaded into the sample chamber by using the high-pressure gas loading system at HPSTAR. The use of neon can ensure the quasi-hydrostatic conditions at least up to 50 GPa, avoiding the influence of severe deviatoric stress inside the sample chamber (Klotz et al., 2009). The pressure and its uncertainty were calculated by multiple measurements of the ruby fluorescence before and after each x-ray diffraction measurement, together cross-checked by the equation of state (EOS) of platinum under high pressures at room temperature (Fei et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2020).

High-pressure single-crystal x-ray diffraction experiments were performed at beamline 13BM-C of the GeoSoilEnviroCARS (GSECARS) at the Advanced Phonon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. A monochromatic x-ray beam was employed with a wavelength of 0.4340 Å. The incident x-ray beam was focused down to 15 μm at the full width at half maximum on the sample position. A MAR165 CCD detector was used to record x-ray diffraction images while the sample was rotated from -19° to +20° about the x-ray beam direction for a total exposure time of
In addition, lanthanum hexaboride (LaB₆) powder was used to calibrate the sample-to-detector distance and the tilting and rotation of the image plate with respect to the incident x-ray beam. The sample-to-detector distance was calibrated to be 208.20 mm, and x-ray diffraction patterns were processed to determine the lattice parameters of the Ca₁₁₁ sample and platinum (pressure calibrant) with increasing pressure using the GSE_ADA/RSV software packages and Dioptas software (Dera et al., 2013; Prescher and Prakapenka, 2015).

Results and Discussion

Synchrotron x-ray diffraction patterns were collected on the single-crystal Ca₁₁₁ sample at room temperature up to 51.2 GPa via an interval of approximately 2–10 GPa. At 1.9 GPa, 10 sets of diffraction planes were recorded at the d-spacing values ranging from 4.0650 Å to 1.2135 Å, including {104}, {110}, {202}, {116}, {210}, {214}, {208}, {0210}, {128}, and {220} planes (Fig. 2a). The d-spacing values of these planes systematically decreased with increasing pressure while their diffraction spots shifted to higher degrees in x-ray diffraction patterns. Notably, all these diffraction spots remained pretty sharp and round under high pressures up to 13.3 GPa, indicating that the Ca₁₁₁ sample was in good single-crystal quality in neon pressure medium (Fig. 2b). Upon further compression, the diffraction spots became elongated and split at pressures greater than 13.3 GPa (Fig. 2c). The dramatic decline in diffraction intensity was observed at > 22.4 GPa, largely due to the fact that the Ca₁₁₁ sample underwent octahedral distortion/rotation to lose the long-range order, which has also been reported in Ca-Mg dolomite...
under high pressures (Santillan et al., 2003). This suggests the manganese dolomite symmetry is broken approximately at > 13.3–22.4 GPa and room temperature. It is consistent with the previous Raman spectroscopic study on the same Ca111 sample at room temperature, revealing that several new $T$ and $L$ Raman modes of 150–450 cm$^{-1}$ emerged at ~13 GPa and the splitting of the $v_1$ mode at ~23–25 GPa (Wang et al., 2022).

The pressure-volume ($P$-$V$) experimental data between 1 bar and 13.3 GPa were fitted to the third-order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state (EoS) (Table 1 and Fig. 3):

$$P = \frac{3}{2} K_0 \left\{ \left(\frac{V_0}{V}\right)^{7/3} - \left(\frac{V_0}{V}\right)^{5/3} \right\} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3}{4} (K'_0 - 4) \left(\frac{V_0}{V}\right)^{2/3} - 1 \right\},$$

where $P$ is pressure, $V_0$ and $K_0$ are the unit-cell volume ($V$) and bulk modulus ($K$) at ambient conditions, and $K'_0$ is the pressure derivative of $K_0$. With all the parameters fitted, we derived $V_0 = 334.06 \pm 0.29$ Å$^3$, $K_0 = 99.9 \pm 4.7$ GPa, and $K'_0 = 4.3 \pm 0.9$ for the Ca111 sample; with the $V_0$ fixed at the value of 333.90 Å$^3$ measured at ambient conditions, the $K_0$ and $K'_0$ values were calculated to be 101.8\pm 3.4 GPa and 4.1\pm 0.6, respectively; with the $K'_0$ fixed at 4.0, $V_0 = 334.04 \pm 0.24$ Å$^3$ and $K_0 = 101.4 \pm 1.5$ GPa. We note that the $P$-$V$ experimental data at >13.3 GPa were not included for deriving the EoS of the Ca111 sample in this study, due to the splits of diffraction spots (Table 1).

Compared to the Ca76 sample reported by Palaich et al. (2015), the unit-cell volume of our Ca111 sample is greater over the entire pressure range. Their Ca76 data exhibit a clear scattering above 15 GPa; hence, we refitted their data at 0.4–11.4 GPa using a 3rd BM EoS and derived the
$V_0, K_0$ and $K'_0$ values to be 331.88(27) Å$^3$, 93.4(77) GPa and 2.7(1.5), respectively; with the $K'_0$ fixed at 4.0, the $V_0$ and $K_0$ values are 332.03(19) Å$^3$ and 87.4(13) GPa, respectively. As we can see, the bulk modulus of the Ca76 sample is consistently smaller than our Ca111 sample, evidencing that the Ca76 is more compressible than the Ca111 under high pressures. However, this observation is counterintuitive because our Ca111 sample contains much more Ca$^{2+}$ than the Ca76. It is expected that the Ca111 is more compressible than the Ca76 on the basis of comparative crystal chemistry (Hazen et al., 2000). This contradiction might be resolved from the fact that the Ca111 sample is closer to the ideal composition of manganese dolomite Ca100, while the Ca76 deviates from the ideal Ca100 composition to a greater degree. The Ca76 sample could accumulate larger lattice strain (i.e., microstrain) due to the greater rotation and distortion inside the cation layers at a given pressure and room temperature, originating from different sizes of CaO$_6$ and MnO$_6$ units in the same layer (Palaich et al., 2015). How Ca$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ cations are arranged in the MO$_6$ layers reflect the degree of cation ordering, which should be highly associated with calcium contents of manganese dolomite. The degree of cation ordering has also been frequently related to the phase stability of dolomite minerals. For instance, the ordered CaMg(CO$_3$)$_2$ enters the disordered state approximately at 620–1100 °C upon compression and further breaks down into MgCO$_3$ and CaCO$_3$ at higher temperatures (Morlidge et al., 2006; Hammouda et al., 2011). As we can see, the structural transformation and compressibility of carbonate minerals highly depend upon both the degree of cation ordering. Additionally, it is worth noting that the stress field within the sample chamber might also contribute to the
observed different compressibility between the Ca76 and Ca111 samples. Recently, it has been unraveled how the quasi- and non-hydrostatic conditions influence the structural evolution and compressibility of carbonate minerals as the pressure rises (Fiquet and Reynard, 1999; Zhao et al., 2021).

The nature and state of carbonate minerals are largely related to the radii of cations (e.g., Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, and Mn$^{2+}$) under high pressures. Fig. 3 and Table 2 show that calcite (CaCO$_3$) exhibits the largest unit-cell volume ($V$) and the least bulk modulus at ambient conditions among all single and double carbonate minerals with the chemical composition of (Ca,Mn,Fe,Mg)CO$_3$ (Redfern and Angel, 1999; Redfern, 2000). Intriguingly, rhodochrosite (MnCO$_3$) exhibits the greatest bulk modulus among all the (Ca,Mn,Fe,Mg)CO$_3$ carbonates, though its $V$ value is much larger than siderite (FeCO$_3$) and magnesite (MgCO$_3$) at ambient conditions (Fiquet and Reynard, 1999; Liu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 1998). Moreover, the $V$ values of the dolomite group minerals CaM(CO$_3$)$_2$ (M = Mn, Fe, and Mg) are in between rhodochrosite and calcite under high pressures (Fig. 3). Interestingly, CaMg$_{0.92}$Fe$_{0.08}$(CO$_3$)$_2$ and CaMg$_{0.6}$Fe$_{0.4}$(CO$_3$)$_2$ exhibit the similar $V$ values with increasing pressure (Martinez et al., 1996; Mao et al., 2011; Merlini et al., 2012). In other words, iron substitution appears to have minimal effects on the $V$ of CaMg(CO$_3$)$_2$ at least up to 13 GPa at room temperature. However, manganese substitution presents distinct effects. The $V$ values of the Ca76 and Ca111 are much larger than that of CaMg(CO$_3$)$_2$ under high pressures (Fig. 3). Unlike iron-bearing CaMg(CO$_3$)$_2$, the $V$ values of manganese dolomite are highly related to manganese concentration. In general, manganese can
more readily replace calcium in manganese dolomite while iron mostly substitutes magnesium in Ca-Mg dolomite. This is largely because the radius of Ca\(^{2+}\) (1.00 Å) is much larger than that of Mg\(^{2+}\) (0.72 Å) and Fe\(^{2+}\) (0.78 Å in the high-spin state and 0.61 Å in the low-spin state) in the octahedral configuration (Shannon, 1976).

Further, the relative compressibility of carbonate minerals can be demonstrated evidently as a function of the \(V/V_0\) ratio against pressure. Fig. 4 shows the three features regarding how the \(V/V_0\) ratio decreases with increasing pressure. First, all the single and double divalent metal carbonates (Ca,Mn,Fe,Mg)CO\(_3\) exhibit the similar values that reach \(\sim 0.985\) at 2 GPa, except calcite-type CaCO\(_3\). Secondly, the single divalent metal carbonates of (Mn,Fe,Mg)CO\(_3\) in the calcite-type structure have the \(V/V_0\) ratios greater than the double divalent metal carbonates of (Ca,Mn,Fe,Mg)CO\(_3\) in the dolomite-type structure at > 2 GPa. Third, the Ca111 sample has the \(V/V_0\) ratios greater than iron-bearing dolomite CaMg(CO\(_3\))\(_2\) at 0–13 GPa. These differences diminish with increasing pressure, and the Ca111, Ca76 and iron-bearing dolomite samples all could share the same \(V/V_0\) ratios at \(\sim 15\) GPa as illustrated in Fig. 4. Additionally, it is worth noting that the magnitude of deviation from the ideal calcium content of the Ca100 may control the evolution of \(V/V_0\) and \(c/c_0\) ratios of manganese dolomite minerals with increasing pressure as shown in Figs. 4–5 (Palaich et al., 2015). On the contrary, the \(a/a_0\) ratios between the Ca76 and Ca111 samples are almost the same as the pressure rises (Fig. 5). More importantly, all the single and double carbonate minerals share comparable \(a/a_0\) ratio values under high pressures. In other words, all those carbonates have the similar response of the \(a\) axis upon compression. It is
primarily because of the relatively rigid $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ planar configurations aligning perpendicular to the $c$ axis. In other words, the type and size of MO$_6$ octahedra have little influence on the axial compressibility of carbonate minerals.

Interestingly, the $c/c_0$ ratios exhibit a more diverged feature than the $a/a_0$ ratios (Fig. 5). This intrinsically reflects the MO$_6$ octahedra ($M = \text{Ca}, \text{Mn}, \text{Fe},$ and $\text{Mg}$) are more compressible than the CO$_3^{2-}$ units. MnCO$_3$ has the greatest $c/c_0$ ratios at 0–15 GPa at room temperature (Liu et al., 2016; Palaich et al., 2015). However, this tendency is changed with increasing pressure (Fig. 5). In particular, MnCO$_3$ and (Mg,Fe)CO$_3$ single divalent metal carbonates have nearly the same $c/c_0$ ratios at 15–20 GPa. Moreover, unlike the $a/a_0$ ratios of those carbonates, the $c/c_0$ ratios of single divalent metal carbonates appear to be much more larger than that of double carbonates under high pressures (Fig. 5). In principle, the larger effective cation radius features longer bonding lengths and weaker interactions between the CO$_3^{2-}$ group and metal cations (Hazen et al., 2000). In particular, the $c$ axial compressibility of those carbonates are highly related to the effective cation radii in the MO$_6$ octahedral configuration under high pressures. As to manganese dolomite, Mn$^{2+}$ can largely substitute Ca$^{2+}$, and the $c$ axis compressibility is significantly affected by the coexisting MnO$_6$ and CaO$_6$ octahedra with different sizes and distortion/rotation in the same layer.

By the same logic, the $c/a$ ratios are also sensitive to the relative size of effective cation radii in those carbonates as shown in Fig. 6. The difference in the $c/a$ ratios could be as large as 5% between calcite and magnesite (Fiquet and Reynard, 1999; Redfern and Angel, 1999). Notably,
the $c/a$ ratios of manganese dolomite lie between calcite and magnesite at ambient conditions. Additionally, the difference in the $c/a$ ratios between single and double carbonates decreases with increasing pressure, principally ascribed to the relatively greater compressibility of CaO$_6$ octahedra along the $c$ axis, with respect to MnO$_6$, MgO$_6$, and FeO$_6$ octahedra under high pressures (Palaich et al., 2015). Meanwhile, MO$_6$ octahedra may distort and rotate with increasing pressure, as demonstrated by the $c/a$ ratio slope of carbonates (Fig. 7). Except magnesite (MgCO$_3$), other single divalent metal carbonates remain a relatively stable and low slope with increasing pressure (Palaich et al., 2015). Remarkably, double carbonates feature abrupt changes in the $c/a$ ratio slope, likely associated with the extent of MO$_6$ octahedral distortion and rotation (Fig. 7). It is worth noting that both the Ca111 and Ca76 show a dramatic change in the $c/a$ ratio slope at $\sim$ 8 GPa, which might be an intrinsic high-pressure behavior common for manganese dolomite minerals upon compression at room temperature. Furthermore, the Ca111 has the absolute values of the $c/a$ ratio slope smaller than the Ca76 at $< 13.3$ GPa, reflecting the Ca111 less compressible than the Ca76 (Fig. 7).

The evolving distortion/rotation of CaO$_6$ and MnO$_6$ octahedra in the same layer may hold the key to decode the splits of single-crystal diffraction spots observed in the Ca111 sample with increasing pressure (Fig. 2). The high-angle diffraction spots such as $\{128\}$ became slightly elongated at 13.3 GPa while the low-angle diffraction spots were still pretty round (Fig. 2b). This change reflects the lattice distortion is accumulating to be visible initially via the deformation of the high-angle diffraction spots. We note that the splits of the lattice modes were observed at
pressures greater than 10.5 GPa in the Raman spectra of the Ca111 sample when being compressed in neon (Wang et al., 2022). Compared with x-ray diffraction measurements, the use of the same pressure-transmitting medium indicates that laser Raman spectroscopy is a more sensitive probe to detect the lattice distortion of carbonates. Additionally, Wang et al. (2022) pointed out that the use of helium serving as a pressure-transmitting medium would postpone the splits of the lattice Raman modes to 13.7 GPa for the Ca111 sample. It is principally because deviatoric stress accumulates slower in helium than neon. Upon further compression in neon, the splits of high-angle diffraction spots were visible at 14.7 GPa while the splits of low-angle diffraction were until 17.5 GPa for the single-crystal Ca111 sample (Fig. 2c). This is largely due to the higher spatial resolutions at the higher angles in x-ray diffraction patterns. The diffraction split magnitudes of \{104\}, \{110\}, and \{116\} planes are comparable to each other and they could be as large as 0.040±0.002 Å at 22.4 GPa (Fig. 2d).

The diffraction intensities dramatically decreased at 28.1 GPa and vanished with increasing pressure to 51.2 GPa. Such changes in diffraction intensities reflect the significant adjustments in the atomic positions of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) for the Ca111 sample at pressures greater than 22.4–28.1 GPa. At the same time, the splits of the internal modes occurred in the single-crystal Ca111’s Raman spectra at approximately 24 GPa, including the symmetric stretching (\(v_1\)), out-of-plane bending (\(v_2\)) and in-plane bending (\(v_4\)) (Wang et al., 2022). These internal Raman modes are originated from the vibrations of the \(\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) group. Thus, the reported Raman peak splits are intrinsically related to the dramatic changes in the bonding environments of the \(\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) group,
corresponding to the dolomite-III phase of Ca111 assigned by Wang et al. (2022) approximately at 24–50 GPa. In other words, the dramatic adjustments in atomic positions not only break up the long-range order of the cation layers of MnO$_6$ and CaO$_6$ causing the blurred diffraction spots and vanished intensities, but also alter the bonding environments of the CO$_3^{2-}$ group in the Ca111 sample at ≥ 24 GPa. In addition, the Ca111 sample features the splits of the lattice modes in Raman spectra at 13.3–22.4 GPa while the internal modes of the CO$_3^{2-}$ group remain unaffected, corresponding to the dolomite-II phase in Wang et al. (2022).

Together with the x-ray diffraction results in this study, it is convincing to conclude that the lattice distortion is mainly accumulated in the cation layers of CaO$_6$ and MnO$_6$ octahedra in the dolomite-II phase of Ca111. It appears to minimally influence the rigid CO$_3^{2-}$ group. Moreover, Figs. 2d–2e vividly demonstrate that Ca111 might contain two domains that were subjected to different lattice strains at 17.5 and 22.4 GPa. When the $d$-spacing difference between the two domains exceeded 0.040 Å at 28.1–51.2 GPa, no characteristic diffraction with moderate-strong intensities could be observed in the Ca111 sample (Figs. 2e–2f). Therefore, the dolomite-III phase has the lattice much more distorted than the dolomite-II phase for Ca111 as shown in Raman spectra collected by Wang et al. (2022). Additionally, those high-pressure phase transitions are reversible and the recovered Ca111 sample did not feature the characteristic Raman peaks of CaCO$_3$ and MnCO$_3$ at ambient conditions, evidencing that the manganese dolomite would not decompose up to 60 GPa at room temperature.
Implications

Carbonates are key deep carbon-bearing phases in the Earth’s interior and it is essential to decode how carbonate structure evolves with respect to varying cations as pressure rises. Compared with the Ca76 sample investigated by Palaich et al. (2015), our Ca111 sample exhibits higher bulk moduli as its Ca²⁺ content is more close to the ideal manganese dolomite Ca100 composition having 50% cations to be Ca²⁺. We note that there might be diffraction splits in the polycrystalline Ca76 at 11.4–19.1 GPa in Palaich et al. (2015). Polycrystalline x-ray diffraction patterns generally feature broadened peaks, which may conceal the diffraction splits. More importantly, diffraction splits may be a common high-pressure behavior of the dolomite group minerals. Santillan et al. (2003) reported the split and disappearance of x-ray diffraction peaks for polycrystalline CaMg(CO₃)₂ at pressures greater than ~20 GPa at room temperature, with a 16:3:1 mixture of methanol:ethanol:water serving as the pressure-transmitting medium. Compared with the Ca111 sample, the elevated transition pressure for CaMg(CO₃)₂ is mainly because the effective radius of Mg²⁺ is much smaller than that of Mn²⁺. Similarly, the {104} and {116} diffraction peaks of polycrystalline CaMg(CO₃)₂ split into two components and this doublet could remain stable approximately to 50 GPa at room temperature. Santillan et al. (2003) suggested that the dolomite-II phase of CaMg(CO₃)₂ likely has a doubled unit cell relative to the calcite-III structure, but this feature could not be well resolved based on their powder diffraction patterns. Here with the help of single-crystal diffraction patterns in this study, the splits of all diffraction spots of our manganese dolomite sample are highly associated with the magnitude of
lattice distortion (microstrain) accumulated with increasing pressure. Furthermore, Wang et al. (2022) revealed that the rigid CO$_3^{2-}$ group in highly-distorted CaMg(CO$_3$)$_2$ is subjected to more complex bonding environments than the Ca111 sample at 50 GPa and room temperature. In other words, chemical variations can modulate the bonding environments in the highly-distorted dolomite group minerals under high pressures as illustrated in iron-bearing carbonates (Hu et al., 2023). The structural evolution of the dolomite group minerals can be further complicated when the distorted CaO$_6$ octahedra enter the regime of distorted polyhedra of CaO$_n$ (7≤n≤9) (Binck et al., 2020).

Subducting slabs contain some amount of manganese-bearing carbonate minerals, considering that Mn substitution for Mg, Fe, and Ca is ubiquitous in natural minerals (Richard J. Reeder, 1989; Rividi et al., 2010; Efthimiopoulos et al., 2017). Besides, inclusions in super-deep diamonds embrace manganese-bearing dolomite minerals, evidencing the undisputed presence of manganese-bearing carbonates in the deep mantle (Brenker, 2007; Logvinova et al., 2019). The incorporation of manganese into mantle carbonates may alter their structural evolution when being subjected to deep mantle conditions. Thus far, the high-pressure polymorphs of (Mg,Fe)-dolomite have been considered to be potential carbon carriers in the deep mantle (Mao et al., 2011; Merlini et al., 2012). Mn$^{2+}$ has an effective radius larger than Fe$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ throughout the entire mantle pressures (Shannon, 1976). Hence, the substitution by Mn$^{2+}$ could exert a relatively larger chemical strain to the carbonate lattice, which would generally lower the phase transition pressure. Recently, Lv et al. (2021) proposed a reversal of carbonate-silicate
cation exchange of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) in cold slabs at lower-mantle conditions. However, it still remains unclear how the presence of Mn\(^{2+}\) influences the structural evolution of carbonate minerals and its interactions with mantle silicates. Further, high temperature plays an important role in the stability and dynamics of mantle carbonates and places another dimension to be constrained for decoding the deep carbon cycle (e.g., Boulard et al., 2011; Cerantola et al. 2017; Hou et al., 2019; Lv et al., 2021; Gui et al., 2023). It is worth noting that there is still no agreement on whether MnCO\(_3\) decomposes into diamond under high temperatures at > 12 GPa (Liu et al., 2001; Ono, 2007). Therefore, further work is demanded for elucidating the nature and state of Mn-bearing carbonate minerals at lower-mantle conditions in these regards.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Representative back-scattered electron image and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental maps (Ca, Mn, C and O) of manganese dolomite at ambient conditions.
Figure 2. Representative x-ray diffraction patterns of manganese dolomite under high pressures at ambient temperature. X-ray diffraction images collected during a rotation from -19° to +20° about the x-ray beam direction, showing the sharp, round diffraction spots of Ca$_{1.11}$Mn$_{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$ at 1.9 and 13.3 GPa, respectively. The diffraction rings in the aforementioned patterns come from the tungsten gasket, the pressure calibrant of platinum, and/or the pressure medium of neon. The diffraction images were illustrated by the DIOPTAS program (Prescher and Prakapenka, 2015). The symbol D represents the diffraction spots of diamond anvils. Insets: The enlarged images of diffraction spots by 10 times vertically and horizontally, respectively.
Figure 3. The pressure-volume relations of carbonate minerals at room temperature. Diamond symbols represent the unit-cell volume for Ca$_{1.11}$Mn$_{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$ from this study while circle symbols for Ca$_{0.76}$Mn$_{1.24}$(CO$_3$)$_2$ by Palaich et al. (2015). Solid (red) and dashed (purple) curves: BM EoS fits to the experimental data of the two kutnohorite samples, respectively. Error bars smaller than diamond symbols are not shown for clarity for Ca$_{1.11}$Mn$_{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$. We note that the open dimond symbols represent the values after diffraction splits, which shall be used with caution (see Table 1 for more details). Inset: The optical microscopy image of the DAC sample chamber, showing that one ruby ball of 7–8 µm in diameter and a piece of platinum foil of approximate 15 µm in diameter were placed next to the sample for pressure calibration.
Figure 4. The $V/V_0$ values of carbonate minerals with increasing pressure at room temperature. Error bars smaller than symbols are not shown for clarity. We note that the open diamond symbols represent the values after diffraction splits of $\text{Ca}_{1.11}\text{Mn}_{0.89}(\text{CO}_3)_2$, which shall be used with caution (see Table 1 for more details).
Figure 5. The axial ratios of carbonate minerals with increasing pressure at room temperature. Solid and open symbols represent $a/a_0$ and $c/c_0$, respectively. Error bars smaller than symbols are not shown for clarity. We note that the half-filled diamond symbols represent the values after diffraction splits of $\text{Ca}_{1.11}\text{Mn}_{0.89}(\text{CO}_3)_2$, which shall be used with caution (see Table 1 for more details).
Figure 6. The $c/a$ ratio values of carbonate minerals with increasing pressure at room temperature. Error bars smaller than symbols are not shown for clarity. We note that the open diamond symbols represent the values after diffraction splits of Ca$_{1.11}$Mn$_{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$, which shall be used with caution (see Table 1 for more details).
Figure 7. The c/a ratio slope of carbonate minerals with increasing pressure at room temperature. Vertical ticks represent one standard deviation of the c/a ratio slope values.
Table 1. Lattice parameters of of Ca$_{1.11}$Mn$_{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$ and the pressure calibrant (platinum) at high pressure and room temperature with the use of neon as a pressure-transmitting medium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ca$<em>{1.11}$Mn$</em>{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$</th>
<th>Pt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$ (Å) $c$ (Å) $V$ (Å$^3$)</td>
<td>$a$ (Å) $V$ (Å$^3$) $P$ (GPa)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8644(10) 16.294(3) 333.90(15)</td>
<td>3.9231(3) 60.379(14) 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8517(10) 16.121(7) 328.63(20)</td>
<td>3.9143(5) 59.974(23) 1.9(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8149(11) 15.735(9) 315.92(23)</td>
<td>3.8967(8) 59.169(36) 6.0(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8058(9) 15.613(7) 312.28(18)</td>
<td>3.8892(8) 58.828(36) 7.7(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7973(10) 15.547(7) 309.85(19)</td>
<td>3.8843(12) 58.605(54) 8.9(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7767(11) 15.443(7) 306.56(18)</td>
<td>3.8779(21) 58.316(95) 10.5(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7677(10) 15.355(8) 303.36(21)</td>
<td>3.8717(20) 58.037(90) 12.1(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7523(11) 15.161(8) 297.11(21)</td>
<td>3.8717(20) 58.037(90) 12.1(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7409(13) 15.015(9) 292.27(24)</td>
<td>3.8564(18) 57.352(80) 16.2(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>3.8517(12) 57.142(53) 17.5(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# These values shall be used with caution because of diffraction splits.

* The pressure was determined according to platinum’s equation of state reported by Fei et al. (2007).

The digits in parentheses are the uncertainty, to the precision of the same number of least significant digits.
Table 2. The bulk modulus of carbonate minerals at high pressure and room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbonates</th>
<th>Bulk modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca$<em>{1.11}$Mn$</em>{0.89}$(CO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>101.4(15)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$<em>{0.76}$Mn$</em>{1.24}$(CO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>85(6)</td>
<td>Palaich et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaMgCO$_3$</td>
<td>90.7(7)</td>
<td>Martinez et al., 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaMg$<em>{0.918}$Fe$</em>{0.078}$Mn$_{0.016}$</td>
<td>94.1(4)</td>
<td>Mao et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>73.46(27)</td>
<td>Redfern and Angel, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnCO$_3$</td>
<td>122(3)</td>
<td>Liu et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCO$_3$</td>
<td>115(1)</td>
<td>Fiquet and Reynard, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCO$_3$</td>
<td>117(1)</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The digits in parentheses are the uncertainty, to the precision of the same number of least significant digits.